

OTTAWA-CARLETON INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGY (OCIB)
GUIDE FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS AT CARLETON UNIVERSITY

Revised February 2026

Contents

1.	Admission, Registration and Funding	2
2.	Advisory Committee and Meetings	3
3.	Degree Requirements	4
3.1.	Requirements for the M.Sc. program	4
3.2.	Requirements for the Ph.D. program	4
3.3.	Transfer from M.Sc. to Ph.D. (Fast-track)	5
3.4.	Residency Regulations	6
3.5.	Time Limits for Program Completion (Extension Requests)	6
4.	Ph.D. Qualifying Exam	6
4.1.	Research Proposal	6
4.2.	Purpose	7
4.3.	Examining Board	7
4.4.	Scheduling	7
4.5.	Format of Examination	7
4.6.	The Chair's responsibilities	8
4.7.	Performance	8
5.	M.Sc. and Ph.D. Theses and Defences	9
5.1.	The Seminar Requirement	9
5.2.	Thesis format	9
5.3.	Defence Scheduling and Thesis Deadlines	10
5.4.	Thesis Examination Committee	10
5.5.	Defence Format	11
5.6.	Performance	11
5.6.1.	M.Sc. Thesis Defence	11
5.6.2.	Ph.D. Thesis Defence	12
5.7.	Final Thesis Deposition	12
5.8.	Medals/Awards	13

This guide is meant to answer the most frequently asked questions about the M.Sc. and Ph.D. Programs for **Carleton Graduate Students** within the Ottawa Carleton Institute of Biology (OCIB). It is divided chronologically from first registration to final submission of the thesis copies to Graduate Studies (Office of the Vice-Provost). Note that some regulations are set by the University, some by the OCIB, and some by the Department. This guide is indicative of, but not the final word on program regulations since details can change over time. Students should therefore consult the [Graduate Calendar](#), Biology's Graduate Administrator, the Associate Chair (Graduate Studies) of Biology (referred to as the "Grad Chair" because they chair the Graduate Committee), and/or the appropriate officer in the Office of the Vice-Provost (Graduate Studies) if in doubt about specific program regulations.

Success at the graduate level depends on the existence of a satisfactory relationship between student and supervisor; for guidance, please see the [Graduate Supervision–Responsibilities and Expectations Policy](#). Within the Department of Biology, your research supervisor, the Grad Administrator, the Grad Chair, and the Chair are available to assist you with conflicts or concerns; for resolution beyond the Department, see the above Responsibilities and Expectations Policy. For mental health and wellness

concerns, see Carleton's [Wellness Services Navigator](#). For students with disabilities, resources are available through the [Paul Menton Centre](#). There are plenty of opportunities to enhance your experience as a graduate student in Biology. For example, attend (or help coordinate) our student-led [Seminar Series](#), consider becoming actively involved with the Biology Graduate Student Association (BGSA), or help organize the annual OCIB conference.

1. Admission, Registration and Funding

Students are admitted to the Institute after:

1. They have met the admissions requirements as set by [Graduate Admissions](#),
2. A supervisor or co-supervisors have indicated acceptance,
3. Funding at or above the current minimum has been arranged.

Note that the academic requirements for admission to the OCIB may be slightly higher than those listed in the Calendar as [General Requirements for the University](#). The OCIB normally requires a minimum B+ (77-79%) Grade Point Average (GPA) overall. Note that applications meeting all criteria (above) are not guaranteed acceptance: applications are reviewed as they are received on a "rolling" basis, and admission decisions are made until available funding is exhausted.

A Ph.D. (except [Collaborative Specializations](#)) involves 1.5 credit (0 credits from thesis and 1.5 credit from taught courses; *i.e.* three 0.5 credits including Introduction to Graduate Studies (BIOL 5000)); a M.Sc. (except [Collaborative Specializations](#)) involves 5.0 credits (3.5 credits from thesis and 1.5 credit from taught courses; *i.e.* three 0.5 credits including Introduction to Graduate Studies (BIOL 5000)).

Funding for domestic students* is normally made up from three sources:

1. A Full Teaching Assistantship (TA): normally 10 hours of work/week in the Fall and Winter terms,
2. "Departmental" Scholarships,
3. A Research Assistantship (RA) paid from the Supervisor's Research Grant(s).

* Please consult with the Graduate Chair or Graduate Administrator for funding options for International students.

External Scholarship holders (for example those receiving awards from NSERC or OGS) will be awarded the Departmental Scholarships as applicable and may hold a full TA, but the continued award of an RA is at the discretion of the supervisor(s) who contributes it (note that if the RA is reduced then one of the Domestic Scholarships may be reduced). As the values of scholarships change from year to year, please contact the Biology Graduate Administrator or Graduate Chair of Biology for details.

Students given TA support are subject to the collective agreement with [CUPE 4600 - Unit 1](#), and as such are given priority for TA funding as follows:

Ph.D. students	10 terms over 5 consecutive calendar years
M.Sc. students	4 terms over 5 consecutive calendar terms

Please refer to the Graduate Calendar for information on registration procedures and deadlines.

2. Advisory Committee and Meetings

As soon as possible and no later than 4 months after registration, an Advisory Committee should be appointed to guide the candidate's research throughout the program and to meet formally with the candidate at least once yearly. The Advisory Committee consists of at least three members, including the candidate's supervisor (and co-supervisor if applicable) and two advisors. [Supervisors and co-supervisors](#) are normally members of the OCIB (either as faculty or as adjunct). **Any exceptions must first be approved by the Graduate Chair in Biology and by Graduate Studies.** Adjunct Professors may co-supervise M.Sc. students and Adjunct Research Professors may co-supervise both M.Sc. and Ph.D. students. Teaching Stream Faculty may not supervise or co-supervise graduate students, but they can sit on their Advisory Committee. Advisors are normally chosen in consultation with the student. Normally, the two advisors will be OCIB members; one from each University. However, as the purpose of the Committee is to provide the best possible mentors for the student, advisors can also be drawn from another relevant Institute. **Advisors who are not OCIB members must be approved by the Graduate Chair in Biology.**

Advisory Committee membership (including supervisor, co-supervisors and advisors) must be noted on the Advisory Committee Report and Appraisal Form that is filled out by the supervisor at the first and all subsequent Committee meetings. This form will be placed in the student's file, as it is an important record of the student's progress throughout their degree and includes written support and advice from their Committee. The Advisory Committee Report and Appraisal Form will also include an assessment of the extent to which the student is achieving the appropriate graduate learning outcomes (LOs). These forms should be submitted to the Graduate Administrator following each committee meeting. The yearly Advisory Committee Meetings for M.Sc. and Ph.D. students are also tracked on the student's academic audit as "milestones." Students can run their academic audit any time through Carleton Central.

The first meeting of M.Sc. and Ph.D. Advisory Committees should be held within the first 6 months, and is scheduled by the student. This meeting will typically involve discussion of a written research proposal (approximately 3,000 to 5,000 words, or 6 to 10 pages excluding references), submitted at least a week in advance to the Committee. This document sets the proposed research within a broader scientific context, will centre on a research plan specifying how they intend to contribute to this area, present any baseline data they may have collected, and propose a timeline through to degree completion. The meeting begins with a brief (~15 minutes) oral presentation of the proposal by the student. Note that the proposal is designed to stimulate and focus discussion but (like all good plans) is subject to change. Subsequent meetings are the same, although the proposal will take the form of a progress report. During the meetings, the supervisor and student will consult with the Advisory Committee on [course selections](#). As courses offered will vary among terms, please consult the [public class schedule](#). Because "fast-tracking" to PhD from MSc must be initiated early (see 3.3 below), this should be discussed, as applicable, during the first meeting for MSc students.

At the end of each advisory committee meeting, the supervisor and/or co-supervisors will leave the meeting, allowing the student to converse privately with the advisors. The supervisor and/or co-supervisors should not return to the meeting; as such, the advisory committee form should be completed first. This time is intended to allow for open discussion of scientific questions, other challenges, as well as the student-supervisor relationship. Students may also seek advice from the Associate Chair of Graduate Studies and/or the Office of Graduate Studies and/or Ombuds services.

At the PhD level, the student's Qualifying Exam (QE) should be discussed during the first meeting of the Advisory Committee. This discussion is intended to help prepare students for the exam. The Advisory Committee Report and Appraisal Form should therefore include an indication of the approximate time of the QE. See section 4.0 of this document for further details.

3. Degree Requirements

You can keep track of your progress through your degree requirements using your [Carleton Central audit](#).

3.1. Requirements for the M.Sc. program

The M.Sc. degree will be conferred upon a candidate who has fulfilled the following requirements:

1. Completion of 1.5 credits in graduate courses: normally two half-credit (i.e. one term) courses specified by the student's Advisory Committee, and BIOL 5000 Introduction to Graduate Studies; however, the student's Advisory Committee may also direct the student to take or to audit additional courses. Students pursuing collaborative specializations are welcome to take Introduction to Graduate Studies (BIOL 5000) as an additional credit (with the support of their supervisors). However, this is not required and would be additional to their program requirements. The passing grade for all required courses is 70% (or equivalent) and the student is not allowed a supplemental examination. These courses must be graduate-level courses and are normally OCIB offerings. Graduate courses offered by other departments at Carleton must be approved in advance by the Carleton Graduate Chair as well as the instructor of the course in the Department offering the course.
2. Normally completion of at least two terms (note there are 3 terms per year: fall, winter, summer) as a full-time student resident. Programs for part-time students may be arranged.
3. Presentation of a Departmental seminar on the candidate's thesis research.
4. Completion of a thesis (BIOL 5909) incorporating the results of original research carried out under the direct supervision of an approved faculty member.
5. Successful oral defence of the thesis before an examination board. See Section 5.0 of this document for details.

3.2. Requirements for the Ph.D. program

The Ph.D. degree will be conferred upon a candidate who has fulfilled the following requirements:

1. Completion of 1.5 credits in graduate courses: normally two half-credit (i.e. one term) courses specified by the student's Advisory Committee, and BIOL 5000 Introduction to Graduate Studies; however, the student's Advisory Committee may also direct the student to take or to audit additional courses. Students pursuing collaborative specializations are welcome to take Introduction to Graduate Studies (BIOL 5000) as an additional credit (with the support of their supervisors). However, this is not required and would be additional to their program requirements.

The passing grade for all required courses is 70% (or equivalent) and the student is not allowed a supplemental examination. These courses must be graduate-level courses and are normally OCIB offerings. Graduate courses offered by other departments at Carleton must be approved by the Carleton Graduate Chair as well as the instructor of the course in the Department offering the course.

2. Scheduling, and passing, of Qualifying Examination normally within 18 months (5 terms) of entry into the program. This examination will cover the candidate's area of research and related topics. See details in section 4.0. of this document. **Please see Section 3.3 of this document if the if the Qualifying Exam is being done as a requirement to fast-track from M.Sc. to Ph.D.**
3. Presentation of a Departmental seminar on the candidate's thesis research.
4. A thesis (BIOL 6909) incorporating the results of original research carried out under the direct supervision of an approved Faculty member.
5. Normally, the completion of at least four terms as a full-time student resident (or six terms if admitted without an M.Sc. via fast-tracking; note there are 3 terms per year: fall, winter, summer). Under exceptional conditions programs may be arranged for part-time students.
6. Successful oral defence of the thesis before an examination board. See Section 5.0 of this document for details.

3.3. Transfer from M.Sc. to Ph.D. (Fast-track)

A student already registered in the M.Sc. program may be admitted to the Ph.D. program without completing their master's program following:

1. A recommendation by the M.Sc. Advisory Committee (in writing on the Advisory Committee Report) from a Committee meeting, normally within 6 to 8 months and, at most, within the first three terms after first registration in the MSc program.
2. Successful completion of the Qualifying Examination required of Ph.D. candidates (i.e. "satisfactory"). **The Qualifying Exam for students intending to fast track should be completed in time to allow registration in the PhD program within 18 months of first MSc registration.**

Students in good standing who have been admitted to Ph.D. by fast-tracking without receiving an M.Sc. will be eligible to use course credits they have already obtained at the M.Sc. level to help fulfill their Ph.D. course requirements. Students who fast-track from a MSc to a PhD and have taken Introduction to Graduate Studies (BIOL 5000) are not required to take it a second time.

Note that the process of fast-tracking involves withdrawal from the M.Sc. program and application to the Ph.D. program; thus, an application fee will be applied by Admissions. For guidance on fast-tracking, please communicate with the Biology Graduate Administrator.

3.4. Residency Regulations

M.Sc. – Normally completion of at least 2 terms as a full-time student resident.

Ph.D. - Normally completion of at least 4 terms as a full-time student resident. Students involved in lengthy field work may require a letter from the Graduate Chair confirming that absence from the University is essential to their thesis.

Note: Please do not let your registration at the University lapse. If your registration lapses you will receive a Loss of Status letter and must apply for reinstatement. If reinstated, students must pay a reinstatement charge plus the equivalent of 1.0 credit tuition fees for each term in which they failed to register, as well as for the current term of registration.

3.5. Time Limits for Program Completion (Extension Requests)

Time limits are published in the General Regulations section of the [Graduate Calendar](#). If you go over your time limit, then you must obtain an extension in order to register. Please see the Graduate Studies website for the [Extension Policy](#) and [Extension Request Form](#) in which you must clearly outline the reasons for the program delay and provide plans and a timeline for completing the thesis and the defence. This form must be co-signed by the primary supervisor, and is then submitted to the Graduate Administrator. This letter and consequent recommendation of the Graduate Chair are reviewed by Graduate Studies. Extensions are not granted indefinitely.

If you feel that a leave of absence (LOA) from your program (*e.g.* for medical reasons) will be required, please speak to the Graduate Chair or Graduate Administrator for advice prior to applying for the leave. The LOA would then be requested using the [Registration Change Form](#).

4. Ph.D. Qualifying Exam

All students enrolled in the Ph.D. program are required to successfully complete an Oral Qualifying Examination. Normally the candidate will take the exam within the first 18 months of registration. See different time line for those “fast-tracking” from M.Sc. to Ph.D., in Section 3.3 of this document.

The contents of the Research Proposal and Qualifying Exam should be discussed well in advance by the Advisory Committee (ideally during the first Advisory Committee Meeting).

4.1. Research Proposal

Approximately 3,000 to 5,000 words long (6 to 10 pages excluding references). Details will differ according to content (*e.g.* many students may choose to break their proposal down into inter-related chapters) but it will generally include the following sections:

- a. Title page which also gives the composition of the Qualifying Exam Committee, the date of submission and date and location of the exam.

- b. An introduction giving the scientific basis for the study, a brief review of the current literature, and presentation of the hypothesis(es) that form the basis for the research.
- c. A statement of the scientific value of this research and the rationale for performing it.
- d. The specific objective(s) of the study and the underlying logic.
- e. The proposed methodology including a statement of how the data will be analyzed.
- f. A projected timetable for the research.
- g. Research completed to date (this section is optional).
- h. References.

4.2. Purpose

The examination has two main goals:

- 1. By reference to the thesis proposal, to assess the candidate's abilities to produce new knowledge in the chosen topic of research, and
- 2. To assess the candidate's abilities and preparation both in the research specialty and in broader topics which logically support and provide conceptual perspective for the research proposal. The examination is NOT intended to rule on the acceptability of the research project.

4.3. Examining Board

Will be composed of at least 5 members (please see table in section 5, below): the Chair, the supervisor (or co-supervisors), the 2 advisors from the Advisory Committee (normally one drawn from each University), and 1 other Member of the OCIB who is not on the student's Advisory Committee.

4.4. Scheduling

The student and supervisor(s) consult the Advisory Committee and agree upon a date, time and place suitable to all.

At least four weeks before the scheduled date, the student notifies the Graduate Administrator of the agreed upon date, time and place, and of the names of the examining committee board members. A pdf (electronic copy) of the Research Proposal is submitted to the Graduate Administrator at this time.

4.5. Format of Examination

- a. The candidate will introduce the proposed research with a brief presentation (15 minutes or less) based on the research proposal and focusing on the significance and the logic of the investigation.
- b. The individual examiners will conduct a series of dialogues with the candidate which, taken together, will constitute an organized assessment with equal emphasis on the two main goals of

the examination (see Section 4.2 of this document).

The examination normally will last no more than 3 hours.

4.6. The Chair's responsibilities

- a. Collecting the student's file from the Graduate Administrator.
- b. Conducting the examination within the guidelines.
- c. Ensuring completion of requirements of the Department, the Institute, and Graduate Studies.
- d. Ensuring the thoroughness of the assessment of the candidate according to the Purpose of the examination (see Section 4.2 of this document).
- e. Providing a written report of the examination for the candidate's file, using the forms provided.

In the best judgement of the Chair, these assessments are to be:

- i. Performed in a strictly professional manner.
- ii. Performed at an intellectual level which is appropriate to a Ph.D. program.

It is within the mandate of the Chair to:

- i. Participate as an examiner.
- ii. Recess or postpone and reconvene the examination for purposes of attaining an appropriate level of questioning;
- iii. Intervene in the questioning if needed to maintain the appropriate level or conduct of the examination;
- iv. Intervene in the discussion and assessment by examiners if the vote or consensus is not, in the Chair's opinion, accurately reflecting the results of the questioning during the examination
- v. Deny the privilege of voting or forming consensus to any member of the board who was absent from any part of the examination considered significant by the Chair;
- vi. Recess or postpone and reconvene the examination if conditions under which it must be conducted are inappropriate;
- vii. Report in writing to the Graduate Chair any unusual conditions or actions taken in the conduct of the examination.

4.7. Performance

A candidate's performance on the qualifying examination will be placed in one of two categories:

Satisfactory: the candidate has fulfilled the qualifying requirements of the Ph.D. program, as described under Purpose above (see Section 4.2), to the satisfaction of the examining Committee. The Committee may recommend additional coursework or literature research to improve the candidate's knowledge in specific areas relevant to the research proposal.

Unsatisfactory: the candidate's performance was unacceptable and they must resign from the

Ph.D. program. If the candidate has not had a re-examination (see below), this designation can be suspended and the candidate may request one to be taken within 6 months of the first examination. If no re-examination is requested within 30 days of the first examination or if the re-examination is failed, the candidate must resign from the Ph.D. program.

Under exceptional circumstances the examining Committee may specify a Deferred judgement with major conditions; this means that the board has identified important flaws in the candidate's qualifications and will recommend corrective measures. Assignments and their time deadlines will be transmitted to the candidate in writing and must be met before the assessments of the qualifying exam can be completed. Normally, the same examining board will judge the candidate's success in meeting these conditions and in order to make that judgement, may ask for a re-examination within 6 months of the first examination. If the re-examination is failed, the candidate must resign from the Ph.D. program.

5. M.Sc. and Ph.D. Theses and Defences

Please read the section in the Graduate Calendar entitled [Thesis Requirements](#). Note especially the sections on deadlines for graduation, thesis specifications and Thesis Examination Policy. Please refer to Biology Graduate [Thesis Defence Procedures](#) for additional information.

5.1. The Seminar Requirement

A Departmental Seminar is required at both the M.Sc. and Ph.D. levels. This “exit seminar” is generally presented immediately before the defence. A public question period may follow the seminar, before the defence proper; during the public question period, examining board members should not ask questions, instead saving theirs for the defence proper. The exit seminar, including questions, should not exceed 25 minutes for M.Sc. students, or 45 minutes for Ph.D. students. Examining board members are expected to attend the exit seminar if it is held immediately before the defence. At the discretion of the student and the supervisor, the exit seminar may be held at a different time (e.g., 1-2 weeks before the defence); in this case, the defence should include a short (15-minute) presentation for the examining board. The seminar time, date and venue should be arranged by the student and supervisor, and these details should be reported to the Graduate Administrator at least one week in advance so that the Graduate Administrator can prepare and distribute the announcement.

5.2. Thesis format

Abstract: M.Sc. thesis abstracts are limited to 150 words; Ph.D. abstracts to 350 words.

Integrated Thesis: The Institute allows theses to be organized in the form of chapters that represent published or publishable papers (“integrated theses”); however these chapters should be preceded by an introductory overview and literature review of the topic, and followed by a concluding chapter. An effort should also be made to avoid unnecessary repetition that may result from using the publishable paper format. References should be contained in one section at the end of the thesis. Appendices are acceptable. University thesis [templates](#) can be found online.

5.3. Defence Scheduling and Thesis Deadlines

The defence will be formally announced at least two weeks in advance of the M.Sc. defence, and four weeks in advance of the Ph.D. defence. **These times are University regulations, which cannot be waived.**

Notify the supervisor at least two weeks in advance of the date that you expect to finish writing the last draft of the thesis. At this time the student and supervisor should consult with the advisory Committee and agree to a suitable date, time and place for the thesis defence.

The student must upload an examinable copy of the thesis (electronic pdf) to Carleton Central **at least four weeks (for M.Sc. theses), or six weeks (for Ph.D. theses)** before the defence date for access by the Examination Committee. Students will also complete an Academic Integrity Form online. Students are encouraged to defend no later than 1 week before the upload deadline, to allow adequate time to complete revisions, if required.

The [Thesis Scheduling Form](#) must be submitted to the Graduate Administrator **before** the examinable copy of the thesis can be uploaded to Carleton Central. The Thesis Scheduling Form must indicate:

- i. the name of the student and the thesis title.
- ii. the proposed date, time and place of the defence.
- iii. the Advisory Committee members, who should have all agreed to the thesis submission.

5.4. Thesis Examination Committee

The following is a brief guide to **Examining Committee** structures:

	M.Sc. Defence	Ph.D. Defence	Qualifying Exam
Supervisor (co-supervisors)	X	X	X
Advisor (Carleton)	X	X	X
Advisor (U. of Ottawa)	X	X	X
Member of the OCIB	X		X
Member of the University*		X	
External Examiner		X	
Chair**	X	X	X

* an examiner from a department other than (and not cross-appointed to) that of the candidate. However, in the case of OCIB, the U. of Ottawa member may assume the role of Member of the University, and their vacated role as Advisor can be filled by a Carleton Biology faculty member (i.e. the total number of PhD Defence examiners remains unchanged, but this may make it easier to find the additional examiner).

** in the case of a Ph.D. Defence exam only, the chair should be from another department. This chair is selected by the supervisor. The Graduate Administrator can provide a list of potential Ph.D. Defence exam chairs upon request.

Ph.D. External Examiners

The External Examiner should be identified early in the preparations for the defence. The External

Examiner should be contacted informally by the supervisor (not the student) and asked to participate in the defence. The examiner will need to submit a CV for approval by the Associate Chair of Graduate Studies and by the Office of Graduate Studies. The External Examiner is a key person on the defence Committee and should be chosen for their expertise and impartiality, and as a recognized authority on the subject of the thesis. The External Examiner must be from outside of the University. It is wise to have another examiner in mind as a replacement should this be necessary. The Office of the Vice-Provost (Graduate Studies) does not pay for the External Examiner's travel and accommodation.

5.5. Defence Format

The thesis defence is conducted according to Graduate Studies guidelines and these should be consulted. Examinations will be conducted **in-person**, or with particular examiners (but not the Chair of Defence) participating online in a hybrid setting only if necessary. If the student and/or the Chair of Defence are unable to attend in person, the exam can proceed if approved by the Chair of the Department in advance. The overall time allotted to the combined exit seminar and defence should not exceed 3 hours (M.Sc.) or 3.5 hours (Ph.D.).

In brief, the Chair will ask the student to withdraw while the members of the examining Committee review the report of the External Examiner (for Ph.D. defences) and discuss the order and the nature of the questioning. If the student did not present their public seminar immediately before the defence (section 5.1), they will be asked to present a brief (15 minutes or less) overview of the major findings of the research. The Chair will then instruct the Committee to proceed with the examination.

Observers who belong to the Carleton community (i.e., faculty, students, and staff) may attend the examination, unless the candidate or examination chair has previously requested a closed examination with no observers. Observers from outside of Carleton may attend provided they have obtained the permission of the candidate and the Chair of the examination board; a written list of outside observers must be provided to the Chair of the examination board prior to the defence. Observers are not permitted to ask questions during the examination or participate in any other way and may not leave the examination without permission of the Chair.

5.6. Performance

5.6.1. M.Sc. Thesis Defence

The examining Committee assesses both the acceptability of the thesis and the defence. The categories for the thesis are:

- a. Accepted
- b. Acceptable after minor revisions
- c. Acceptable after major modifications
- d. Rejected

If category c) is indicated, the examining Committee or a subcommittee as designated by the Chair must

assess the modifications made to the thesis before it can be submitted to Graduate Studies.

A thesis grade is assigned one of the following categories:

- a. Satisfactory
- b. Unsatisfactory

The oral defence is likewise assessed as:

- a. Satisfactory
- b. Unsatisfactory

5.6.2. Ph.D. Thesis Defence

The examining Committee assesses both the acceptability of the thesis and the defence.

The categories for the thesis are:

- a. Accepted
- b. Acceptable after minor revisions
- c. Acceptable after major modifications
- d. Rejected

If category c) is indicated, the examining Committee or a subcommittee as designated by the Chair must assess the modifications made to the thesis before it can be submitted to Graduate Studies.

A thesis grade is assigned under one of the following categories:

- a. Satisfactory
- b. Unsatisfactory

The oral defence is likewise assessed as:

- a. Satisfactory
- b. Unsatisfactory

Remember to have the External Examiner sign the original copy of the [thesis acceptance page](#) before they leave the University.

5.7. Final Thesis Deposition

After the defence is completed successfully, the Graduate Administrator will authorize an electronic thesis upload. The student will receive an email (Carleton Email Account ONLY) with instructions on how to upload of the final revised thesis through Carleton Central. Once the upload is completed by the student the thesis supervisor will receive an email to authorize the corrections in Carleton Central. This will be the final step for the thesis deposit from the department.

Consult the [Academic Year](#) section of the Calendar for specific deadlines on submitting the final thesis copies. These deadlines must be met to avoid an additional term of registration in the program, and they also determine the date of the convocation for your graduation.

Don't forget to apply to graduate! Applications must be made via Carleton Central. Deadlines can be found in the Graduate Calendar.

5.8. Medals/Awards

Recommendations for [Senate and University Medals](#) should be made at the time of the defence, as these recommendations require supporting letters from the Chair of the Examining Board and the Member of the Institute (for M.Sc. defences), or the External Examiner (for Ph.D. defences). Supervisors/co-supervisors may provide context to medal discussions (e.g., regarding the amount of technical support received by the student), but may not vote on the medal recommendation.

All nominees for Senate and University medals will also be considered for Department of Biology Outstanding Thesis Awards (OTA) using the same criteria. The documentation for Senate and University Medals for those nominated by the Examination Board at the time of the defence will subsequently be reviewed by the Biology Graduate Committee for OTA recommendations. OTAs (normally one awarded at M.Sc. and one at Ph.D. level) are announced annually by the Graduate Chair.
