As an evaluative view in the philosophy of life, nihilism maintains that no form of human life is, all things considered, worth living. Prominent defenders of the view hold that, even so, it can be all-things-considered better for us to continue living our lives than for us to cease living, thus endorsing a “soft” nihilism that appears more palatable than its “hard” counterpart. In support of an intuitive assumption about what nihilism implies, I argue that soft nihilism is incoherent.
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