Minutes of a Meeting of the Carleton University Senate Held on Friday, 25 October 2002 at 2:25 p.m. in the Senate Room, Robertson Hall

R.J. Van Loon presiding.

#### Attendance

R. Barber, R.C. Blockley, J. Breakspear, J. Bright, J.A. Brook, C.H. Chan (Clerk), T. Coates, D. Cray, S. Dalby, F. Dehne, M. de Leeuw, R. Donaldson, M. El-Moussa, S. Fai, D.P. Forcese, M. Foss, L. Frankel, B. Gillingham, J.-G. Godin, G.F. Goodwin (Acting Dean, FASS), C.C. Gordon, G. Haider, F. Hamdullahpur, D. Howe, B. Jarosz, R. Jeffreys, P. Kalyniak, P. Keen, E. Keillor, V. Kumar, G. Larose, K.T. Law, L. Librande, D. Long, K. March, A.M. Maslove, G. McKnight, H. Meghani, F. Michel, B. Mortimer, D. Petriu, A. Ritter, S. Robinson, D. Russell, M. Shams, J. Shore, S. Solowski, E. Sonley, G. Soule, I. Stakenvicius, R. Thomas, D.R. Watt, B. Wright.

#### Regrets

F. Afagh, P. Attallah, J. Blenkinsop, L. Duxbury, B. Ford, A. Freedman, R. Hnatyshyn, J. Kovalio, S.A. Mahmoud, L. Pal, Z. Pick, F. Rajaee, A. Rounce, M. Sutherland, R.J. Van Loon.

#### Absent

- G. MacIsaac, C. Stanford.
- 1. Introduction of New Members of Senate

Dr. G.S. Adam, Acting Chair of Senate introduced the following three new student members of Senate: Mr. R. Barber, Ms H. Meghani and Ms E. Sonley.

#### 1. Question Period

#### 1. Globe and Mail Statistics on Universities

In response to a question from Mr. M. El-Moussa on the recently published statistics in the Globe and Mail, specifically on how Carleton University could improve our reputation, several members of Senate spoke.

Dr. Adam stated that he was not impressed with the survey's methodology.

Ms S. Gottheil, Associate Vice-President (Enrolment Management), commented on the methodology as well, adding that it will be interesting to see, in future years, if further surveys register the effects of current initiatives and building.

Prof. R. Thomas commented that the methodology is suspect, in that is so flawed it makes the results completely worthless, adding that it represents irresponsible journalism.

Mr. J. Shore, Chair of the Board of Governors' University Relations Committee suggested that CAUT should respond to this stating that this is something that the universities were not prepared for. Dr. Adam noted that the Executive Heads of Ontario universities had considered that a letter be sent to the Globe and Mail. Prof. D.P. Forcese, Academic Colleague on COU, noted that the COU Chair had drafted a response.

## 2. Computer Survey

Prof. C.C. Gordon then commented on another article concerning the ranking of the three local institutions on computers.

Mr. D.R. Watt, Vice-President (Finance and Adminstration), stated that the data was not accurate.

## 3. Retrofitted Tory Building

Prof. G. Haider asked a question about the design of the newly renovated Tory Building.

## 4. The Academic Success Centre and Registrarial Services

Dean L. Librande noted that under this new Centre, the approach to student advising will change.

Prof. G. McKnight, Secretary of the FASS Faculty Board, noted that that Faculty Board has rejected the early draft on recommendations from SCASP on a new appeal process that is currently under consideration.

#### 2. Minutes

The following sets of minutes were approved, as circulated (Chan, Kumar):

Senate Minutes, 27 September 2002;

Email Poll Executive Minutes, 30 September – 1 October 2002;

Executive Minutes, 15 October 2002.

## 3. Business Arising from the Minutes

There was none.

# 4. Presentation by the Vice-President (Academic) and Provost on Protocol for Program and Curricular Changes

Dr. Adam noted that we were entering the "season" for reviewing proposals for program and curricular changes. The process begins within the academic units, to Committees of Faculty Boards, and then on to one of three Senate Committees, prior to consideration by

Senate: the Senate Curriculum Committee for minor program and all course changes; the Senate Committee on Admissions and Studies Policy for admissions standards and regulation changes; and the Senate Academic Planning Committee for major program changes and new programs.

# 5. Report of the Senate Electoral Officer

The Clerk, as Electoral Officer, reported on that three new student senators had been elected by acclamation in by-elections (see item #1 above).

#### 6. Committee Lists for 2002-03

Senate considered the above-noted List, dated 21October 2002 (Appendix A). The Clerk noted the addition of Mr. M. El-Moussa to the Integrative Review Committee and Mr. G. Soule to the Student Awards Committee.

It was MOVED (Chan, Mortimer)

that Senate ratify the Committee Lists for 2002-03, as amended above.

CARRIED.

### 7. Reports of the Senate Academic Planning Committee

### 1. Proposed Regulatory Changes for 2003-04, Faculty of Graduate

#### Studies and Research: Proficiency in English

Senate considered the above-noted Report, dated 7 October 2002 (Appendix B).

It was MOVED (Chan, Sonley)

that Senate approve the proposed regulatory changes as outlined in the Report (Appendix B).

CARRIED.

# 2. Late Program Changes for 2002-03, Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research

Senate considered the above-noted Report, dated 7 October 2002 (Appendix C).

It was MOVED (Chan, Dehne)

that Senate approved the proposed late program changes, as outlined in the Report, retroactive to 1 September 2002 (Appendix C).

CARRIED.

# 3. Report of the Integrative Review Committee: Political Science

Senate considered the above-noted Report, dated 26 September 2002 (Appendix D).

It was MOVED (Chan, Bright)

that Senate receive the Report of the Integrative Review Committee re Political Science.

CARRIED.

# 8. Report on the Activities of the Board of Governors

Senate received the above-noted Report for information and comment (Appendix E).

There were no comments.

# 9. New and Revised Graduate Scholarships and Awards

Senate received the above-noted Report for information and comment (see also Executive minutes 2577 #4 and Appendix A to those minutes).

There were no comments.

## 10. Appointments Made Contrary to the Adversitizing Policy

The Clerk reported on four appointments made in this regard (Appendix F).

The meeting was adjourned at 3:10 p.m.