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In this paper a combination of geometric and numerical methods is used to combine type and approximate dimensional synthesis of planar four-bar mechanisms for rigid body guidance. The developed algorithm sizes link lengths, locates joint axes, and decides between $R R$ - and $P R$-dyads that, when combined, guides a rigid body through the best approximation of $n$ specified poses (positions and orientations), where $n \geq 5$. No initial guesses of type or dimension are required.

The synthesis of a planar four-bar mechanism that can guide a rigid-body exactly through five finitely separated poses is known as the five-position Burmester problem [1]. Five poses define a finite number of fourbar mechanisms. When $n \geq 5$ the system of synthesis equations is overconstrained, and in general no exact solution exists. The problem then is to find a fourbar mechanism that can guide a rigid-body through the $n$ poses with the least amount of error. Furthermore, dimensional synthesis for rigid body guidance generally assumes a mechanism type: i.e., planar $4 R$; slidercrank; crank-slider; trammel, etc. This method generalizes approximate mechanism synthesis by integrating type and dimensional synthesis.

An equation of a line or circle can be expressed:

$$
\mathbf{C K}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
x^{2}+y^{2} & 2 x & 2 y & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
K_{0}  \tag{1}\\
K_{1} \\
K_{2} \\
K_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{0},
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are the Cartesian coordinates of points on a circle or line, and the $K_{i}$ define the geometry [2]. For a circle,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{0}=1, \\
& K_{1}=-X_{c},  \tag{2}\\
& K_{2}=-Y_{c}, \\
& K_{3}=K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}-r^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

defines a circle of radius $r$ centred at $\left(X_{c}, Y_{c}\right)$. For a line,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{0} & =0 \\
\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}} & =-\tan \vartheta,  \tag{3}\\
K_{3} & =x \sin \vartheta-y \cos \vartheta,
\end{align*}
$$

defines a linear range of points $(x, y)$ that make an angle $\vartheta$ with the positive $x$-axis. Three points are necessary to define a circle, and two points for a line. For $n$ points, where $n$ is greater than three for a circle and two for a line, the system becomes overconstrained, and a least squares approximation is necessary to determine the best fit. To set up the problem, the row vector on the left hand side of Equation (1) becomes an $n \times 4$ matrix. The problem is solved using singular value decomposition.

A variant of singular value decomposition (SVD) factors any given $m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{C}$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{m \times n}=\mathbf{U}_{m \times m} \mathbf{S}_{m \times n} \mathbf{V}_{n \times n}^{T}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are orthogonal and $\mathbf{S}$ is an diagonal matrix containing the singular values of $\mathbf{C}$ in descending order. For a least squares approximation of overcontrained systems, the last column of $\mathbf{V}$ is the best approximation of $\mathbf{K}$ such that $\mathbf{C K}=\mathbf{0}$. The $\mathbf{K}$ parameter vector defining the geometry is then any scalar multiple of that column of $\mathbf{V}$. For convenience, the solution vector is normalized by the first parameter, in order to match the solution with the parameters defining a circle, as given in Equation (2).

If the geometry of the identified circle appears to be inordinately large, the geometry may instead be determined using Equation (3) to define a line, as a line segment is analogous to a circular arc of infinite radius centred at infinity. Fitting data points to circles and lines is the basis of integrated type and approximate dimensional synthesis using this method.

Suppose that $n$ planar poses of a rigid body are to be approximated, such that $n>5$. Suppose also that the linkage shown in Figure 1 best approximates the rigid body motion defined by the $n$ poses. For the linkage shown, the motion of reference frame $E$ defines the


Figure 1: A linkage that best approximates $n>5$ poses.
rigid body motion with respect to the grounded coordinate frame $\Sigma$. Frame $E$ is related to frame $\Sigma$ by a translation of $(a, b)$ and a rotation of $\theta$. The points of the rigid body in frame $\Sigma$ can be found by transforming the same points in frame $E$, which are known to be constant. The transformation is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{\Sigma}  \tag{5}\\
y_{\Sigma} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & a \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & b \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{E} \\
y_{E} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left(x_{\Sigma}, y_{\Sigma}\right)$ is a point in frame $\Sigma,\left(x_{E}, y_{E}\right)$ is the same point frame $E$, and $(a, b)$ and $\theta$ define the transformation from frame $\Sigma$ to frame $E$. For this method, we are interested in determining the locations of joints $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. In frame $E$, the coordinate system that moves with the coupler, the positions of the joints are constant. However, in frame $\Sigma$, joint $M_{1}$ is bound to a circle, and joint $M_{2}$ to a line. If we have $n$ positions of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ in $\Sigma$, the geometry of the circle and line may be found by singular value decomposition.

In order to determine the positions of the joints in $\Sigma$, it is first necessary to find the positions of the joints in $E$, as the two are related by Equation (5). A property of $\mathbf{C}$ in Equation (1) is that it approximates either a line or circle. The more linearly dependent its rows are with one another, the closer it approximates a line or circle. Therefore, one can choose values of $(x, y)$ to make the rows of $\mathbf{C}$ the most linearly dependent, thus making $\mathbf{C}$ the most ill-conditioned. The problem then becomes a 2-dimensional search.

The conditioning of a matrix can be measured by the ratio of its largest and smallest singular values, which is called the condition number $\kappa$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa \equiv \frac{\sigma_{M A X}}{\sigma_{M I N}}, 1 \leq \kappa \leq \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A more convenient number to use is the inverse of the condition number $\gamma$, with $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, because it is bounded in both directions. An ill-conditioned matrix has $\gamma \approx 0$. Also, the closer $\mathbf{C}$ is to being singular, the closer the $\mathbf{K}$ parameters are to defining an exact circle or line. Therefore, the goal is to find $x$ and $y$ such that $\gamma$ is minimized.

The Nelder-Mead polytope algorithm may be used for this minimization [3]. Since this algorithm needs as input an initial guess of the parameters it is searching for, $\gamma$ or $\kappa$ may be plotted in terms of $x$ and $y$ first, and approximate values are chosen that minimize $\gamma$. At least two minima are required to obtain a planar fourbar mechanism, as each minimum corresponds to a single dyad. The Nelder-Mead algorithm is then fed these parameters as inputs, and determines the values of $x$ and $y$ that give the smallest values of $\gamma$.

Once the values of $x$ and $y$ have been determined, the set of values of $x_{\Sigma}$ and $y_{\Sigma}$ can then be solved for. The $\mathbf{K}$ parameters may then be found using singular value decomposition. The distinction between $R R$ and $P R$ dyads is found by determining whether the resulting K parameters better describe a circle or line. A resulting circle defines an $R R$ dyad, while a line defines a $P R$ dyad. If using Equation (2) on the $\mathbf{K}$ parameters defines a circle having geometry several orders of magnitude greater than the poses, it is recalculated using Equation (3) to define a line instead. In this case, it is defined as a $P R$, rather than an $R R$.

This method has been verified by several means. It has been tested using rigid-body motion of known planar four-bar mechanisms of all types, with and without induced noise. It has also been tested with rigid-body motion that cannot be reproduced by planar four-bar mechanisms. Both types of testing reveal the robustness of the method to noise, and show its ability to synthesize mechanisms that approximate motion no planar four-bar mechanism could replicate exactly.
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