Full Name			
Faculty/Department			
Position			
Title of Project			
Total Amount Requested	\$		
Please confirm that you:		37	ı N.T
	or tenure-track position at Carleton committee comments on an unsuccessful	Yes	INo
proposal from SSHRC w Connection and Partner	Yes	ıNo	
3) Have previously held at least one grant from SSHRC/NSERC/CIHR or from a national sponsor outside of Canada as a Principal			ıNo
4) Are not currently holding Tri-Council funding as a Principal Investigator		Yes	ıNo
5) Are you currently holding a CU SSHRC Exchange – Knowledge Mobilization Grant?		Yes	ıNo
		Yes	ıNo
If you have held a CU Research Development Grant (SSHRC Explore or NSERC or CIHR) 3 years ago or earlier, please briefly explain in the text box below how the objectives of the current proposal differ from your past grant(s). Clearly indicate whether there is any duplication of funding for any of the proposed activities. (250 words)			ate year ard:
If you held a previous CU F your final report?	Research Development Grant, did you submit	Yes	ıNo

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Previously referred to as NSE and Health CU Development Grants.

Team Information					
<b>Co-Investigator</b> (if applicable)- Must be eligible to hold this internal grant					
Full Name					
Faculty/Department					
Position					
Team Members (if	applicable, include up to 4 team members, partners, Carleton				
faculty members, external researchers, Indigenous Elders, etc.)					
#1					
Full Name					
Organization Name					
Position					
#2					
Full Name					
Organization Name					
Position					
#3					
Full Name					
Organization Name					
Position					
#4					
Full Name					
Organization Name					
Position					

#### **Instructions**

Please complete the following sections in the space provided, ensuring that you have followed the guidelines.

NOTE: Each text box will allow a maximum number of words.

- $\checkmark$  Incomplete applications will not be accepted
- ✓ Avoid using acronyms and abbreviations or explain them fully
- ✓ Failure to provide the required information could render your application ineligible
- ✓ Applicants must submit a cuResearch Approval Form

Note: The degree of conciseness and clarity in the description of the proposed research project or program may have a significant influence on the outcome of the application. **Please ensure to write in lay terms, for a multi-disciplinary review committee.** 

<u>Committee Comments</u> (300 words max): Explain how you will address the committee comments and generally strengthen your SSHRC proposal. Please attach your committee comments.
<b>Summary (250 words)</b> Provide a brief lay summary of your proposed research.

**Description of Research (1000 words)** Please address the following: Research Project: Describe your research project, including the following

- o <u>Research Challenge</u>: identify the issue or problem you will address
- o <u>Goals and Objectives</u>: identify the goals and objectives of your proposed research project
- o Originality: explain what is original/innovative about the project
- o Context: include a literature review
- Theoretical Framework and Methodological Approach: explain your theoretical framework and describe your methodological approach(es) to achieve your goals and objectives
- Milestones and Work Plan: describe the key activities and timelines and explain what key performance indicators (KPIs) you will use to determine you are on track
- Knowledge Mobilization: discuss your plans for disseminating research results, clearly identifying your target audience and the strategies you will use to engage them
- o <u>Impact</u>: potential for the project results to have influence and impact within and/or beyond the social sciences and humanities research community

HQP Training Plan (300 words)			
•	Describe the specific roles and responsibilities of students and research assistants, including their duties; and		
	including their duties; and		
•	Explain how equity, diversity and inclusion considerations are integrated into past and planned HQP training.		
	and planned HQP training.		

Sex, Gender and Other Identity Factors
--

Gender-based analysis plus (GBA+) is an analytical process used to assess the potential impact that identity factors, such as sex, gender, race, ethnicity, religion, age and

mental or physical disability, may have on the experience of the individual. The purpose of GBA+ is to promote rigorous research that is sensitive to sex and gender, as well as many other identity factors, such as race, ethnicity, religion, age, and mental or physical disability. These considerations <b>must be integrated into the research design, when appropriate</b> . Please refer to Women and Gender Equality Canada's information on GBA+ and the Sex- and Gender-Based Analysis (SGBA) section of CIHR's website for definitions of sex, gender, SGBA and GBA+, in addition to information on applying GBA+ and SGBA to the development and assessment of research proposals.
Please answer the following questions.  1) Is sex as a biological variable taken into account in the research design, methods, analysis and interpretation, and/or dissemination of findings? Yes □   No □  2) Is gender as a socio-cultural factor considered in the research design, methods, analysis and interpretation, and/or dissemination of findings? Yes □   No □  3) Are other identity factors (e.g., race, socioeconomic, age, other) considered in the research design, methods, analysis and interpretation, and/or dissemination of findings? Yes □   No □
In the box below please
Describe how sex and/or gender considerations and/or other identity factors will be integrated into your research proposal.
OR
Explain why sex and/or gender and/or other identity factors are not applicable to your research proposal. (300 words)

<b>Budget</b> : Please complete the table below	
Stipends to postdoctoral fellows and students	\$
Salaries of technical and professional staff	\$
Materials, supplies and incidentals	\$
Research travel	\$
Other - please describe	\$
Total Amount Requested	\$

### **Budget Justification (500 words):**

The justification must:

- Explain how the costs were established for each item in the budget
- Describe and justify how budget items are needed to accomplish the objectives
- Demonstrate how the funds contribute to the direct costs of the research
- Demonstrate the economical use of funds

Career Interruptions (250 words)  If you have experienced delays that have affected research productivity or outcomes, provide the timeframe and indicate the cause in general terms. Delays are adjusted at a 2:1 ratio.			

#### **Attachments**

- ✓ PI and Co-PI (if applicable) CV must include currently active and applied for research grants, publications, student supervisions and record of knowledge mobilization activities.
- ✓ **References** (maximum 1 page)

Save as SINGLE pdf file, using naming convention:

PIlastname.PIfirstname.SSHRCBridge.pdf

#### **Submission Steps:**

- - Choose "Apply New" from the top right menu, then under Section A: CORIS Awards, select "Approval Form"
  - Project Info Tab: Enter your project title and SAVE
  - <u>Project Sponsor Info Tab</u>: Click on "Add New", then click on "Agency" and select:
    - \*<u>Internal Internal (Carleton) Grants/Awards</u>, select appropriate Program, and SAVE
  - Attachments Tab: Upload your completed application package
  - Approval Form Tab: Complete all required fields and SAVE

"SUBMIT" on or before the deadline day. (Your application will be routed for electronic approval by your Department Chair/School Director and Associate Dean, Research (ADR). To view the signing authority routing for your file, consult the "Approvals" Tab.)

Late or incomplete applications will not be accepted.