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Readers of ‘Equality as a Moral Ideal’ (Frankfurt 1987) had more than a decade to detect the flaws in Harry Frankfurt’s sufficientarianism before Martha Nussbaum proposed a quite different sufficiency norm for the capability approach, namely that each person should have sufficient capabilities for a life of human dignity. Three years later, Roger Crisp proposed an alternative in which priorities are set from the perspective of a spectator that is not only impartial but compassionate (Crisp 2003).

In the past 15 years the Frankfurt/Crisp stem has added many branches of further discussion, which have been unfortunately overlooked by exponents of the capability approach. To remedy this oversight, I begin by retracing the steps of Crisp’s argument, asking what difference the capability approach might make at each step.

The result is a highly egalitarian conception of sufficiency that continues to give priority to the worse off below the sufficiency threshold, while continuing to recognize that oppression can occur above that threshold.
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