

**PSCI 4103A**  
**The Modern State**  
**Thursdays, 8:35 – 11:25**  
**Location: C 665 Loeb Building**

Instructor: Professor Achim Hurrelmann  
Office: A 629 Loeb Building  
Office Hours: Wednesdays and Thursdays, 13:00 – 15:00  
Phone: (613) 520-2600 ext. 2294  
Email: achim\_hurrelmann@carleton.ca

**Course description:**

In the western world, the state is widely seen as the most important source of political authority. In spite of prevalent tendencies of privatization and internationalization, most political processes remain state-based. The state is both loved and feared; it is the institution to which citizens address political demands and which they blame if something goes wrong. The state exercises enormous powers, but is also charged with considerable responsibilities: guaranteeing the physical security of its citizens, providing them with the institutional means to protect their rights and to make their interests count in the political process, even taking care of their social welfare.

Faced with all of these tasks in an ever-changing environment, state institutions have always been subject to change. Nevertheless, it is often argued that in recent decades, state transformations of an entirely new quality have occurred. According to this argument, processes of economic and political globalization, but also domestic developments like value change or societal aging, have put established state structures under threat. The state is said to have lost much of its former autonomy vis-à-vis international institutions and societal actors, and is now entangled in a web of multiple and interconnected centers and layers of political authority. Are we hence witnessing a 'decline' of the state, and its displacement by new forms of international governance?

Against the background of this debate, this course reviews some of the most important theories of the modern state and then discusses the characteristics of recent state transformations. The course draws on material from political theory, comparative politics, and international relations. Its focus is on industrialized democracies of the 'OECD world'; states in the developing world will only be considered as contrasting cases. At the end of the course, students will be familiar with the most important positions in classical and more recent state theory; they will also be able to take an informed position in current debates about the 'state of the state'.

**Texts:**

Master copies of all required readings can be obtained in the Political Science Resource Room, C666 Loeb Building. The Resource Room is open from 8:15 am to 4:15 pm. There is no required textbook for this class. Students interested in a more structured introduction to many of the debates touched upon in this class are advised to consult the following volume:

- C. Hay, M. Lister and D. Marsh, eds. (2006), *The State: Theories and Issues* (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan).

**Evaluation:**

Participation in class discussions	20%	
Oral presentation	20%	
Research paper, outline	10%	(due 14 February 2008)
Research paper, final	50%	(due 27 March 2008)

**Participation in class discussion:** The seminar will be based on the detailed and text-based discussion of core readings. It is essential that students do all the required readings, and take the time to think about questions they would like to discuss about them. Students not willing to engage with theoretical texts should not choose this course. Participation marks will be assigned according to the quality and quantity of contributions. Regular attendance is a prerequisite for obtaining a good participation grade, but does not by itself ensure a passing mark.

**Oral presentation:** Each student is expected to give a critical introduction to one of the texts on the reading list. Presentations should identify the structure and main arguments, relate the text to previous class discussions, and note potential weaknesses, points of criticism, or questions for discussion. Presentations should not be read verbatim from a written text. They must be no longer than 15 minutes; this time limit will be strictly enforced.

**Research paper and outline:** The main assignment to be completed in this class is a research paper focusing on one of the issues discussed in this course. Papers should be in one of the following formats:

- (a) *Theoretical essays* discuss a particular state theory (e.g., poststructuralism), focusing on issues such as the theory's development over time, variations between different authors, the theory's internal consistency, strength and weaknesses, its relationship to other theoretical approaches, and/or its impact on scholarship or political practice.
- (b) *Review essays* summarize the academic debate on a particular topic (e.g., the shift from state-centric to multilevel governance), listing various approaches and perspectives taken on the issue, naming the most important authors, identifying and explaining crucial dimensions in which they differ, and highlighting potential omissions.
- (c) *Case studies* discuss a specific aspect of state development and/or transformation (e.g., welfare state reform in selected countries) in an empirical study, starting from some of the concepts discussed in this course, which then form the basis for the student's own research.

All types of papers have to be based on a clearly stated question. This question should first be formulated – and its relevancy justified – in a brief *paper outline* (3 pages, double spaced), to be submitted in class on February 14. This outline should also sketch the steps in which the argument will proceed. Outlines will be marked on the originality and analytical quality of the research design. In reaction to the feedback obtained from the instructor, all aspects of the outline may be changed when devising the *final paper*. Final papers should be about 25 pages (double spaced, i.e., 6000-7000 words); they are due in class on March 27.

**Grading:**

Assignments and exams will be graded with a percentage grade. To convert this to a letter grade or to the university 12-point system, please refer to the following table.

Percentage	Letter grade	12-point scale	Percentage	Letter grade	12-point scale
90-100	A+	12	67-69	C+	6
85-89	A	11	63-66	C	5
80-84	A-	10	60-62	C-	4
77-79	B+	9	57-59	D+	3
73-76	B	8	53-56	D	2
70-72	B-	7	50-52	D-	1

All written assignments must be handed in as *hardcopies* directly to the instructor. For late assignments, the Department of Political Science's drop-off box may be used (located outside B640 Loeb Building, the box is emptied every weekday at 4 p.m. and papers are date-stamped with that day's date). Assignments sent per email will not be accepted. Assignments will be returned in class or in the tutorials; they can also be picked up during the instructor's office hours. If handed in with a self-addressed stamped envelope, they will be returned by mail.

Students who fail to complete all required assignments will be given a failing grade. Unless a medical (or equivalent) excuse is provided, late assignments will be penalized by two percentage points per day (including weekends); assignments more than a week late will receive a mark of 0%. Unexcused absence on the date of an oral presentation will likewise result in a mark of 0%.

### **Preliminary course outline:**

#### *Introduction*

- 10 Jan. 2008 Introduction: Contemporary Debates about the Modern State  
Logistics, Administrative Details
- Pierson 2003
  - Hurrelmann et al. 2007
- 17 Jan. 2008 Historical Perspectives: The Rise of the Modern State
- Poggi 1990
  - Opello & Rosow 2004

#### *Part I – State Theory: Debating the Nature of the Modern State*

- 24 Jan. 2008 Organization, Rationalization, Legitimacy: (Neo-) Weberian Approaches
- Weber 1968
  - Bourdieu 1994
- 31 Jan. 2008 Constitutionalism, Democracy, Nation: Liberal and Republican Approaches
- Castiglione 1996
  - Habermas 1998
- 7 Feb. 2008 Welfare States in Capitalism: (Post-) Marxist Approaches
- Marx & Engels 1976
  - Jessop 2002
- 14 Feb. 2008 New Critical Perspectives: Poststructuralist and Feminist Approaches
- Foucault 2007
  - Haney 2000
- [Paper outlines are due.]***

- 28 Feb. 2008 'Rules of the Game' and their Effects: Institutional Approaches
- March & Olsen 1998
  - Thelen 1999

*Part II – Recent Transformations of the Modern State*

- 6 March 2008 Transnationalism and State Sovereignty
- Krasner 2001
  - Cohen 2006
- 13 March 2008 Economic Globalization and Constitutional Democracy
- Crouch 2004
  - Herberg 2007
- 20 March 2008 Postnational Democratic Governance
- Habermas 2001
  - Peters & Pierre 2004
- 27 March 2008 Competitive Pressures and Welfare States
- Scharpf 2000
  - Weiss 2003
- [Papers are due.]*

*Conclusion*

- 3 April 2008 The Modern State: Transformation or Resilience?
- Sørensen 2006
  - Hurrelmann et al. 2007

## Literature

<b>Introduction: Contemporary Debates about the Modern State (10 Jan. 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ C. Pierson (2003), <i>The Modern State</i>, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition (London: Routledge), Ch. 1.</li> <li>▪ A. Hurrelmann et al. (2007), 'The Golden-Age Nation State and its Transformation: A Framework for Analysis', in A. Hurrelmann et al., eds., <i>Transforming the Golden-Age Nation State</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 1.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ M. Albrow (1996), <i>The Global Age: State and Society Beyond Modernity</i> (Cambridge: Polity Press).</li> <li>▪ S. Cohen (2006), <i>The Resilience of the State: Democracy and the Challenge of Globalization</i> (Boulder: Lynne Rienner).</li> <li>▪ J.-M. Guéhenno (1995), <i>The End of the Nation-State</i> (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press).</li> <li>▪ S. Leibfried &amp; M. Zürn, eds. (2005), <i>Transformations of the State?</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> <li>▪ K. Ohmae (1995), <i>The End of the Nation-State: The Rise of Regional Economies</i> (New York: Simon &amp; Schuster).</li> <li>▪ G. Sørensen (2004), <i>The Transformation of the State: Beyond the Myth of Retreat</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan).</li> <li>▪ S. Strange (1996), <i>The Retreat of the State: The Diffusion of Power in the World Economy</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> <li>▪ L. Weiss (1998), <i>The Myth of the Powerless State</i> (Ithaca: Cornell UP).</li> </ul>
<b>Historical Perspectives: The Rise of the Modern State (17 Jan. 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ G. Poggi (1990), <i>The State: Its Nature, Development and Prospects</i> (Cambridge: Polity Press), Ch. 3, 4, 6.</li> <li>▪ W. C. Opello &amp; S. J. Rosow (2004), <i>The Nation-State and the Global Order: A Historical Introduction to Contemporary Politics</i>, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition (Boulder: Lynne Rienner), Ch. 8, 10.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ G. Gill (2003), <i>The Nature and Development of the Modern State</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan).</li> <li>▪ P. Flora, ed. (1986), <i>Growth to Limits: The Western European Welfare States since World War II</i> (Berlin: de Gruyter).</li> <li>▪ P. Flora &amp; A. J. Heidenheimer, eds. (1981), <i>The Development of Welfare States in Europe and America</i> (New Brunswick: Transaction Books).</li> <li>▪ S. Rokkan (1999), <i>State Formation, Nation-Building, and Mass Politics in Europe</i>, edited by P. Flora (Oxford: Oxford UP).</li> <li>▪ H. Spruyt (1994), <i>The Sovereign State and its Competitors: An Analysis of Systems Change</i> (Princeton: Princeton UP).</li> </ul>
<b>Organization, Rationalization, Legitimacy: (Neo-) Weberian Approaches (24 Jan. 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ M. Weber (1968), <i>Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretive Sociology</i> (New York: Bedminster Press), pp. 48-56, 212-26, 266-71, 901-26.</li> <li>▪ P. Bourdieu (1994), 'Rethinking the State: Genesis and Structure of the Bureaucratic Field', <i>Sociological Theory</i> 12:1, 1-18.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ K. Dusza (1989), 'Max Weber's Conception of the State', <i>International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society</i> 3:1, 71-105.</li> <li>▪ N. Elias (2000), <i>The Civilizing Process: Sociogenetic and Psychogenetic Investigations</i> (Oxford: Blackwell).</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ M. Mann (1993), <i>The Sources of Social Power, Vol. II: The Rise of Classes and Nation-States, 1760-1914</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> <li>▪ T. Skocpol (1979), <i>States and Social Revolutions</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> <li>▪ C. Tilly (1990), <i>Coercion, Capital, and European States, AD 990 - 1990</i> (Cambridge: Basil Blackwell).</li> </ul>
<b>Constitutionalism, Democracy, Nation: Liberal and Republican Approaches (31 Jan. 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ D. Castigione (1996), 'The Political Theory of the Constitution', <i>Political Studies</i> 44 (Special Issue), 417-35.</li> <li>▪ J. Habermas (1998), <i>The Inclusion of the Other: Studies in Political Theory</i> (Cambridge: MIT Press), Ch. 4.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ R. Bellamy, ed. (2006), <i>Constitutionalism and Democracy</i> (Aldershot: Ashgate).</li> <li>▪ J. Elster &amp; R. Slagstad, eds. (1988), <i>Constitutionalism and Democracy</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> <li>▪ S. Holmes (1995), <i>Passions and Constraints: On the Theory of Liberal Democracy</i> (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).</li> <li>▪ J. Rawls (1993), <i>Political Liberalism</i> (New York: Columbia UP).</li> <li>▪ G. Wood (1969), <i>The Creation of the American Republic 1776-1787</i> (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press).</li> </ul>
<b>Welfare States in Capitalism: (Post-) Marxist Approaches (7 Feb. 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ K. Marx &amp; F. Engels (1976), 'The German Ideology', in <i>Karl Marx and Fredrick Engels Collected Works, Vol. V</i> (New York: International Publishers), pp. 89-92.</li> <li>▪ B. Jessop (2002), <i>The Future of the Capitalist State</i> (Cambridge: Polity Press), Ch. 1+2.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ G. Esping-Andersen (1990), <i>The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism</i>, Cambridge: Cambridge UP.</li> <li>▪ A. Giddens (1985), <i>The Nation-State and Violence</i> (Cambridge: Polity Press).</li> <li>▪ C. Hay (2006), '(What's Marxist about) Marxist State Theory?', in C. Hay, M. Lister &amp; D. Marsh, eds., <i>The State: Theories and Issues</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 3.</li> <li>▪ R. Miliband (1969), <i>The State in Capitalist Society: An Analysis of the Western System of Power</i> (London: Weidenfeld &amp; Nicolson).</li> <li>▪ N. Poulantzas (1978), <i>State, Power, Socialism</i> (London: New Left Books).</li> </ul>
<b>New Critical Perspectives: Poststructuralism and Feminism (14 Feb. 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ M. Foucault (2007), <i>Security, Territory, Population: Lectures at the Collège de France, 1977-1978</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 1+4.</li> <li>▪ L. A. Haney (2000), 'Feminist State Theory: Applications to Jurisprudence, Criminology, and the Welfare State', <i>Annual Review of Sociology</i> 26, 641-66.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ M. Dean (1999), <i>Governmentality: Power and Rule in Modern Society</i> (London: Sage).</li> <li>▪ A. Finlayson and J. Martin (2006), 'Poststructuralism', in C. Hay, M. Lister &amp; D. Marsh, eds., <i>The State: Theories and Issues</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 8.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ J. Kantona (2006), 'Feminism', in C. Hay, M. Lister &amp; D. Marsh, eds., <i>The State: Theories and Issues</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 6.</li> <li>▪ C. A. MacKinnon (1989), <i>Toward a Feminist Theory of the State</i> (Cambridge: Harvard UP).</li> <li>▪ E. Laclau &amp; C. Mouffe (1985), <i>Hegemony and Socialist Strategy: Towards a Radical Democratic Politics</i> (London: Verso).</li> <li>▪ C. Pateman (1989), <i>The Disorder of Women: Democracy, Feminism and Political Theory</i> (Stanford: Stanford UP).</li> </ul>
<b>'Rules of the Game' and their Effects: Institutional Approaches (28 Feb. 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ J. G. March &amp; J. P. Olsen (1998), 'The Institutional Dynamics of International Political Orders', <i>International Organization</i> 52:4, 943-69.</li> <li>▪ K. Thelen (1999), 'Historical Institutionalism in Comparative Politics', <i>Annual Review of Political Science</i> 2, 369-404.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ J. G. March &amp; J. P. Olsen (1989), <i>Rediscovering Institutions: The Organizational Basis of Politics</i> (New York: Free Press).</li> <li>▪ T. Moe (1990), 'Political Institutions: The Neglected Side of the Story', <i>Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization</i> 6 (Special Issue), 213-53.</li> <li>▪ D. C. North (1991), <i>Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> <li>▪ P. Pierson (2004), <i>Politics in Time: History, Institutions, and Social Analysis</i> (Princeton: Princeton UP.)</li> <li>▪ V. Schmidt (2006), 'Institutionalism', in C. Hay, M. Lister &amp; D. Marsh, eds., <i>The State: Theories and Issues</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 5.</li> <li>▪ K. A. Shepsle &amp; M. S. Bonchek (1997), <i>Analyzing Politics: Rationality, Behavior, and Institutions</i> (New York: Norton).</li> </ul>
<b>Transnationalism and State Sovereignty (6 March 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ S. D. Krasner (2001), 'Abiding Sovereignty', <i>International Political Science Review</i> 22:3, 229-51.</li> <li>▪ S. Cohen (2006), <i>The Resilience of the State: Democracy and the Challenge of Globalization</i> (Boulder: Lynne Rienner), Ch. 7-9.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ E. Aydinli &amp; J. Rosenau, eds. (2005), <i>Globalization, Security, and The Nation-State: Paradigms In Transition</i> (Albany: SUNY Press).</li> <li>▪ T. J. Biersteker (2002), 'State, Sovereignty and Territory', in W. Carlsnaes, T. Risse &amp; B. A. Simmons, eds., <i>The Handbook of International Relations</i> (London: Sage), 208-226.</li> <li>▪ J. A. Camilleri &amp; J. Falk (1992), <i>The End of Sovereignty? The Politics of a Shrinking and Fragmenting World</i> (Aldershot: Edward Elgar).</li> <li>▪ International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty (2001), <i>The Responsibility to Protect</i>, Ottawa: International Development Research Centre (<a href="http://www.iciss.ca/pdf/Commission-Report.pdf">http://www.iciss.ca/pdf/Commission-Report.pdf</a>).</li> <li>▪ M. Jachtenfuchs (2005), 'The Monopoly of Legitimate Force: Denationalization, or Business as Usual?', in S. Leibfried &amp; M. Zürn, eds., <i>Transformations of the State?</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP), 37-52.</li> <li>▪ N. Loader &amp; N. Walker (2007), <i>Civilizing Security</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> </ul>

<b>Economic Globalization and Constitutional Democracy (13 March 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ C. Crouch (2004), <i>Post-Democracy</i> (London: Polity Press), Ch. 1, 2, 5.</li> <li>▪ M. Herberg (2007), 'From Diffusion to Interplay: Rethinking the Constitutional State in the Age of Global Legal Pluralism', in A. Hurrelmann et al., eds., <i>Transforming the Golden-Age Nation State</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 4.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ K. W. Abbott et al. (2000), 'The Concept of Legalization', <i>International Organization</i> 54:3, 401-419.</li> <li>▪ M. Finnemore &amp; S. J. Toope (2001), 'Alternatives to "Legalization": Richer Views of Law and Politics', <i>International Organization</i> 55:3, 743-58.</li> <li>▪ D. Grimm (2005), 'The Constitution in the Process of Denationalization', <i>Constellations</i> 12:4, 449-65.</li> <li>▪ W. E. Scheuerman (1999), 'Economic Globalization and the Rule of Law', <i>Constellations</i> 6:1, 3-25.</li> <li>▪ A. Stone Sweet (2004), <i>The Judicial Construction of Europe</i> (Oxford: Oxford UP).</li> <li>▪ B. Zangl (2005), 'Is There an Emerging International Rule of Law?', in S. Leibfried &amp; M. Zürn, eds., <i>Transformations of the State?</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP), 73-91.</li> </ul>
<b>Postnational Democratic Governance (20 March 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ J. Habermas (2001), <i>The Postnational Constellation: Political Essays</i> (Cambridge: Polity Press), Ch. 4.</li> <li>▪ B. G. Peters &amp; J. Pierre (2004), 'Multi-level Governance and Democracy: A Faustian Bargain?', in I. Bache &amp; M. Flinders, eds., <i>Multi-level Governance</i> (Oxford: Oxford UP), Ch. 5.</li> </ul>
Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ R. A. Dahl (1994), 'A Democratic Dilemma: System Effectiveness versus Citizen Participation', <i>Political Science Quarterly</i> 109:1, 23-34.</li> <li>▪ J. DeBardleben &amp; A. Hurrelmann, eds. (2007), <i>Democratic Dilemmas of Multilevel Governance: Legitimacy, Representation, and Accountability in the European Union</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan).</li> <li>▪ S. J. Pharr &amp; R. D. Putnam, eds. (2000), <i>Disaffected Democracies: What's Troubling the Trilateral Countries?</i> (Princeton: Princeton UP).</li> <li>▪ J. Pierre &amp; B. G. Peters (2000), <i>Governance, Politics, and the State</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan).</li> <li>▪ J. Tully (2002), 'The Unfreedom of the Moderns in Comparison to their Ideals of Constitutional Democracy', <i>Modern Law Review</i> 65:2, 204-28.</li> <li>▪ M. Zürn (2005), 'Global Governance and Legitimacy Problems', in David Held &amp; Mathias Koenig-Archibugi, eds., <i>Global Governance and Public Accountability</i> (Oxford: Blackwell), 136-63.</li> </ul>
<b>Competitive Pressures and Welfare States (27 March 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ F. W. Scharpf (2000), 'The Viability of Advanced Welfare States in the International Economy: Vulnerabilities and Options', <i>Journal of European Public Policy</i> 7:2, 190-228.</li> <li>▪ L. Weiss (2003), 'Introduction: Bringing Domestic Institutions Back In', in L. Weiss, ed., <i>States in the Global Economy: Bringing Domestic Institutions Back In</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP), Ch. 1.</li> </ul>



Additional literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ P. Genschel (2004), 'Globalization and the Welfare State: A Retrospective', <i>Journal of European Public Policy</i> 11:4, 613-636.</li> <li>▪ E. Rieger &amp; S. Leibfried (2003), <i>Limits to Globalization: Welfare States and the World Economy</i> (Cambridge: Polity Press).</li> <li>▪ F. W. Scharpf &amp; V. A. Schmidt, eds. (2000), <i>Welfare and Work in the Open Economy</i> (Oxford: Oxford UP).</li> <li>▪ F. W. Scharpf (2002), 'The European Social Model: Coping with the Challenges of Diversity', <i>Journal of Common Market Studies</i> 40:4, 645-670.</li> <li>▪ D. Swank (2002), <i>Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge UP).</li> </ul>
<b>The Modern State: Resilience or Transformation (3 April 2008)</b>	
Required reading	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ G. Sørensen (2006), 'The Transformation of the State', in C. Hay, M. Lister &amp; D. Marsh, eds., <i>The State: Theories and Issues</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 10.</li> <li>▪ A. Hurrelmann et al. (2007), 'The Transformation of the Golden-Age Nation State: Findings and Perspectives', in A. Hurrelmann et al., eds, <i>Transforming the Golden-Age Nation State</i> (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan), Ch. 10.</li> </ul>

---

### Academic Accommodations

**For Students with Disabilities:** Students with disabilities requiring academic accommodations in this course are encouraged to contact the Paul Menton Centre (PMC) for Students with Disabilities (500 University Centre) to complete the necessary forms. After registering with the PMC, make an appointment to meet with the instructor in order to discuss your needs **at least two weeks before the first in-class test or CUTV midterm exam**. This will allow for sufficient time to process your request. Please note the following deadline for submitting completed forms to the PMC for formally scheduled exam accommodations: **March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2008** for April examinations.

**For Religious Observance:** Students requesting accommodation for religious observances should apply in writing to their instructor for alternate dates and/or means of satisfying academic requirements. Such requests should be made during the first two weeks of class, or as soon as possible after the need for accommodation is known to exist, but no later than two weeks before the compulsory academic event. Accommodation is to be worked out directly and on an individual basis between the student and the instructor(s) involved. Instructors will make accommodations in a way that avoids academic disadvantage to the student. Instructors and students may contact an Equity Services Advisor for assistance ([www.carleton.ca/equity](http://www.carleton.ca/equity)).

**For Pregnancy:** Pregnant students requiring academic accommodations are encouraged to contact an Equity Advisor in Equity Services to complete a *letter of accommodation*. Then, make an appointment to discuss your needs with the instructor at least two weeks prior to the first academic event in which it is anticipated the accommodation will be required.

**Plagiarism:** The Undergraduate Calendar defines plagiarism as: "to use and pass off as one's own idea or product, work of another without expressly giving credit to another." The Graduate Calendar states that plagiarism has occurred when a student either: (a) directly copies another's work without acknowledgment; or (b) closely paraphrases the equivalent of a short paragraph or more without acknowledgment; or (c) borrows, without acknowledgment, any ideas in a clear and recognizable form in such a way as to present them as the student's own thought, where such ideas, if they were the student's own would contribute to the merit of his or her own work. Instructors who suspect plagiarism are required to submit the paper and supporting documentation to the Departmental Chair who will refer the case to the Dean. It is not permitted to hand in the same assignment to two or more courses. The Department's Style Guide is available at: <http://www.carleton.ca/polisci/undergrad/Essay%20Style%20Guide.html>

**Oral Examination:** At the discretion of the instructor, students may be required to pass a brief oral examination on research papers and essays.

**Submission and Return of Term Work:** Papers must be handed directly to the instructor and will not be date-stamped in the departmental office. Late assignments may be submitted to the drop box in the corridor outside B640 Loeb. Assignments will be retrieved every business day at **4 p.m.**, stamped with that day's date, and then distributed to the instructor. For essays not returned in class please attach a **stamped, self-addressed envelope** if you wish to have your assignment returned by mail. Please note that assignments sent via fax or email will not be accepted. Final exams are intended solely for the purpose of evaluation and will not be returned.

**Approval of final grades:** Standing in a course is determined by the course instructor subject to the approval of the Faculty Dean. This means that grades submitted by an instructor may be subject to revision. No grades are final until they have been approved by the Dean.

**Course Requirements:** Students must fulfill all course requirements in order to achieve a passing grade. Failure to hand in any assignment will result in a grade of F. Failure to write the final exam will result in a grade of ABS. FND (Failure No Deferred) is assigned when a student's performance is so poor during the term that they cannot pass the course even with 100% on the final examination. In such cases, instructors may use this notation on the Final Grade Report to indicate that a student has already failed the course due to inadequate term work and should not be permitted access to a deferral of the examination. Deferred final exams are available ONLY if the student is in good standing in the course.

**Connect Email Accounts:** The Department of Political Science strongly encourages students to sign up for a campus email account. Important course and University information will be distributed via the Connect email system. See <http://connect.carleton.ca> for instructions on how to set up your account.