

**CARLETON UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON  
QUALITY ASSURANCE  
Cyclical Review of the undergraduate programs  
in Linguistics  
Executive Summary and Final Assessment Report**

This Executive Summary and Final Assessment Report of the cyclical review of Carleton's undergraduate programs in Linguistics are provided pursuant to the provincial Quality Assurance Framework and Carleton's Institutional Quality Assurance Process (IQAP).

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The undergraduate programs in Linguistics reside in the School of Linguistics and Language Studies, a unit administered by the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences.

As a consequence of the review, the programs were categorized by Carleton University's Senate Quality Assurance and Planning Committee (SQAPC) as being of good quality. (Carleton's IQAP 7.2.13).

The External Reviewers' report offered a very positive assessment of the programs. Within the context of this positive assessment, the report nonetheless made a number of recommendations for the continuing enhancement of the programs. These recommendations were productively addressed by the Director of the School of Linguistics and Language Studies and the Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences in responses to the External Reviewers' report and Action Plan that was submitted to SQAPC on June 4<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

**Department of Linguistics**  
**Unit Response to External Reviewers' Report & Action Plan**  
**Programs Being Reviewed: Undergraduate Programs**  
**Approved by Dean: include title/date**  
**[November 25, 2019]**

**Note: This document (excluding the categorization and unit response column) is forwarded to Senate, the Quality Council and posted on the Vice- Provost's external website.**

**Introduction & General Comments**

Please include any general comments regarding the External Reviewers' Report.

*[Sample Text: The Department/School/Institute was pleased to receive the Reviewers' very positive External Reviewers' report on [date]. This report was shared with our faculty and staff, and we are committed to the continual improvement of our programs to enhance the student, staff, and faculty experience. This document contains both a response to the External Reviewers' Report and an Action Plan (Section B) which have been created in consultation with the Dean(s).*

For each recommendation, a category has been applied indication one of the following responses:

- Recommendations agreed to unconditionally;
- Recommendations agreed to if additional resources permit;
- Recommendations not agreed to.

**Calendar Changes**

If any of the action items you intend to implement will result in calendar changes, please describe what those changes will be. To submit a formal calendar change, please do so using the Courseleaf system.

## Action Plan

### Programs Being Reviewed:

External Reviewer Recommendation & Categorization	Action Item	Owner	Timeline	Will the action described require calendar changes? ( Y or N)
<p>1. Given the large number of new programs, we would recommend that no major reforms be implemented for a few years and that effort be spent on the stabilization and fine-tuning of the current curriculum. The possibility of phasing out old programs should also be considered; for instance, it is unclear whether the old BA honours in Linguistics is still essential.</p>	<p><i>No new proposals until after the next midterm review.</i></p>	<p><i>LING</i></p>	<p><i>3 years</i></p>	<p><i>N</i></p>
<p>2. As the BA honours in Linguistics with specialization in Psycholinguistics and Communication Disorders does not satisfy the admission requirements of most MA programs in speech-language</p>	<p><i>Clarify SLP advertising</i></p>	<p><i>LING</i></p>	<p><i>ASAP (website revision expected to be done for F 2020)</i></p>	<p><i>N</i></p>

<p>pathology, perhaps it should be advertised as a program leading to graduate studies in psycholinguistics rather than a program leading to speech-language pathology.</p>				
<p>3. The informal arrangement with the Department of Biology by which some 4th year students in Linguistics are allowed to take anatomy and physiology should be formalized and officially offered to students. Who gets to enroll in this course should be determined based on transparent and objective criteria.</p>	<p><i>Clarify SLP advertising</i></p>	<p><i>LING</i></p>	<p><i>ASAP (website revision expected to be done for F 2020)</i></p>	<p><i>N</i></p>
<p>4. Guidelines and deadlines regarding directed studies should be better advertised.</p>	<p><i>Clarify directed studies</i></p>	<p><i>LING</i></p>	<p><i>ASAP (website revision expected to be done for F 2020)</i></p>	<p><i>N</i></p>
<p>5. Student involvement should be considered in the assessment of learning outcomes in a more informal manner than what is proposed in the current plan, so that unexpected issues have a better chance of being raised. It may also be necessary to find imaginative ways to elicit the contribution of students who are less involved in the department.</p>	<p><i>Two student representatives from the CATL student group now participate in all LING faculty meetings and the VP of CATL is copied in organizational emails. Student reps have had opportunity to participate in discussions and have already brought items of concern to discussion.</i></p>	<p><i>LING</i></p>	<p><i>Complete</i></p>	<p><i>N</i></p>

<p>6. An effort should be made to reunite all SLaLS personnel in a single building or to relocate the entire school within reasonable walking distance. If this is not possible, all linguistic faculty should at the very least be moved to a single building containing enough space to build proper laboratories and research facilities.</p>		<p><i>FASS</i></p>		
<p>7. In order to mitigate the detrimental effect of large classes on classroom experience and student performance, TA-led tutorials should be offered. If the graduate programs in Linguistics are not quickly funded by the province, the lack of TAs could be compensated by facilitating the recruitment of TAs from Applied Linguistics and Cognitive Science. Advanced undergraduate students in Linguistics may also be hired.</p>	<p><i>Create TA-led Tutorials for 1001, 2005, 2007, 3004, &amp; 3007</i></p>	<p><i>LING</i></p>	<p><i>Complete</i></p>	<p><i>Y, already complete.</i></p>
<p>8. The department should make the conference room (or any other comparable space) available to undergraduate students when it is not in use (late afternoon, for instance) to allow the organization of student association meetings, and mentoring and collaborative work sessions.</p>	<p><i>PA 249 available for students to reserve.</i></p>	<p><i>SLaLS</i></p>	<p><i>Complete</i></p>	<p><i>N</i></p>

<p>9. A faculty liaison should be in charge of communication with students to insure that there is an undergraduate student committee every year and that students are consulted when program changes are implemented and logistic decisions taken. A (non-voting) student representative should also attend the Linguistic program's faculty meetings.</p>	<p><i>Associate Director of Linguistics is now the official liaison with CATL. Two student representatives from the CATL student group now participate in all LING faculty meetings and the VP of CATL is copied in organizational emails. Student reps have had opportunity to participate in discussions and have already brought items of concern to discussion.</i></p>	<p>LING</p>	<p>Complete</p>	<p>N</p>
<p>10. Documentation about best paths through the programs should be prepared and distributed to the students. Students should also have access to the prospective schedule for the 2-3 upcoming years, with a caveat that the course rotation schedule may be affected by leaves.</p>	<p><i>Clarify paths through programs.</i></p>	<p>LING</p>	<p><i>ASAP (website revision expected to be done for F 2020)</i></p>	<p>N</p>

<p>11. To facilitate recruitment and involvement of Aboriginal students in the programs, it may be judicious to make sure that the language chosen for the Field methods course is an Aboriginal language on a regular basis.</p>	<p><i>An Indigenous language will be used in Field Methods subject to availability of consultants and appropriate relationships between faculty and communities.</i></p>	<p>LING</p>	<p>Complete</p>	<p>N</p>
<p>12. Effort should be made to guarantee that there is always a qualified faculty to teach semantics (long-term contract instructor or tenure-track position).</p>	<p><i>FASS will be pursuing a Semantics hire in an upcoming budget cycle.</i></p>	<p>FASS</p>	<p>January 2020</p>	<p>N</p>
<p>13. The faculty's expertise in language documentation and field research should be better advertised.</p>	<p><i>Increase visibility of language documentation faculty</i></p>	<p>LING</p>	<p>ASAP (website revision expected to be done for F 2020)</p>	<p>N</p>
<p>14. To preserve the autonomy of the Linguistics unit within SLaLS, the Assistant-Director of SLaLS should be officially recognized as the chair of the Linguistics unit and the Program meetings should be assimilated to faculty meetings.</p>	<p><i>SLaLS will reorganize internal governance to better reflect its structure as a School rather than a large Department.</i></p> <p><i>These plans are in the draft stage, but SLaLS conducted a thorough study to the governance of all the various schools at Carleton and has drafted a plan in that draws on that study. The current draft of</i></p>	<p>SLaLS</p>	<p>3 years</p>	<p>N</p>

	<i>the plan most closely replicates the way the School of Journalism and Communications handles governance: i.e. a very federalist model.</i>			
--	---	--	--	--