

## **From Holy War On Terrorism To A Second Devilish War Against Iraq**

By Dr. Daniel T. Osabu-Kle, Expo Times, Ottawa, Canada

The crazy events of September 11 won President George Bush the support of most rationally thinking and peace-loving people of the world, including myself, in his declaration of war against terrorism. It was considered a necessary Holy War to eradicate the craziness of fundamentalism irrespective of colour or creed from the human race.

I deem it necessary to emphasize at this point that there is only one true race, the human race, and only one true nation, the nation of human beings. All other notions of race are only reifications of artificial constructs based upon shallow physical characteristics. Indeed, if physical characteristics define race, then the number of races in this world will always be equal to the number of human beings since we are all physically different. The nation-states of this world are also nothing but artificial constructs and so are the laws of immigration. Naturally, every human being has the right to be wherever he or she chooses to be on this planet. The birds and animals move freely from one place to the other without any necessity for visas because they respect and honour the true laws of nature. Greedy and irresponsible human beings are not capable of respecting such laws!

However, the peace-loving and rationally thinking people of this

planet know that there is only one true race, the human race, and only one true nation, the nation of human beings. That is precisely why the war on terrorism received such overwhelming support. Many of us thought at that time thought that President George Bush was a Christian but later events have proved us totally wrong!

The character of the war on terrorism was very disappointing. The so-called Bush Doctrine was a mistake to begin with and pointed to the entry of megalomania and the simultaneous exit of rational thinking. It was a signal of emptiness rather than fulfillment. The carnage resulting from reckless bombing of innocent civilians in Afghanistan including chiefs supportive of the Holy War and people at wedding parties confirmed that the Holy War was not going well. The prosecutors of the Holy War seemed to have forgotten that two wrongs do not make a right and craziness cannot be the remedy for another craziness. The Holy War was undermined. The reckless bombing of Canadian soldiers of the Holy War was most disappointing. Then came the turning point, President George Bush suddenly declared Iraq, Iran and North Korea the axis of evil. He and his team of Hawks proceeded to demonize and prepare to attack the secular regime in Iraq. The accusations against Iraq as a threat to the United States is ridiculous at best and the view that Iraq is an ally of Al-Qaeda one of the wonders of this century.

Whereas the Iraqi government of Saddam Hussein is secular, Al-Qaeda is a well-known Islamic fundamentalist organization and there is no established substantive causal linkage between the two. Indeed, Al-Qaeda has every reason to hate the secular

regime in Iraq. Any genuine Holy War on terrorism must therefore seek Saddam Hussein's secular regime in Iraq as an ally. On the contrary, President Bush and his Hawks have chosen to do the opposite. Moreover, in total contravention of the United Nations Charter, President Bush and his team of Hawks have waded it explicit that they are committed to a regime change in Iraq. That renders the United Nations nothing but a mockery! President Bush and his team of Hawks do not seem to have any respect for the United Nations. For over a decade, the United States and Britain have arrogated to themselves no-fly zones in Northern and Southern Iraq which they have been bombing day and night with impunity and in utter disrespect for the United Nations.

President Bush has repeatedly branded Saddam Hussein a dictator. Let us assume for a moment that Saddam Hussein is a dictator. But we must keep in mind that dictators are those who do not respect the popular opinion of people and do their own things despite opposition or resistance. Saddam Hussein dictates to Iraqis only and it is up to Iraqis to remove him if they really do not want him. President Bush currently disrespects American public opinion against war and hence dictates to Americans. In addition, President Bush dictates to the United Nations and to the Security Council. That does not end there! He dictates to the whole World as if he is the Absolute Monarch of the entire world. Comparing Saddam Hussein and George Bush, therefore, which of them is the worse dictator?

President Bush accuses Saddam Hussein of being a threat to the United States. This means Saddam Hussein's Iraq is the

aggressor. Let us assume that Saddam Hussein's Iraq has been an aggressor before for attacking Iran and Kuwait in the past. Let us even close our eyes for the moment on the immense support Saddam Hussein's Iraq enjoyed from the United States when it attacked Iran. The important question now is, who is the current aggressor? Saddam Hussein's Iraq neither has warships in the Atlantic nor in the Pacific close to the United States or Britain. Saddam Hussein's Iraq has no troops amassed close to any borders of the United States or Britain. Saddam Hussein's Iraq has declared no no-fly zones over the United States or Britain. Saddam Hussein's Iraq has not been bombing any targets in the United States or Britain. Saddam Hussein's Iraq has never sought or declared commitment to any regime change in the United States or Britain. On the contrary, Britain and the United States have warships in the Persian Gulf and have amassed troops close to the borders of Iraq and indeed at the very nose of Iraq. Indeed, in contravention of the Charter of the United Nations British and American aircraft have been bombing targets including civilians in Iraq daily for over a decade. Who then is currently the aggressor?

Britain and the United States who accuse Iraq of being in possession of weapons of mass destruction are themselves guilty of the same offence! Is there any weapons of mass destruction that Iraq potentially or supposedly has which the United States and Britain do not have? It should not be forgotten that the United States is the only country in the whole world that has ever dropped nuclear weapons on civilians. In Vietnam, the United States used napalm bombs in contravention of the Geneva

Convention. In the last Gulf War, the United States used depleted uranium against Iraqis. Moreover, the accusations against Iraq are very shaky being founded on concocted lies and hypocrisy aimed purposely at a false casus belli. A case in point is the supposed facts of intelligence Tony Blair advanced in pursuit of a casus belli which turned out to be nothing but lies with accompanied plagiarism. Surely, Tony Blair must resign for lying to the whole world. If he does not resign, it means Britain has degenerated into a United Kingdom of Lies and Hypocrisy.

It is a disgrace that in his speech before the Security Council, Colin Powell referred to Tony Blair's pack of lies and plagiarism as one of the principal evidence against Iraq. What a shame! Colin Powell seems to be oblivious to history. His being an American today is a product of a similar conspiracy committed against Africans for almost five hundred years in which European greed converted Africans from human beings into commodities and chattels! If many countries in Europe are today supportive of the aggression against Iraq, it is no surprise given the historical fact that at a similar European conspiracy conference in Berlin in the nineteenth century, Europeans divided Africa among themselves under the lie of a white man's burden to civilize Africa. It turned out that Africans rather suffered deeply in bearing the white man's burden of looting and plundering Africa. If Italy is supportive of the aggression against Iraq, it is a signal that there is a resurgence of fascism in the land of Mussolini. If Spain is supportive, it is reminiscent of the Spanish extermination of the Amerindian populations. If Australia is supportive, remember the extermination of the Australian Aborigines. The eloquence of

George Bush before Congress should remind us of Napoleon Bonaparte and Adolph Hitler.

In conclusion, the war on terrorism is a Holy War for the benefit of the whole human race. The war against Iraq is a devilish war founded upon lies. Any person who is deceived into thinking that George Bush is a Christian must first ask the question: at which point in time and at what place did the Prince of Peace become a preemptive Prince of War of Aggression? The Prince of War of aggression is certainly Satan and not Christ. If George Bush is really a Christian, he shall surely follow Christ, the Prince of Peace and not Satan, the wicked Prince of War. The world wants peace but George Bush with his team of hawks including Tony Blair wants war. The world therefore does not need George Bush and his team of hawks. It is therefore not the government of Saddam Hussein that should go into exile but George Bush and his team of hawks so that the world can have peace.

Dr. Daniel Tetteh Osabu-Kle is a Ghanaian-born Canadian lecturer at the Carleton University in Canada.