
COURSE:	LAWS 4309A – State Security and Dissent
TERM:	Winter 2016
PREREQUISITES:	Fourth-year Honours standing and one of LAWS 3305, LAWS 3503, or LAWS 3509 or HIST 3305.
CLASS:	Day & Time: Wednesdays, 18:05 – 20:55
	Room: Please check with Carleton Central for current room location
INSTRUCTOR: (CONTRACT)	Yavar Hameed
CONTACT:	Office: Contract Instructor’s Office, B442 Loeb Building
	Office Hrs: By appointment only
	Telephone: (613) 232-2688 ext. 228
	Skype: yhameed
	Twitter: @yavar_hameed
	Email: yhameed@hameedlaw.ca

Academic Accommodations:

You may need special arrangements to meet your academic obligations during the term. For an accommodation request the processes are as follows:

Pregnancy obligation: write to me with any requests for academic accommodation during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist. For more details visit the Equity Services website: <http://carleton.ca/equity/>

Religious obligation: write to me with any requests for academic accommodation during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist. For more details visit the Equity Services website: <http://carleton.ca/equity/>

Academic Accommodations for Students with Disabilities: The **Paul Menton Centre** for Students with Disabilities (PMC) provides services to students with Learning Disabilities (LD), psychiatric/mental health disabilities, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD), chronic medical conditions, and impairments in mobility, hearing, and vision. If you have a disability requiring academic accommodations in this course, please contact PMC at 613-520-6608 or pmc@carleton.ca for a formal evaluation. If you are already registered with the PMC, contact your PMC coordinator to send me your **Letter of Accommodation** at the beginning of the term, and no later than two weeks before the first in-class scheduled test or exam requiring accommodation (*if applicable*). After requesting accommodation from PMC, meet with me to ensure accommodation arrangements are made. Please consult the PMC website for the deadline to request accommodations for the formally-scheduled exam (*if applicable*) at <http://carleton.ca/pmc/students/dates-and-deadlines/>

You can visit the Equity Services website to view the policies and to obtain more detailed information on academic accommodation at <http://carleton.ca/equity/>

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is presenting, whether intentional or not, the ideas, expression of ideas or work of others as one's own. Plagiarism includes reproducing or paraphrasing portions of someone else's published or unpublished material, regardless of the source, and presenting these as one's own without proper citation or reference to the original source. Examples of sources from which the ideas, expressions of ideas or works of others may be drawn from include but are not limited to: books, articles, papers, literary compositions and phrases, performance compositions, chemical compounds, art works, laboratory reports, research results, calculations and the results of calculations, diagrams, constructions, computer reports, computer code/software, and material on the Internet. Plagiarism is a serious offence.

More information on the University's **Academic Integrity Policy** can be found at:
<http://carleton.ca/studentaffairs/academic-integrity/>

Department Policy

The Department of Law and Legal Studies operates in association with certain policies and procedures. Please review these documents to ensure that your practices meet our Department's expectations.

<http://carleton.ca/law/current-students/>

Course Synopsis

Methods of state control vary through times of war and peace, constantly shifting in an attempt to reconcile the apparent tension between protecting civil liberties and ensuring security. This shifting tide is impacted by individual acts and broader social movements, which challenge the parameters of state control. This course explores counter-hegemonic resistance to state institutions that ideologically define security and in tandem exercise repressive control over Canadian society. Particular emphasis is given to laws in Canada that curtail basic civil liberties and legal challenges that test the limits of security for the state and "state apparatus". The impact, successes and relevance of individual and collective struggles for equality and human rights are considered both historically and in a contemporary context in an effort to investigate the role of dissent within Canada. To this end, dissent is presented as both a practical and theoretical lens through which we may interrogate the construction of security and hegemonic control exercised by ideological and repressive state institutions.

Evaluation Scheme:

Standing in a course is determined by the course instructor subject to the approval of the Department and of the Faculty Dean. This means that grades submitted by the instructor may be subject to revision. No grades are final until they have been approved by the Department and the Dean.

The first three classes will take the form of a lecture followed by open discussion within the class. Students will be expected to hand in five questions pertaining to the readings that should be discussed during each seminar. These questions should be handed in every week at the end of class beginning in Week 2 until Week 12.

The remaining classes will consist of debates followed by general class discussion and/or a guest speaker.

Debate (30 % of Total Mark)

The remaining nine weeks will be structured around debate resolutions. The resolution for each debate is provided in the syllabus, but may be modified by the instructor in consultation with the class to provide greater clarity.

Either individually or in pairs students will take a position for or against the resolution for a selected week. One week prior to the selected week, students will be required to submit a factum (written and sourced argument) and will be responsible during the week in question to present an oral argument for or against the resolution.

The debates themselves will be judged by a panel of students, the instructor and/or the guest lecturer. The remainder of the class will act as a jury and, after the close of the debate, the jury will deliberate in private and come up with an independent conclusion. The jury and judges will then explain their conclusion to the class. The outcome of the debate (i.e. who wins and who loses, nb: a tie or hung jury is also possible) will not be determinative of the mark assigned, but the level of preparation, contribution and interventions of the student debaters will form part of their participation mark.

Each debate will be preceded by a short introduction by the instructor. Thereafter, the student debates will continue from 1 to 1.5 hours (with intervening questions from the judges and the class) depending upon the flow of discussion. A good debate will not necessarily be cut off at a precise time, but will be moderated by the panel of judges.

Brief of Argument and External Readings 30%

At least one week prior to the scheduled debate, the moving party (**for the Resolution**) is to identify a series of issues that are to be dealt with in the debate. The issues are necessary questions that must be answered in order to decide the ultimate resolution. Generally speaking, there should be approximately three issues to be addressed. One week before the debate, the students are to email the instructor with their factum (**brief of argument**). A precedent of the brief and detailed explanation on how to prepare the brief will be provided on cuLearn.

The brief will be approximately 10 to 15 pages in length. The brief should consist of a recitation and interpretation of the resolution question, an outline of the argument and a more detailed breakdown of the points to be argued, with appropriate footnotes and citations. The parties to the debate are required to exchange their main resources to be used in the argument at least one week before the debate. The parties are also responsible at that time to post their facta and list of resources to cuLearn for review by the class.

The brief should attempt to persuade the judges by drawing upon assigned and external readings. Where external readings are relied upon by either party, they are to be exchanged with the party opposite and the instructor prior to the debate. On the day of the debate, each group should have on hand an additional copy of its factum to submit to the instructor.

Participation in the Course (20% of total mark)

Discussion Questions and Overall Participation 10%

At the end of each class you will be required to hand in five questions relating to the debate resolution assigned for that week and/or the seminar topic. You will be marked out of 10 for your submissions of questions as well as overall participation in all aspects of the course.

Self-Assessed Participation in Debates and Class Discussion 10%

In addition to participation in discussion as debaters, students will also be expected to contribute to class discussion as jury members, judges and in the plenary discussion/ seminar wherein active student participation is expected. The overall participation mark will be **self-assessed** by the students (i.e. you will determine your own participation mark out of 10) in consultation with the instructor at the end of the semester.

Major Project (MP) (50% of Total Mark)**MP Proposal 10% (due (WEEK 5) on Wednesday February 3, 2016)**

You are to propose a major project for the course to respond to one of a series of topics that the instructor will distribute at the start of the term. The project must engage directly with the questions provided, but can take any one of various forms including: organizing a public discussion or seminar, creating a short documentary film, creating a blog or website, doing policy analysis with a view to providing the analysis to an NGO, government or private actor, proposing and developing the framework for a conference or dialogue, or other format approved by the instructor in consultation with the student.

The specifics of how to design the proposal will be discussed in class and a precedent proposal will be posted on cuLearn.

MP Assignment and “Write Up” 40%

The major project or “MP” will be assessed on the basis of content, creativity, original thinking, ability to assimilate course themes and readings, external research, relevance to the audience that is chosen for dissemination, structure and feasibility. An accompanying document or “write up” (approximately 10 pages) must be provided to explain the relationship of the project to the course themes or relevant social problem discussed in seminar. Each final write-up should also include: a self-assessment component, which explains any difficulties or limitations in the project that would assist the instructor in the evaluation process as well as a section that explains the project within the broader literature and/or suggests further methods of developing or using the project. Unless otherwise stated by the instructor or in the case of an extension, the **MP and write up are due on the last day of seminar, March 30, 2016.**

Readings: ASSIGNED TEXT AVAILABLE AS CARLETON LIBRARY ELECTRONIC RESOURCE: Canadian Electronic Library. Books Collection. AND AT OCTOPUS BOOKS – 116 THIRD AVENUE

Gary Kinsman et al, ed., *Whose National Security? Canadian State Surveillance and the Creation of Enemies* (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2000). (Electronic Resource)

Recommended Texts: (these are not mandatory for the course, but you will find them very useful for your project and your own personal study)

David Barsamian. *Terrorism: Theirs & Ours* (An Interview with Eqbal Ahmed) (New York: Seven Stories Press, 2001).

Ward Churchill, *Acts of Rebellion*, (New York: Routledge, 2003).

J. Keri Cronin and Kristy Roberston, eds. *Imagining Resistance: Visual Culture and Activism in Canada*, (Wilfred Laurier University Press, 2011).

Paulo Freire, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed (30th Anniversary Edition)*, (New York: Continuum International Publishing Group Inc., 2007).

Joel Harden, *Quiet No More: New Political Activism in Canada and Around the Globe* (Formac Lorimer, 2013).

David Theodore Goldberg, *The Racial State* (Wiley Blackwell, 2001).

Mike Larsen, Kevin Walby, eds. *Brokering Access: Power Politics and Freedom of Information Process in Canada* (Vancouver: UBC Press, 2012).

Martin Luther King Jr., *Why we Can't Wait* (New York: The New American Library Inc., 1964).

Gary Kinsman and Patrizia Gentile, *The Canadian War on Queers: National Security as Sexual Regulation* (Vancouver: UBC Press, 2010).

Gary Kinsman. et al. eds., *Whose National Security? Canadian State Surveillance and the Creation of Enemies* (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2000).

Glen Greenwald, *No Place to Hide: Edward Snowden, the NSA and the U.S. Surveillance State* (Metropolitan Books, 2014).

Gregory J. Inwood and Carolyn M. Johns, eds. *Commissions of Inquiry and Policy Change: A Comparative Analysis*. University of Toronto Press and the Institute of Public Administration of Canada, 2014.

Sherene Razack ed. *Race, Space and the Law: Unmapping a White Settler Society*, (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2002).

Sherene Razack, *Casting Out: The Eviction of Muslims from Western Law & Politics* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2008).

Kent Roach, *September 11: Consequences for Canada* (Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2003).

Jeff Schmidt. *Disciplined Minds: A Critical Look at Salaried Professionals and the Soul Battering System that Shapes their Lives* (Rowman and Littlefield, 2000).

Maureen Webb, *Illusions of Security: Global Surveillance and Democracy in the Post-9/11 World* (San Francisco: City Lights, 2007).

Harsha Walia, *Undoing Border Imperialism* (AK Press, 2013).

Eyal Weizman, *Hollow Land: Israel's Architecture of Occupation* (London: Verso Books, 2007).

LIST OF SEMINARS

Week 1 (Wednesday January 6, 2016): Introduction and Background: Hegemony and Modes of State Control

State security in liberal democracies is created and maintained by the use of violence and by the construction of institutions that ideologically promote conformity and adherence to the Rule of Law. While civil society is constrained by the overt and ideological modes of state control, movements of resistance develop within civil society at historical moments of political disequilibrium. Such movements challenge the priorities of the “Security State” and its modes of activity. This seminar introduces notions of power, hegemony and the relationship between hegemonic control of the security state and policy and legislative formation.

Assigned Readings:

Louis Althusser, “Ideology and Ideological State Apparatuses: Notes Towards an Investigation” in *Lenin and Philosophy and Other Essays* (London: New Left Books, 1971) 127. –/ cuLearn or see: www.hu.mtu.edu/~rlstrick/rsvtxt/althuss1.pdf

Gary Kinsman et al., “How the Centre Holds- National Security as an Ideological Practice” in Gary Kinsman et al, ed., *Whose National Security? Canadian State Surveillance and the Creation of Enemies* (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2000) 278- 85.

Additional Suggested Reading:

Paulo Freire, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed (30th Anniversary Edition)*, (New York: Continuum International Publishing Group Inc., 2007), chapter 2 at pp. 72-86.

Antonio Gramsci, *Prison Notebooks* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1994).

PART I: Forming and Maintaining the Security of the Canadian State

Week 2 (January 13, 2016): State Formation and the Negotiation of National Interests

As a White “settler society”, Canada was founded on principles, which privileged certain national and racial categories, while denying political and economic participation to other groups such as First Nations and immigrants from Asia and Africa. The formation of the Canadian State, therefore, reflects this hierarchy through an exclusionist immigration system and differential application of domestic laws based upon racial category.

GUEST SPEAKERS: Former 4309 B Students Share their Past Projects and Experiences in the Course

Choose Debate Topics and Form Groups for Weeks 4 through 12

Assigned Readings:

Sherene H. Razack, “Introduction: When Place Becomes Race” in Sherene H. Razack, ed., *Race, Space and the Law: Unmapping a White Settler Society*, (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2002) 1. **cuLearn**

Andrew Parnaby & Gregory S. Kealey, “The Origins of Political Policing in Canada: Class, Law, and the Burden of Empire” (2003) 41 *Osgoode Hall L.J.* 211. **cuLearn**

Harsha Walia, *Undoing Border Imperialism* (AK Press, 2013).

Week 3 (January 20, 2016): Surveillance and the Role of Security Intelligence

Surveillance of Canadian society is an intrinsic part of state security and has played an important role in the evolution of the infrastructure of Canadian security intelligence. After the McDonald Commission in 1981, the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) succeeded the RCMP as a civilian body mandated to investigate threats to Canadian national security. While ostensibly national security policing and intelligence functions were separated, the new entity failed to engender appropriate reliability or accountability to meet its invasive investigatorial powers. CSIS struggled to find its feet in the years immediately following the demise of the Cold War, but has gained renewed importance in the post 9/11 era. CSIS has been criticized for improper surveillance of racialized communities (including but not limited to Muslims and Arabs in Canada) and individuals as well as civil society organizations, protestors and environmental groups opposing government policies. These complaints bring into relief the potential for abuse in terms of the use of Canadian intelligence as a tool of control and alienation of those who are considered as culturally or ideologically “deviant” within the normative framework of belonging defined by Canada’s national security elite.

Guest Speaker on History of CSIS and National Security in Canada

Assigned Readings:

Introduction in Gary Kinsman et al, ed., *Whose National Security? Canadian State Surveillance and the Creation of Enemies* (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2000) 1-8.

“Spymasters, Spies, and their Subjects: The RCMP and Canadian State Repression, 1914-39” in Gary Kinsman et al, ed., *Whose National Security? Canadian State Surveillance and the Creation of Enemies* (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2000) 18- 33.

BCCLA Complaint to SIRC re: Surveillance of Anti-Pipeline Protestors (February 6, 2014).
cuLearn

Suggested Readings:

Michel Foucault, *Discipline and Punish: the Birth of the Prison* (New York: Vintage Books, 1995).

Week 4 (January 27, 2016): Oppression of Indigenous Rights

Colonization of First Nations communities in Canada has resulted in devastating social ramifications for indigenous rights including adverse health effects, staggering poverty and systemic substance abuse and violence. Whereas First Nations communities have repeatedly drawn attention to the deplorable treatment of indigenous people in Canada, widespread social problems are either ignored, superficially treated or aggravated by state intervention. One such problem is that of treatment of aboriginal women in Canada. The murder of Tina Fontaine in August 2014 raised renewed calls by First Nations leaders for a public inquiry into murdered and missing aboriginal women. The Trudeau government has reversed the position of the Conservatives and has agreed in principle to hold a public inquiry. What would a national public inquiry reveal that is not already known? Is a public inquiry a mechanism that allows for action and response or does it curtail and divert attention from effectively responding to social problems under the veneer of creating public accountability?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED THAT a national public inquiry into murdered and missing aboriginal women is an effective method of responding to the problem of violence against aboriginal women.

Assigned Reading:

R. Centa, P. Macklem, « Securing Accountability through Commissions of Inquiry: A Role for the Law Commission of Canada » (2001) 39 Osgoode Hall L J 117 **cuLearn**

J. Stutz, « What Gets Done and Why : Implementing the Recommendations of Public Inquiries » (2008) 51 Canadian Public Administration 501 **cuLearn**

« Missing and Murdered Aboriginal Women : A National Operational Overview » RCMP, 2013 **cuLearn**

« Forsaken : The Report of the Missing Women Commission of Inquiry » November 2012. **cuLearn**

Week 5 (February 3, 2016): Racial Profiling or Fighting Domestic Terrorism? (MAJOR PROJECT PROPOSAL DUE)

The Toronto-18, San Bernadino, the Paris attacks, the Lyon decapitation – all perpetrated by Muslims. Donald Trump has, in response to events in the United States called on a moratorium of Muslim immigration to North America until we can figure out “what the hell is going on”. For Canadian Muslims, who find themselves under increased scrutiny, there is a growing expectation that Muslim communities must take steps to call out and respond to radicalization. Is such social expectation warranted and necessary or is it an encroachment on religious minority rights?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Canadian Muslims have an obligation to speak out against terrorism.

Assigned Readings:

To be posted on cuLearn.

PART II: STATE SECURITY AND DISSENT DURING TIMES OF WAR

Week 6 (February 10, 2016): Removal of Citizenship in Times of War

During periods of war, individual freedom is often subverted in the interest of building a common movement and collective “war effort”. The promotion of nationalism provides moral justification for the promotion of state interests abroad, while it also serves to create and consolidate a generally compliant attitude within civil society susceptible to the suggestions of the dominant class. Canada’s recent enactment of the *Strengthening of Canadian Citizenship Act* creates new mechanisms for the revocation of citizenship on various grounds, including revocation from persons who are members of a foreign army at war against Canada as well as revocation from people who committed terrorism offenses or other serious offenses. Is citizenship revocation a legally and morally appropriate response to a person’s commission of serious crimes? What are the implications of removal of citizenship and should there be limits to this practice?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Canada should be permitted to revoke Canadian citizenship in the interest of protecting national security.

Assigned Readings:

A Macklin, "Citizenship Revocation, the Privilege to Have Rights and the Production of the Alien" (2014) 40:1 *Queens Law Journal*. **cuLearn**

Bill C-24, *Strengthening of Canadian Citizenship Act* ;
online: <http://www.parl.gc.ca/HousePublications/Publication.aspx?Language=E&Mode=1&DocId=6684615&File=4>

Reference re: *Persons of Japanese Race* [1946] SCJ No. 7. **cuLearn**

CLASSES SUSPENDED FOR WINTER BREAK FEBRUARY 15-20, 2016

Week 7 (February 24, 2016): Sexual Orientation and Ideological Security

Frank Wade was a distinguished professor and one of the founders of Carleton University's department of psychology. His research was also at the forefront of the creation of the "Fruit Machine" - a device used by Canada during the Cold War designed to identify homosexuals and homosexual tendencies in subjects based on pupil dilation in response to images. He along with approximately 17 other Carleton Professors were involved in the development of research and technology for the Government of Canada for screening out homosexuals from the public service. Does this pattern of activity constitute a crime? Was Carleton deliberately involved in the persecution of and causing serious mental harm to homosexuals in Canada? Although homosexual activities were deemed criminal at the time of the research, can Carleton University be indicted today at the Hague for Crimes Against Humanity?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Carleton University should be indicted for crimes against humanity for its role in creating the "Fruit Machine".

Assigned Readings:

"Constructing Gay Men and Lesbians as National Security Risks, 1950-70" in Gary Kinsman et al, ed., *Whose National Security? Canadian State Surveillance and the Creation of Enemies* (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2000) 143-153.

"Debilitating Divisions: The Civil Liberties Movement in Early Cold War Canada, 1946-48" in Gary Kinsman et al, ed., *Whose National Security? Canadian State Surveillance and the Creation of Enemies* (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2000) 143-153.

Recommended Reading:

Gary Kinsman and Patrizia Gentile, *The Canadian War on Queers: National Security as Sexual Regulation* (Vancouver: UBC Press, 2010).

Week 8 (March 2, 2016): To bomb or not to bomb?

The Liberal government, if elected, promised to pull its CF18 fighters from the bombing mission in Iraq, but the mission has continued. A compromise was proposed that Canada could play a more effective role in training foreign troops rather than continuing its bombing mission. Is bombing Iraq an effective or necessary step to fight terrorism or is it perpetuating a cycle of war and terrorism?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED THAT Canada should expand its bombing mission in Iraq.

Assigned Readings:

To be posted on cuLearn

Part III: DISSENT AND DISTURBING OF THE PEACE

Week 9 (March 9, 2016): Disturbing Campus

In order to practice law you need to first go to law school. But what does law school teach? Whereas many people view law as a vehicle to promote justice and protect those who are disenfranchised, the system of legal education reproduces the *status quo*. Many law schools define themselves as social justice law programs, but offer no meaningful way to practice law that is oriented towards social justice. Is the law a pathway to justice or is it an illusory system? What would a law program look like that “walks the walk” of social justice?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED THAT law school is just too damn expensive to be worth it.

Assigned Reading:

D. Kennedy, “Legal Education and the Reproduction of Hierarchy” (1982) 32 J Legal Educ (591)
cuLearn

Week 10 (March 16, 2016): Hacktivism and Its Discontents

The rise of hacktivism has seen the growth of vigilante, non-governmental organizations using technology and breach of internet security as a form of whistle blowing or public shaming. The group, Anonymous, has been involved in several campaigns – including a recent campaign to shame ISIS. Is hacktivism a tool for social good or is it a criminal form of cyberterrorism?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED THAT the public use of hacktivism against governments and corporations is a form of social good.

Assigned Reading:

To be posted on cuLearn

Week 11 (March 23, 2016): Disturbing of the Peace

The Canadian State is based on the constitutionally enshrined principles of “peace, order and good governance”. These principles, however, legitimize the values of the state, maintaining the integrity of private property and the authority of corporations and their owners. At what point does mere speech and the power of words become a criminal offense when it criticizes the consequences and reach of global capitalism? At the 2010 G20 summit, community organizer Jaggi Singh made a public statement regarding his opinion of the large encompassing fence that enclosed the perimeter for G20 leaders. He was charged with counselling mischief for this speech. What is the state interest in criminalizing speech? Does incendiary speech that motivates political action properly fit within the boundaries of criminal law or is it the criminalization of dissent?

RESOLUTION: BE IT RESOLVED that Jaggi Singh was guilty of counselling mischief at the G20.

Assigned Readings:

John Clarke, “Social Resistance and the Disturbing of the Peace” (2003) 41 *Osgoode Hall L.J.* 491. **cuLearn**

Jackie Esmonde, *The Policing of Dissent: The Use of Breach of the Peace Arrests at Political Demonstrations* (2002), 1 J.L. & Equality 246. **cuLearn**

Youtube Clip of Jaggi Singh speech at G20 (June 2010, Toronto)
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4ymRoN54CCc>

Week 12 (March 30, 2016): Speaking truth to Power

As the security state expands its role in controlling and monitoring personal information and communications, the scope for abuse and the consequences for invading personal privacy are increasing. For those who sit complacently within the machine of state surveillance, there is a tacit understanding that “Big Brother” is watching us for the greater good. However, for those who critically reflect on the significance of the state’s unauthorized invasion into personal privacy an ethical quandary arises that challenges the otherwise unassailable dogma of national security. For those who choose to blow the whistle on perceived unlawful practices of the state by unauthorized disclosure of sensitive information, the consequences are profoundly significant for democracy, the Rule of Law and state control and come at great personal risk. Does Canada have a role to protect whistleblowers and should it extend such protection to whistleblowers who reveal Canada’s own state secrets?

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Edward Snowden should be granted asylum in Canada as a Convention Refugee.

Week 13 (April 6, 2016 - OPTIONAL ATTENDANCE) Presentation of Major Project

During this class, students will have the option of attending to share with the class the product of their work from the semester. The format of this session is very informal and serves as an opportunity to share, exchange, brainstorm and consider directions for future development of the major project as a matter of interest or further academic study. This session tends to be very popular, informative and entertaining for all those who attend.