

Carleton University

Department of Law and Legal Studies

Course Outline

COURSE:	LAWS 4606 – International Law of Armed Conflict
TERM:	FALL 2020
PREREQUISITES:	LAWS 2908 or PAPM 3000, LAWS 2601 and fourth-year Honours standing.
CLASS:	Day & Time: Thursday 18:05 am to 20:55 am
	Room: All courses during the Fall 2020 semester will be delivered online. The lectures in this course will be delivered “live”, online every week during our regularly scheduled class time using the Zoom conferencing platform.
INSTRUCTOR: (CONTRACT)	Gilles LeVasseur
CONTACT:	Office: B442 Loeb Building (Contract Instructor’s Office)
	Office Hrs: Thursday night 21h05 to 22h00
	Telephone: TBA
	Email: jlilles.levasseur@sympatico.ca

CALENDAR DESCRIPTION

UN Charter prohibition of the use of force. Exceptional, permissible uses of armed force. Role of Security Council in determining legality of armed intervention. Collective security, peacemaking, peacekeeping, neutrality, prohibited means of warfare. Humanitarian International Law, Geneva Red Cross Conventions, war crimes, International Criminal Court.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this course is to provide a broad introduction deals with the UN Charter prohibition of the use of force. Exceptional, permissible uses of armed force. Role of Security Council in determining legality of armed intervention. Collective security, peacemaking, peacekeeping, neutrality, prohibited means of warfare. Humanitarian International Law. The Geneva Red Cross Conventions, war crimes, the role of International Criminal Court.

REQUIRED TEXT

The course outline contains a selection of books and readings concerning International Law of Armed Conflict which are available at the MacOdrum Library. Although not required reading, students may find these books and readings of use in preparing research papers and in researching topics of particular interest.

Web Site

Course announcements, grades, and other materials will be posted on the course web site on cuLearn.

To logon, go to <https://culearn.carleton.ca>. Only students registered in the course have access to the site; your Username and Password to sign on are the same as those for your email or Connect account.

EVALUATION

1. Midterm Paper	30%
2. Written assignment	30%
3. December Examination	40%

Explanation of evaluation scheme:

1. The December exam will be held during the formal examination period (December 11 – 22); the exact date, time and location will be announced by the Scheduling Office during the term.
2. The midterm paper must be submitted by **Thursday, October 22, at 18:05**. No late acceptance of midterm papers. The term paper must be submitted by **Thursday, November 27, at 18:05**. No late acceptance of the term paper.
3. The details of the written assignments will be announced during term.

Midterm Paper:

You will be expected to submit two written reflections on the readings outlined in this document. These may include consideration of such questions as: What themes link the readings? What is the central thrust of each? How do they speak to the issues of the period upon which they focus? How might they speak to central themes in international law today? What's your own critical assessment of the arguments adduced? Your reflections should comprise roughly 20 to 25 pages (double spaced).

Term Paper:

It is critical that your term papers have, at their core, questions dealing with the relationship between international law and armed conflict. There are myriad ways you might approach this combination, but be sure that these two are at the center of your paper's focus.

Students will be required to submit a brief paper proposal online in Week 8 (February 27).

The proposal should consist of a working title, roughly a half-page (1-2 paragraph) description of how you envisage the paper developing, and a brief bibliography of preliminary materials you've identified in relation to your proposal.

(All components must be completed in order to get a passing grade)

LATE PENALTIES AND REQUESTS FOR EXTENSIONS

The penalty for a late assignment is zero if past the due date.

The granting of extensions is determined by the instructor who will confirm whether an extension is granted and the length of the extension. For requests for extensions lasting less than 7 days, please complete the form at the following link and submit it to the instructor prior to the assignment due date:

<https://carleton.ca/registrar/wp-content/uploads/self-declaration.pdf>. **Extensions for longer than 7 days will normally not be granted.** In those extraordinary cases where extensions lasting longer than 7 days are granted, the student will be required to provide additional information to justify the longer extension (up to a maximum of 14 days).

Syllabus

The course syllabus will generally follow the topics as presented in the "Table of Contents" in the text. The general topics and the order in which they are presented are as follows (subject to some variations

as required by time constraints):

Classes

1. Introduction – Chapter one
2. UN Convention – Chapter two
3. Use of Forces – Chapter three
4. Rules of Engagement – Chapter four
5. Conflict of laws – Chapter five
6. International Court of Justice – Chapter six
7. Law of Wars – Chapter seven
8. War Crimes – Chapter eight
9. Red Cross Role – Chapters nine, ten and eleven
10. Humanitarian Laws – chapters twelve, thirteen and fourteen
11. Canadian Regulatory approaches – Chapter fifteen
12. War Crimes prosecutions – Chapters sixteen

TEXTBOOK

The textbook that is on ordered for the course is: *War Law: Understanding International Law and Armed Conflict*, Michael Byers, 2007.

READING LIST

The following list contains a selection of books and readings concerning Conflict of Laws which are available at the MacOdrum Library. Although not required reading, students may find these books and readings of use in preparing research papers and in researching topics of particular interest.

Theoretical Considerations

Reading

Jochnick, C. A., & Normand, R. (1994). The Legitimation of Violence: A Critical History of the Laws of War. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 35, 49-94.

Mégret, F. (2006). From “Savages” to “Unlawful Combatants”: A Postcolonial Look at International Humanitarian Law's “Other”. In A. Orford (Ed.), *International Law and Its Others* (pp. 265-317). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Solis, G.D. (2010) *Law of Armed Conflict's Four Core Principles*. In G.D Solis *The Law of Armed Conflict: International Humanitarian Law in War* (pp. 250-285). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Supplementary Reading

O'Connell, M.E. (ed.) (2012). *What is War?: An Investigation in the Wake of 9/11*. Leiden & Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.

Justice and War? Antiquity's Bequest

Reading

Thucydides (1910). *The Melian Conference*. In *The History of the Peloponnesian War* (R. Crawley, Trans.). London: J.M. Dent & Sons Ltd., pp.300-306. Available online at: <http://www.wellesley.edu/ClassicalStudies/CLCV102/Thucydides--MelianDialogue.html>

Anghie, A. (1996). Francisco de Vitoria and the Colonial Origins of International Law. *Social & Legal Studies*, 5(4), 321-336.

Coverdale, J.F. (2004). An Introduction to the Just War Tradition, 16 *Pace International Law Review*. 221-277. Online at: <http://digitalcommons.pace.edu/pilr/vol16/iss2/1>

Myers, R.J. (1996). Notes on the Just War Theory: Whose Justice, Which Wars? *Ethics & International Affairs*, 10(1), 115-130.

Supplementary Reading

Anaya, S. J. (1996). *Indigenous Peoples in International Law*. New York, Oxford University Press.

Bellamy, A. J. (2006). *Just Wars: From Cicero to Iraq*. Cambridge, UK; Malden, MA, Polity.

Draper, G. I. A. D. (1992). Grotius' Place in the Development of Legal Ideas about War. *Hugo Grotius and International Relations*. H. Bull, B. Kingsbury and A. Roberts. Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Johnson, J. T. (2006). "The Just War Idea: The State of the Question." *Social Philosophy and Policy* 23(01): 167-195.

Khadduri, M. (1955). *War and Peace in the Law of Islam*. Baltimore, Johns Hopkins Press.

Walzer, M. (1977). *Just and Unjust Wars: A Moral Argument with Historical Illustrations*. New York: Basic Books.

Williams, R. A. (1990). *The American Indian in Western Legal Thought: The Discourses of Conquest*. Oxford & New York, Oxford University Press.

"Exterminate all the brutes" - Missions civilizatrice and the "White man's Burden"

Reading

Anghie, A. (1999). Finding the Peripheries: Sovereignty and Colonialism in Nineteenth-Century International Law. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 40(1), 1-66.

Colby, E. (1927). How to Fight Savage Tribes. *American Journal of International Law* 21(2)279-288.

Ward, T. (2005). State Crime in the Heart of Darkness. *British Journal of Criminology* 45(4): 434-445.

Wright, Q. (1926). The Bombardment of Damascus. *American Journal of International Law* 20: 263-280.

Supplementary Reading

Anghie, A. (2001-2002). "Colonialism and the Birth of International Institutions: Sovereignty, Economy, And The Mandate System of the League of Nations." *New York University Journal of International Law and Politics* 34(3): 513-634.

Hochschild, A. (1998). *King Leopold's Ghost: A Story of Greed, Terror, and Heroism in Colonial Africa*. New York, Houghton Mifflin Company.

Lindqvist, S. (1992). *Exterminate All the Brutes: One Man's Odyssey into the Heart of Darkness and the Origins of European Genocide*. New York, The New Press.

Lindqvist, S. (2001). *A History of Bombing*, New Press.

Reeves, J. S. (1909). "The Origin of the Congo Free State, Considered from the Standpoint of International Law." *The American Journal of International Law* 3(1): 99-118.

Civilizing the Un-Civilizable?

Reading

Carnahan, B. M. (1998). *Lincoln, Lieber and the Laws of War: The Origins and Limits of the*

Principle of Military Necessity. *American Journal of International Law*, 92(2), 213-231.

Davies, N.J.S. (2009). The Caroline Case and American Drone Strikes in Pakistan. *Peace Review: A Journal of Social Justice*, 21(4), 429-436.

Meron, T. (1987). The Geneva Conventions as Customary Law. *American Journal of International Law*, 81(2), 348-370.

Roscher, B. (2002). The "Renunciation of War as an Instrument of National Policy". *Journal of the History of International Law*, 4, 293-309.

Zulaika J. (1998). Tropics of Terror: From Guernica's 'Natives' to Global 'Terrorists'. *Social Identities* 1:93-108.

Supplementary Reading

Jennings, R.Y. (1938). The Caroline and McLeod Cases. *American Journal of International Law*, 32(1), 82-99.

Kramer, R. (2013) From Guernica to Hiroshima to Baghdad: The normalization of the terror bombing of civilians. *State Crime in the Global Age*. In W.J. Chambliss, R. Michalowski, R. Kramer (Eds.) *State Crime in the Global Age* (pp.118-133). New York: Routledge.

Lindqvist, S. (2001). *A History of Bombing*, New Press.

Meron, T. (2000). The Humanization of Humanitarian Law. *American Journal of International Law* 94(2): 239-278.

Wright, Q. (1953). The Outlawry of War and the Law of War. *American Journal of International Law* 47: 365.

From Nuremberg to Vietnam

Reading

Lippman, M. (1993). *War Crimes: The My Lai Massacre And The Vietnam War*. San Diego Justice Journal 1.

Nagel, T. (1972). War and Massacre. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, 1(2), 123-144.

Prévost, A.M. (1992). Race and War Crimes: The 1945 War Crimes Trial of General Tomoyuki Yamashita. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 14(3), 303-338.

Ryan, A. (2007). Nuremberg's Contributions to International Law. *Boston College International & Comparative Law Review* 30, 55-89. Online at <http://lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/iclr/vol30/iss1/5>

Supplementary Reading

Hitchens, C. (2001). The Case Against Henry Kissinger, Part One: The Making of A War Criminal. *Harpers Magazine*. February. Available online at: www.icaionline.org/files/hitchens_harpers_kissinger.pdf. Especially from 'Dress Rehearsal: The Secret of '68' to 'Chile Part I: Statesman as Hitman'.

Oliver, K. (2003). "Atrocity, Authenticity and American Exceptionalism: (Ir)rationalising the Massacre at My Lai." *Journal of American Studies* 37(2): 247-268.

Taylor, T. (1971). *Nuremberg and Vietnam: An American Tragedy*. New York: Bantam Books.

Tomuschat, C. (2006). The Legacy of Nuremberg. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 4(4), 830-844.

Turse, N. (2013). *Kill anything that moves: the real American war in Vietnam*. New York: Metropolitan Books/Henry Holt and Co.

Walzer, M. (1977). *Just and Unjust Wars: A Moral Argument with Historical Illustrations*. New York: Basic Books.

Zolo, D. (2009). *Victors' Justice: From Nuremberg to Baghdad*. London & New York: Verso.

"The Responsibility to Protect" or "The Burden" Revisited?

Reading

Bellamy, A.J. (2005). Responsibility to Protect or Trojan Horse? The Crisis in Darfur and

Humanitarian Intervention after Iraq. *Ethics & International Affairs*, 19(2), 31-54.
 Branch, A. (2005). American Morality over International Law: Origins in UN Military Interventions, 1991-1995. *Constellations*, 12(1), 103-127.
 MacFarlane, N.S., Thielking, C.J. and Weiss, T.G. (2004). "The responsibility to protect": Is anyone interested in humanitarian intervention?. *Third World Quarterly* 25: 977-992.
 Orford, A. (1999). Muscular Humanitarianism: Reading the Narratives of the New Interventionism. *European Journal of International Law*, 10(4), 679-711.

Supplementary Reading

Bricmont, J. (2006). *Humanitarian Imperialism: Using Human Rights to Sell War*. NYU Press.
 Chandler, D. (2004). The Responsibility to Protect? Imposing the 'Liberal Peace'. *International Peacekeeping*, 11(1): 59-81.
 Chesterman, S. (2001). *Just War or Just Peace?: Humanitarian Intervention and International Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
 International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty (2001). *The Responsibility to Protect: Report of the International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty* (No. 341.233). International Development Research Centre (Canada).
 Orford, A. (2003). *Reading Humanitarian Intervention: Human Rights and the Use of Force in International Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
 ----- (2011). *International Authority and the Responsibility to Protect*. Cambridge University Press.
 Zolo, D. (2002). *Invoking Humanity: War, Law and Global Order*. London: Bloomsbury.

Imperial Outposts Amidst the Barbarians

Reading

Bellamy (2004). Ethics and Intervention: The "Humanitarian Exception" and the Problem of Abuse in the Case of Iraq. *Journal of Peace Research*, 41(2), 131-147.
 Bhuta, N. (2003). A Global State of Exception? The United States and World Order. *Constellations*, 10(3), 371-391.
 Center for Economic and Social Rights (2002). Tearing Up The Rules: The Illegality of Invading Iraq. <http://www.cesr.org/article.php?id=1087>.
 Elshaint, J.B. (2002). A Just War? Online at: http://www.boston.com/news/packages/iraq/globe_stories/100602_justwar.htm.
 Oberleitner, G. (2004). A just war against terror? *Peace Review*, 16(3), 263-268.
 Roberts, A. (2003). The law and the use of force after Iraq. *Survival*, 45(2), 31-56.

Supplementary Reading

Brecher, J., Cutler, J. and Smith, B. (2007). *In the Name of Democracy: American War Crimes in Iraq and Beyond*. London: Macmillan.
 Brunnée, J. and S. Toupe (2004). "Slouching Toward New Just Wars: International Law and the Use of Force After September 11." *Netherlands International Law Review* 51(3): 363-392.
 Gregory, D. (2004). *The Colonial Present*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
 Kramer, R., Michalowski, R. and Rothe, D. (2005). "The Supreme International Crime": How the US War in Iraq Threatens the Rule of Law. *Social Justice*, 32(2) (100):52-81.
 Nardin, T. (2005). Humanitarian Imperialism. *Ethics & International Affairs*, 19(02): 21-26.

Distinction, Proportionality and Military Necessity Under conditions of Dramatic Asymmetry: Three Case Studies

Reading

Brussels Tribunal - Remembering Fallujah - A dossier of The BRussels Tribunal Online at

<http://www.brussellstribunal.org/pdf/Fallujah.pdf>.

Carr, M. (2008) The Barbarians of Fallujah. *Race & Class*, 50(1): 21-36.

International Crisis Group (2010) War Crimes in Sri Lanka - Asia Report N°191. May 2010.

Online at <http://www.crisisgroup.org/~media/Files/asia/south-asia/srilanka/191%20War%20Crimes%20in%20Sri%20Lanka.pdf>.

The Goldstone Report (excerpts) *Journal of Palestine Studies*, Vol. 39, No. 2 (Winter 2010), pp. 60-121 (especially pp. 72-117)

O'Huiginn, D. (2004). Denial of Water to Iraqi Cities. Cambridge Solidarity with Iraq (CASI).

Online at <http://www.fredsakademiet.dk/library/water.htm>

Supplementary Reading

Brecher, J., Cutler, J. and Smith, B. (2007). *In the Name of Democracy: American War Crimes in Iraq and Beyond*. London: Macmillan.

Geiß, R. (2006). "Asymmetric conflict structures." *International Review of the Red Cross* 88(864): 757-777.

Ghoshray, S. (2008). "When Does Collateral Damage Rise to the Level of a War Crime: Expanding the Adequacy of Laws of War against Contemporary Human Rights Discourse." *Creighton Law Review* 41(4): 679-711.

Gregory, D. (2009). Vanishing points: Law, violence, and exception in the global war prison. In S. Boehmer & S. Morton (Eds.), *Terror and the Postcolonial* (pp.55-98). Oxford: WileyBlackwell.

Gross, M.L. (2010). *Moral Dilemmas of Modern War: Torture, Assassination, and Blackmail in an Age of Asymmetric Conflict*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Horowitz, A., Ratner, L. and Weiss, P. (eds.) (2011). *The Goldstone Report: The Legacy of the Landmark Investigation of the Gaza Conflict*. New York: Nation Books.

Kahl, C.H. (2007). In the crossfire or the crosshairs? Norms, civilian casualties, and US conduct in Iraq. *International Security*, 32(1): 7-46.

MacLeod, I. J. and A. P. V. Rogers (2007). "The Use of White Phosphorus and the Law of War." *Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law*. 10: 75-97.

Schabas, W. A. (2010). Gaza, Goldstone, and Lawfare. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 43, 307.

New Wars, New Rules and an Enemy Unlike Others - The "Exceptionality" of Terrorism Reading

Halper, J. (2010) *The Second Battle of Gaza: Israel's Undermining of International Law*. Monthly Review. Online at <http://mrzine.monthlyreview.org/2010/halper260210.html>.

Kasher, A. (2009). Operation Cast Lead and the Ethics of Just War: Was Israel's conduct in its campaign against Hamas morally justified? *Azure* 37.

---- (2009). 'Israel & the Rules of War': An Exchange, *The New York Review of Books*, 56(10). Retrieved from <http://www.nybooks.com/articles/22761>.

Kasher, A. et al (2009) 'Israel & the Rules of War' - An Exchange [cont]. *The New York Review of Books*.

Walzer, M. & Margalit, A. (2009). 'Israel: Civilians & Combatants': An Exchange. *The New York Review of Books*, 56(8). Retrieved from <http://www.nybooks.com/articles/22979>.

Weizman, E. (2010). Legislative Attack. *Theory, Culture & Society* 27(6): 11-32.

Supplementary Reading

Gross, M. L. (2006). Assassination and Targeted Killing: Law Enforcement, Execution or Self-Defence? *Journal of Applied Philosophy* 23(3): 323-335.

---- (2010). *Moral Dilemmas of Modern War: Torture, Assassination, and Blackmail in an Age of Asymmetric Conflict*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kasher, A., & Yadlin, A. (2005). Assassination and preventive killing. *SAIS Review of International Affairs*, 25(1): 41-57.

- (2005). Military Ethics of Fighting Terror: An Israeli Perspective. *Journal of Military Ethics* 4: 3-32.
- (2005). Military Ethics of Fighting Terror: Response' *Journal of Military Ethics* 4: 60-70.

Medieval Methods

Reading

- Bassiouni, M.C. (2005). The Institutionalization of Torture Under the Bush Administration. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 37: 389-425.
- Michaelson, S. & Shershow, S.C. (2004). Beyond and before the law at Guantanamo. *Peace Review*, 16(3), 293-303.
- Post, J.M., & Panis, L. K. (2005). Crimes of Obedience. *Democracy and Security*, 1(1), 33-40.
- Vöney, S.N.U. (2007). Response – The Fight against Terrorism and the Rules of International Law – Comment on Papers and Speeches of John B. Bellinger, Chief Legal Advisor to the United States State Department. *German Law Journal*, 8(7), 747-759.
- Supplementary Reading
- Bellamy, A.J. 2006. 'No pain, no gain. Torture and ethics in the war on terror'. *International Affairs*. 82, 121-148.
- Brown, M. (2005). "Setting the Conditions" for Abu Ghraib: The Prison Nation Abroad. *American Quarterly*, 57(3), 973-997.
- Greenberg, K.J., & Dratel, J.L. (2005). *The Torture Papers: The Road to Abu Ghraib*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gross, M.L. (2010). *Moral Dilemmas of Modern War: Torture, Assassination, and Blackmail in an Age of Asymmetric Conflict*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hamm, M.S. (2007). 'High Crimes and Misdemeanors': George W. Bush and the Sins of Abu Ghraib. *Crime, Media, Culture*, 3(3): 259-284.
- Sadat, Leila. (2006). Ghost Prisoners and Black Sites: Extraordinary Rendition Under International Law. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 37(2/3): 309-342.
- Yoo, J.C. (2004). The Status of Soldiers and Terrorists under the Geneva Conventions. *Chinese Journal of International Law* 3(1): 135-150.

New Technologies for "New Wars"?

Reading

- Asaro, P. (2012). On banning autonomous weapon systems: human rights, automation, and the dehumanization of lethal decision-making. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 94(886), 687-709.
- Brooks, R. (2014). Drones and the International Rule of Law. *Ethics & International Affairs*, 28(01), 83-103.
- Chehtman, A. (2017). The ad bellum Challenge of Drones: Recalibrating Permissible Use of Force. *European Journal of International Law*, 28(1), 173-197.
- Geiss, R. (2015). *The International-Law Dimension of Autonomous Weapons Systems*. International Dialogue department of the Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung: International Policy Analysis.
- O'Connell, M. E. (2014). 21st Century Arms Control Challenges: Drones, Cyber Weapons, Killer Robots, and WMDs *The Legal Challenges of Globalization: A View from the Heartland*. *Washington University Global Studies Law Review*, 13, 515-534.
- Sadat, L. N. (2012). America's Drone Wars. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 45(1 & 2), 215-234.
- Vogel, R. J. (2010-2011). Drone Warfare and the Law of Armed Conflict. *Denver Journal of International Law and Policy*, 39, 101-138.

Supplementary Reading

Amnesty, International. (2013). "Will I be Next?" US Drone Strikes in Pakistan. London: Amnesty International Publications, available at:
<http://www.amnesty.ca/research/reports/will-i-be-next-us-drone-strikes-in-pakistan>

Ahmad, S. (2013). A Legal Assessment of the US Drone Strikes in Pakistan. *International Criminal Law Review*, 13(4), 917-930.

Boothby, W. H. (2014). Online book:

Conflict law : the influence of new weapons technology, human rights and emerging actors

Evangelista, M., & Shue, H. (Eds.). (2014). *The American Way of Bombing: Changing Ethical and Legal Norms, from Flying Fortresses to Drones*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Gregory, D. (2011). From a View to a Kill: Drones and Late Modern War. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 28(7-8), 188-215.

The Intercept: The Drone Papers. <https://theintercept.com/drone-papers/>

Liu, H.-Y. (2012). Categorization and legality of autonomous and remote weapons systems. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 94(886), 627-652.

Mayer, J. (2009). The Predator War: What are the risks of the C.I.A.'s covert drone program? *The New Yorker*. (October 26, 2009):

<https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2009/10/26/the-predator-war>

Millson, R. O., & Herman, D. A. (2015). *Killing by Drones: Legality under International Law*. The Foundation for Law, Justice and Society.

O'Connell, M. E. (2010). *Unlawful Killing with Combat Drones: A Case Study of Pakistan, 2004-2009* Notre Dame Legal Studies Paper. The Law School: University of Notre Dame.

O'Connell, M. E. (2014). Banning Autonomous Killing: The Legal and Ethical Requirement That Humans Make Near-Time Lethal Decisions. In M. Evangelista & H. Shue (Eds.), *The American Way of Bombing: Changing Ethical and Legal Norms, from Flying Fortresses to Drones* (pp. 224-236). Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Schmitt, M. N. (2013). *Tallinn manual on the international law applicable to cyber warfare*: Cambridge University Press.

Stanford International Human Rights and Conflict Resolution Clinic, & Global Justice Clinic at NYU School of Law. (2012). *Living Under Drones: Death, Injury, and Trauma to Civilians From US Drone Practices in Pakistan*, available at:

<https://law.stanford.edu/publications/living-under-drones-death-injury-and-trauma-to-civilians-from-us-drone-practices-in-pakistan/>

ACADEMIC ACCOMMODATIONS

You may need special arrangements to meet your academic obligations during the term. For an accommodation request the processes are as follows: <https://carleton.ca/equity/wp-content/uploads/Student-Guide-to-Academic-Accommodation.pdf>

Pregnancy obligation

Please contact me with any requests for academic accommodation during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist. For more details, visit the Department of Equity and Inclusive Communities (EIC): <https://carleton.ca/equity/>

Religious obligation

Write to me with any requests for academic accommodation during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist. For more details, visit the Department of Equity and Inclusive Communities (EIC): <https://carleton.ca/equity/>

Academic Accommodations for Students with Disabilities

If you have a documented disability requiring academic accommodations in this course, please contact The Paul Menton Centre (PMC) at 613-520-6608 or pmc@carleton.ca for a formal evaluation. If you are already registered with the PMC, contact your PMC coordinator to send me your Letter of Accommodation at the beginning of the term, and no later than two weeks before the first in-class scheduled test or exam requiring accommodation (if applicable). After requesting accommodation from PMC, meet with me as soon as possible to ensure accommodation arrangements are made. Please consult the PMC Website for their deadline to request accommodations for the formally-scheduled exam (if applicable) <https://carleton.ca/pmc>

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is presenting, whether intentional or not, the ideas, expression of ideas or work of others as one's own. Plagiarism includes reproducing or paraphrasing portions of someone else's published or unpublished material, regardless of the source, and presenting these as one's own without proper citation or reference to the original source. Examples of sources from which the ideas, expressions of ideas or works of others may be drawn from include but are not limited to: books, articles, papers, literary compositions and phrases, performance compositions, chemical compounds, art works, laboratory reports, research results, calculations and the results of calculations, diagrams, constructions, computer reports, computer code/software, and material on the Internet. Plagiarism is a serious offence. More information on the University's Academic Integrity Policy can be found at: <https://carleton.ca/registrar/academic-integrity/>

Survivors of Sexual Violence

As a community, Carleton University is committed to maintaining a positive learning, working and living environment where sexual violence will not be tolerated, and survivors are supported through academic accommodations as per Carleton's Sexual Violence Policy. For more information about the services available at the university and to obtain information about sexual violence and/or support, visit: <https://carleton.ca/studentssupport/svpolicy/>

Accommodation for Student Activities

Carleton University recognizes the substantial benefits, both to the individual student and for the university, that result from a student participating in activities beyond the classroom experience. Reasonable accommodation must be provided to students who compete or perform at the national or international level. Please contact your instructor with any requests for academic accommodation during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist. <https://carleton.ca/senate/wp-content/uploads/Accommodation-for-Student-Activities-1.pdf>

For more information on academic accommodation, please contact the departmental administrator or visit: <https://students.carleton.ca/services/accommodation/>

Department Policy

The Department of Law and Legal Studies operates in association with certain policies and procedures. Please review these documents to ensure that your practices meet our Department's expectations: <https://carleton.ca/law/current-students/>

SUMMARY OF READINGS

Introduction: topic of the reading and what are they trying to demonstrate

Key elements with a description

Legal aspects relating to armed conflicts

Personal perspective and opinion. What is your reaction to the reading.

10 PAGES MAXIMUM PER READING – Double spaced

TERM PAPER

Introduction: topic of the subject and what are you trying to demonstrate or explain

Key elements with a description of the issue or problem – may include an historical event and how it shaped the management of future armed conflicts

Legal aspects relating to armed conflicts with legislation or court cases

Personal perspective and opinion. What is your reaction to the issue or problem outline and what it be done today to deal with it.

20 to 25 PAGES – Double spaced