Carleton University

Department of Law and Legal Studies

Course Outline

Course: LAWS 3509A

TERM: Fall 2013-2104

PREREQUISITES: Precludes additional credit for LAWS 3503 [1.0] (no longer offered).

Prerequisite(s): LAWS 2105 or LAWS 2201 or LAWS 2302 or LAWS 2502

CLASS: Day & Time: Monday – 6:05 pm - 8:55 pm

Room: Please check with Carleton Central for current room location

INSTRUCTOR: Bahaa I. Sunallah, of the Ontario Bar

(CONTRACT)

CONTACT: Office: B442 LA (Loeb)

Office Hrs: By Appointment

Email: bahaa.sunallah@carleton.ca

You may need special arrangements to meet your academic obligations during the term. For an accommodation request the processes are as follows:

Pregnancy obligation: write to me with any requests for academic accommodation during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist. For more details visit the Equity Services website: http://www2.carleton.ca/equity/

Religious obligation: write to me with any requests for academic accommodation during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist. For more details visit the Equity Services website: http://www2.carleton.ca/equity/

Academic Accommodations for Students with Disabilities: The Paul Menton Centre for Students with Disabilities (PMC) provides services to students with Learning Disabilities (LD), psychiatric/mental health disabilities, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD), chronic medical conditions, and impairments in mobility, hearing, and vision. If you have a disability requiring academic accommodations in this course, please contact PMC at 613-520-6608 or pmc@carleton.ca for a formal evaluation. If you are already registered with the PMC, contact your PMC coordinator to send me your Letter of Accommodation at the beginning of the term, and no later than two weeks before the first inclass scheduled test or exam requiring accommodation (if applicable). After requesting accommodation from PMC, meet with me to ensure accommodation arrangements are made. Please consult the PMC website for the deadline to request accommodations for the formally-scheduled exam (if applicable) at http://www2.carleton.ca/pmc/new-and-current-students/dates-and-deadlines/

You can visit the Equity Services website to view the policies and to obtain more detailed information on academic accommodation at http://www2.carleton.ca/equity/

COURSE DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this course is to explore issues surrounding equality rights under the *Charter of Rights and Freedoms* (*Charter*) in addition to a study of the applicability of the *Charter* and remedies available to the Courts. The course will first briefly examine the application of the *Charter* under s. 32, and the limitation clause in s. 1 of the *Charter* and the remedies available under s. 52 of the *Constitution Act*.

The course will then examine the current approach of the Supreme Court to equality and the litigation arising under the equality guarantee in s. 15. We will examine equality rights in contexts such as employment, health and social benefits where the deferential treatment is based on the grounds of: age, marital /common-law status, sexual orientation, citizenship, and aboriginal status amongst other enumerated or analogous grounds. Consideration will be given to the relationship, and dialogue, between Parliament and the Courts.

The course format is lecture style, with heavy emphasis on class discussions and students' participation based on your readings of the caselaw and the academic views being discussed. You are expected to participate actively in class discussions. It is recognized that the class will include students with various and diverse views, and a respectful dialogue should be maintained at all times. You are, of course, free to disagree with opinions expressed by other students and myself, but should do so in a respectful and non-personalized way. All students should be permitted an equal opportunity to voice their opinions.

REQUIRED READINGS

Required readings for this course include Supreme Court of Canada decisions as well as selected readings from authoritative textbooks, articles and commentaries. Supreme Court of Canada decisions as well as most articles and commentaries are available online. Other selected readings will be made available through the Library Reserves system.

Note that * indicates "optional" reading.

IMPORTANT NOTES

1. Carleton University Calendar

Link to current Carleton Undergraduate Calendar: http://calendar.carleton.ca/undergrad/

2. Pertinent Dates and Holidays

Pertinent dates and holidays can be found in the 2013-2014 Undergraduate Calendar.

3. Deferred Final Examinations

Please note specifically section 2.5: Deferred Final Examination of the Undergraduate Calendar:

"The granting of a deferral also requires that the student has performed satisfactorily in the course according to the evaluation scheme established in the Course Outline, excluding the final examination for which deferral privileges are requested. Reasons for denial of a deferral may include, among other conditions, a failure to (i) achieve a minimum score in the course before the final examination; (ii) attend a minimum number of classes; (iii) successfully complete a specific task (e.g. term paper, critical report, group project, computer or other assignment); (iv) complete laboratory work; (v) successfully complete one or more midterms; or (vi) meet other reasonable conditions of successful performance."

EVALUATION PROCEDURES

(All components must be completed in order to get a passing grade)

Three (3) take-home quizzes (10%)

Mid-term Exam (40%)

2.0 hours, open book. In-class on Monday October 21, 2013

Final Exam (50%)

3.0 hours open book. Formally scheduled during the University's final examination period.

SCHEDULE

#1 September 9 Chapters 1 and 2 #2 September 16 Chapters 3 and 4 #3 September 23 Chapters 4 and 5 [First take-home quiz announced] #4 September 30 Chapters6a #5 October 7 Chapter 6b and Midterm review [First take-home quiz due] October 14 Statutory holiday, University closed. #6 October 21 Midterm (October 28-November 1, 2013 Fall break, no classes.) #7 November 4 Midterm discussion and Chapter 7a [Second take-home quiz announced] #8 November 11 Chapter 7b #9 November 18 Chapter 8a [Second take-home quiz due] [Third take-home quiz announced] #10 November 25 Chapter 8b December 2 #11 Chapter 9a [Third take-home quiz due] #12 December 9

December 11-22, 2013 Final examinations in fall term courses.

Chapter 9b and Exam review

Chapter 1: Course Introduction and Overview

Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, Part I of the Constitution Act, 1982, being Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (U.K.), 1982, c. 11

http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/Const/page-15.html#h-39

Section 52 of the Constitution Act, 1982, being Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (U.K.), 1982, c. 11

http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/Const/page-16.html#docCont

Honourable Beverley McLachlin (Remarks of), "The Charter 25 Years Later: The Good, The Bad, and the Challenges" Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Volume 45, Number 2 http://ohlj.ca/archive/documents/45-2 04 McLachlin postFR.pdf

*Patrick Monahan, Constitutional Law of Canada, The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, Chapter 13.

Chapter 2: Application of the *Charter* and the Notwithstanding Clause

Graham Garton Q.C., "Canadian Charter of Rights Decisions Digest, SECTION 32(1)" http://www.canlii.org/en/ca/charter_digest/s-32-1.html

Graham Garton Q.C., "Canadian Charter of Rights Decisions Digest, SECTION 33" http://www.canlii.org/en/commentary/charterDigest/s-33.html

Chapter 3: Limitation Clause (justification) and Constitutional Remedies

Sujit Choudhry and Kent Roach, "Racial and Ethnic Profiling: Statutory Discretion, Constitutional Remedies, and Democratic Accountability" Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Volume 41, Number 1 http://www.ohlj.ca/archive/articles/41 1 choudry roach.pdf

*Schachter v. Canada, [1992] 2 S.C.R. 679 (paras. 1-86)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/1992/1992rcs2-679/1992rcs2-679.html

Vriend v. Alberta, [1998] 1 S.C.R. 493 (paras. 108-202)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/1998/1998rcs1-493/1998rcs1-493.html

Chapter 4: Judicial Review and the Role of Parliament and the Courts

The following articles appear in Osgoode Hall Law Journal, Volume 45, Number 1.

Peter W. Hogg, Allison A. Bushell Thornton and Wade K. Wright, "Charter Dialogue Revisited—Or "Much Ado About Metaphors"

http://ohlj.ca/english/documents/Hogg.pdf

*Richard Haigh and Michael Sobkin, "Does the Observer Have an Effect?: An Analysis of the Use of the Dialogue Metaphor in Canada's Courts"

http://ohlj.ca/english/documents/Haigh.pdf

*Grant Huscroft, "Constitutionalism From the Top Down"

http://ohlj.ca/english/documents/Huscroft.pdf

Christopher Manfredi, "The Day the Dialogue Died: A Comment on Sauvé v. Canada" http://ohlj.ca/english/documents/Manfredi.pdf

*Carissima Mathen, "Dialogue Theory, Judicial Review, and Judicial Supremacy: A Comment on 'Charter Dialogue Revisited"

http://ohli.ca/english/documents/Mathen.pdf

Andrew Petter, Taking Dialogue Theory Much Too Seriously (Or Perhaps *Charter* Dialogue Isn't Such a Good Thing After All)"

http://ohlj.ca/english/documents/Petter.pdf

Kent Roach, "Sharpening the Dialogue Debate: The Next Decade of Scholarship" http://ohlj.ca/english/documents/Roach.pdf Peter W. Hogg, Allison A. Bushell Thornton and Wade K. Wright. "A Reply on "Charter Dialogue Revisited"

http://ohlj.ca/english/documents/HoggReply.pdf

Chapter 5: Early Section 15 Jurisprudence

Andrews v. Law society of British Columbia, [1989] 1 S.C.R. 143 http://scc.lexum.org/en/1989/1989rcs1-143/1989rcs1-143.html *Egan v. Canada, [1995] 2 S.C.R. 513 (paras. 1-30, 113-181)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/1995/1995rcs2-513/1995rcs2-513.html

Chapter 6a: The Purposive and Contextual Approach to Equality

*Peter Hogg, Constitutional Law of Canada, 2007 Student Edition, pp 1144-1212 Law v. Canada (Minister of Employment and Immigration), [1999] 1 S.C.R. 497 http://scc.lexum.org/en/1999/1999rcs1-497/1999rcs1-497.html

Chapter 6b: The Purposive and Contextual Approach to Equality re-defined

R. v. Kapp, [2008] 2 S.C.R. 483 (paras. 1-26) http://csc.lexum.org/en/2008/2008scc41/2008scc41.html Withler v. Canada (Attorney General), [2011] 1 S.C.R. 396 http://scc.lexum.org/en/2011/2011scc12/2011scc12.html

Chapter 7a: Comparator Groups

*Granovsky v. Canada (Minister of Employment and Immigration), [2000] 1 S.C.R. 703

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2000/2000scc28/2000scc28.html

Nova Scotia (Workers' Compensation Board) v. Martin; Nova Scotia (Workers' Compensation Board) v. Laseur, [2003] 2 S.C.R. 504 (paras. 1-14 and 66-122)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2003/2003scc54/2003scc54.html

Chapter 7b: Comparator Groups

Withler v. Canada (Attorney General), [2011] 1 S.C.R. 396 (paras. 41-60)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2011/2011scc12/2011scc12.html

Hodge v. Canada (Minister of Human Resources Development), [2004] 3 S.C.R. 357

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2004/2004scc65/2004scc65.html

Auton (Guardian ad litem of) v. British Columbia (Attorney General), [2004] 3 S.C.R. 657

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2004/2004scc78/2004scc78.html

Chapter 8a: Analogous Grounds

Corbiere v. Canada (Minister of Indian and Northern Affairs), [1999] 2 S.C.R. 203 (paras. 1-21) http://scc.lexum.org/en/1999/1999rcs2-203/1999rcs2-203.html

Chapter 8b: Analogous Grounds

Falkiner v. Ontario (Ministry of Community and Social Services, Income Maintenance Branch), (2002) 59 O.R. (3d) 481

http://www.canlii.org/en/on/onca/doc/2002/2002canlii44902/2002canlii44902.pdf

R. v. Banks, 2007 ONCA 19 (paras. 1-27, 89-106)

http://www.canlii.org/en/on/onca/doc/2007/2007onca19/2007onca19.pdf Leave to appeal to SCC denied:

http://www.canlii.org/en/ca/scc-l/doc/2007/2007canlii37182/2007canlii37182.pdf

* Boulter v. Nova Scotia Power Incorporated, 2009 NSCA 17

http://www.courts.ns.ca/decisions_recent/documents/2009nsca17.pdf

Leave to appeal to SCC denied:

http://www.canlii.org/en/ca/scc-l/doc/2009/2009canlii47476/2009canlii47476.pdf

Chapter 9a: Contextual Factors

Lovelace v. Ontario, [2000] 1 S.C.R. 950 (paras. 1-92)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2000/2000scc37/2000scc37.html

Gosselin v. Québec (Attorney General), [2002] 4 S.C.R. 429 (paras. 1-74)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2002/2002scc84/2002scc84.html

*Jessie Givner, "Child Poverty and Social Assistance: Gosselin v. Quebec (Attorney General)" Canadian Family Law Quarterly, Volume 24

Chapter 9b: Contextual Factors

Lavoie v. Canada, 2002 SCC 23, [2002] 1 S.C.R. 769

http://csc.lexum.org/en/2002/2002scc23/2002scc23.html

Canadian Foundation for Children, Youth and the Law v. Canada (Attorney General), [2004] 1 S.C.R. 76 (paras. 1-2, 50-70 & 71-129)

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2004/2004scc4/2004scc4.html

*Wynberg v. Ontario, (2006), 82 O.R. (3d) 561 (C.A.) (paras. 1-190 & 203-207)

http://www.canlii.org/en/on/onca/doc/2006/2006canlii22919/2006canlii22919.pdf

Leave to appeal to SCC denied:

http://www.canlii.org/en/ca/scc-l/doc/2007/2007canlii11900/2007canlii11900.pdf

Chapter 10: *Special Issues

1- Disability

Yvonne Peters, "Twenty Years of Litigating for Disability Equality Rights: Has it Made a Difference?" An Assessment by the Council of Canadians with Disabilities. Prepared for CCD by Yvonne Peters http://ccdonline.ca/en/humanrights/promoting/20years

2- Sexual Orientation

M. v. H., [1999] 2 S.C.R. 3

http://scc.lexum.org/en/1999/1999rcs2-3/1999rcs2-3.html

P. MacEachern, "Recent Legislative Amendments Dealing With Same Sex Partners" http://www.nelligan.ca/e/pdf/Legislative_Changes_for_same_sex_partners_CCLA_2000.p df

- B. Cossman, "Lesbians, Gay Men and the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*" (2002) 40 Osgoode Hall L. J. 223
- J. Fisher, "Outlaws or In-laws?: Successes and Challenges in the Struggle for LGBT Equality" (2004) 49 McGill L.J. 1183

Reference re Same-Sex Marriage, [2004] 3 S.C.R. 698

http://scc.lexum.org/en/2004/2004scc79/2004scc79.html

Bill C-38 The Civil Marriage Act, Library of Parliament

http://www.parl.gc.ca/About/Parliament/LegislativeSummaries/bills ls.asp?ls=c38&Parl=38&S

<u>es=1</u>