

# **KEY EXCHANGE USING CHEBYCHEV POLYNOMIALS**

M.D. Atkinson and Vincenzo Acciario

SCS-TR-165, JANUARY 1990

School of Computer Science, Carleton University  
Ottawa, Canada, K1S 5B6

# Key exchange using Chebychev polynomials

M.D. Atkinson  
Vincenzo Acciaro

School of Computer Science  
Carleton University  
Ottawa, CANADA K1S 5B6

## 1. Introduction

The well-known Diffie-Hellman protocol for key exchange [Dif76] allows two parties to agree on a secret key without the key being sent along an insecure communication channel. This protocol is a special case of the following general scheme which allows two parties A and B to agree on an element of a key space K.

Let  $\mathbf{F} = \{F_m \mid m=0,1,2,\dots\}$  and  $\mathbf{G} = \{G_n \mid n=0,1,2,\dots\}$  be two families of functions defined on K having the property that for all m, n we have the equality  $F_m(F_n(x))=F_n(F_m(x))$ . Initially A and B agree on an element  $\alpha \in K$  which may be made public. Next, A chooses a private integer m and sends  $F_m(\alpha)$  to B while B chooses a private integer n and sends  $G_n(\alpha)$  to A. Finally A computes  $F_m(G_n(\alpha))$  while B computes  $G_n(F_m(\alpha))$ ; by the assumption on  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  these two results are equal and serve as their common key.

In all the examples given in this paper  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}$  and we shall assume this from now on; it would however be interesting to have examples where  $\mathbf{F} \neq \mathbf{G}$ . In the original Diffie-Hellman scheme  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  were each the set of functions  $\{x \rightarrow x^m \bmod p, m=0,1,2,3,\dots\}$  (where p is prime) and K was the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of integers modulo p. In [Rue88]  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  consisted of the functional powers of some function p(x). The condition that members of  $\mathbf{F}$  commute with one another under functional composition is a very restrictive one. However there is another family of polynomials which commute under composition:- the Chebychev polynomials. Indeed it is readily seen that, if  $T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x)$ , we have

$$T_m(T_n(x)) = \cos(m \arccos (\cos (n \arccos x))) = \cos(mn \arccos x) = T_{mn}(x)$$

A basic recurrence, following from properties of the cosine function, relating the Chebychev polynomials is

$$T_{n+1}(\alpha) - 2\alpha T_n(\alpha) + T_{n-1}(\alpha) = 0$$

Since the Chebychev polynomials have integer coefficients we may regard them as polynomials defined on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and the above equations still hold (and from now on we shall

use arithmetic modulo  $p$ ). In order for the Chebychev polynomials to yield a *practical* method for key exchange it is essential that  $T_n(\alpha)$  be computable rapidly, that  $\alpha$  be chosen so that there is a large collection of potential keys which may be generated by the algorithm, and that it should not be feasible to deduce  $n$  given the value of  $T_n(\alpha)$  (which can be intercepted by an enemy during the key exchange protocol).

## 2. Efficient computation of $T_n(\alpha)$

We shall give three methods for computing  $T_n(\alpha)$  all of which require  $O(\log n)$  arithmetic operations in  $Z_p$ . In the first method we express the recurrence above in matrix notation as

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_n(\alpha) \\ T_{n+1}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_{n-1}(\alpha) \\ T_n(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} T_{n-1}(\alpha) \\ T_n(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, since  $T_0(\alpha)=1$  and  $T_1(\alpha)=\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_n(\alpha) \\ T_{n+1}(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} = U^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $U^n$  can be computed in  $O(\log n)$  steps by the binary method  $T_n(\alpha)$  can be computed in this number of steps also.

The second method uses the explicit solution of the recurrence:

$$T_n(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^n + \lambda^{-n})$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$  are the roots of  $\lambda^2 - 2\alpha\lambda + 1 = 0$ . The quantity  $\lambda$  may not lie in  $Z_p$  but, in any case, its powers may be computed by the binary method and thus  $T_n(\alpha)$  can be computed in  $O(\log n)$  steps.

These two techniques are generally applicable to any sequence defined by a linear recurrence. Our third method uses the special properties of Chebychev polynomials and is very simple to implement. It may be expressed by the recursive rules:

$$T_0(\alpha) = 1$$

$$T_1(\alpha) = \alpha$$

$$T_2(\alpha) = 2\alpha^2 - 1$$

$$T_n(\alpha) = T_{n/2}(T_2(\alpha)) \text{ if } n \text{ is even and } n > 2$$

$$T_n(\alpha) = \frac{T_{n-1}(\alpha) + T_{n+1}(\alpha)}{2\alpha} \text{ otherwise}$$

For simplicity these formulae ignore the possibility that  $\alpha = 0$  but this is easily handled since  $T_n(0) = 0$  when  $n$  is odd and  $T_n(0) = (-1)^{n/2}$  when  $n$  is even.

Notice that, in the last recursive rule, each of  $n-1$  and  $n+1$  are even and one of them is a multiple of 4. It follows that  $T_n(\alpha)$  may be computed in  $O(\log n)$  steps.

### 3. Inverse computation

In this section we study the inverse problem of computing  $n$  given  $T_n(\alpha)$ . If there should be an efficient algorithm for this then the key exchange protocol would be worthless. But it is easy to see that the problem is of a difficulty comparable with computing a discrete logarithm. From the explicit solution to the recurrence the inverse problem is that of computing  $n$  given the value  $y$  in the equation

$$y = \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{-n}}{2}$$

But this equation gives a quadratic equation for  $\lambda^n$  and once  $\lambda^n$  is known  $n$  itself can be calculated by taking a discrete logarithm. Conversely a method for solving this equation induces a method for solving  $\lambda^n = z$  (we solve  $\frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{-n}}{2} = \frac{z + z^{-1}}{2}$ ).

The above remarks include a specific method for solving the inverse problem. As we shall see shortly there is also a direct solution along the lines of the Pohlig-Hellman algorithm [Poh78] for computing discrete logarithms but, before discussing this, it is necessary to make a few remarks on the values assumed by  $T_n(\alpha)$ ,  $n=0,1,2,\dots$

Since  $\lambda = \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1}$ , either  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\lambda$  lies in the quadratic extension of order  $p^2$  depending on whether  $\alpha^2 - 1$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ .

**Lemma 1** For all choices of  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , the corresponding  $\lambda$  has order dividing  $p-1$  or  $p+1$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda$  is chosen to have order dividing  $p-1$  or  $p+1$  or then  $\alpha = \frac{\lambda + \lambda^{-1}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Proof. If  $\alpha = \frac{\lambda + \lambda^{-1}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\lambda + \lambda^{-1} = (\lambda + \lambda^{-1})^p = \lambda^p + \lambda^{-p}$ , which is equivalent to the relation  $\lambda^p(\lambda^{p-1} - 1)(\lambda^{p+1} - 1) = 0$ .

It is evident from this lemma that the sequence  $T_n(\alpha)$ ,  $n=0,1,2,\dots$  is periodic with period dividing  $p-1$  or  $p+1$  according as  $\alpha^2 - 1$  is or is not a square modulo  $p$ . If the period is short then the protocol will be incapable of generating a large number of keys and the resulting crypto-system will be vulnerable to attack by systematic key trials. On the other hand, if  $\lambda$  has order  $p-1$  or  $p+1$ , then, as the next result shows, about half of the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  can arise as potential keys almost all with equal probability.

**Lemma 2** (i) If  $\lambda$  has order  $p-1$  then  $(T_n(\alpha), n=0,1,2,\dots)$  has period  $p-1$  and the set  $\{T_n(\alpha), n=0,1,2,\dots,p-2\}$  has size  $(p+1)/2$ .

(ii) If  $\lambda$  has order  $p+1$  then  $(T_n(\alpha), n=0,1,2,\dots)$  has period  $p+1$  and the set  $\{T_n(\alpha), n=0,1,2,\dots,p\}$  has size  $(p+3)/2$ .

Proof. In either case, if  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , the equation  $T_n(\alpha)=z$  may be written  $\lambda^{2n} - 2z\lambda^n + 1 = 0$ . If  $z=\pm 1$  this has one solution for  $\lambda^n$  and otherwise it has 0 or 2 solutions for  $\lambda^n$  (in the latter case one solution is the inverse of the other). The value of  $\lambda^n$  determines  $n$  modulo the order of  $\lambda$ . In case (i) the sequence has period dividing  $p-1$ . Among the first  $p-1$  terms each value of  $T_n(\alpha)$  except for the two which correspond to  $\lambda^n=1$  and to  $\lambda^n=-1$  occurs exactly twice, and so the set  $\{T_n(\alpha), n=0,1,2,\dots,p-2\}$  has size  $2+(p-3)/2=(p+1)/2$ . Since the set is of size greater than  $(p-1)/2$  the period is exactly  $p-1$ . Case (ii) is similar.

Suppose we wish to find the values of  $n$  for which  $T_n(\alpha)=y$  in the cases that  $\lambda$  has order  $p-1$  or  $p+1$ . The cases are similar so we suppose that  $\lambda$  has order  $p-1$ . The following

method will be effective if  $p-1$  is divisible by small primes only. Let  $p-1 = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$ . We

shall determine  $n \bmod p_i^{e_i}$  for each  $i$  and then use the chinese remainder theorem. Suppose that  $v = n \bmod p_i^{j-1}$  is known (initially we can take  $j=1$ ). Write  $n = qp_i^j + up_i^{j-1} + v$ , with  $0 \leq u < p$ . If we can find  $u$  we shall know  $n \bmod p_i^j$  and the process can be repeated.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We compute } z &= T_{(p-1)/p_i^j}(y) = T_{n(p-1)/p_i^j}(\alpha) \\ &= T_{(p-1)q+(p-1)u/p_i+v(p-1)/p_i^j} = T_{(p-1)u/p_i+v(p-1)/p_i^j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we find  $u$  by computing the right hand side for each of the  $p$  possibilities for  $u$  in this equation.

In the Diffie-Hellman key exchange method it is generally recommended that the prime  $p$  should be chosen so that  $p-1$  is not the product of small primes only; then the protocol cannot be compromised by the Pohlig-Hellman algorithm. For the scheme above a less restrictive condition on  $p$  applies:- one of  $p-1$  and  $p+1$  must not be a product of small primes only.

## References

- [Dif76]. Whitfield Diffie, Martin E. Hellman, New directions in cryptography, IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, IT-22 (1976), 644-654.

- [Poh78]. Stephen C. Pohlig, Martin E. Hellman, An improved algorithm for computing logarithms over  $GF(p)$  and its cryptographic significance. IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, IT-24 (1978), 106–110.
- [Rue88]. Rainer A. Rueppel, Key agreements based on functional composition. Advances in Cryptology - Eurocrypt 1988 (Editor Christoph G. Günther), Lecture Notes in Computer Science 330, pp3-10, Springer-Verlag (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-London-Paris-Tokyo).

## RECENT REPORTS

- SCS-TR-147    **On Transparently Modifying Users' Query Distributions**  
B.J. Oommen and D.T.H. Ng, November 1988
- CS-TR-148    **An  $O(N \log N)$  Algorithm for Computing a Link Center in a Simple Polygon**  
H.N. Djidjev, A. Lingas and J.-R. Sack, July 1988  
Available in STACS 89, 6th Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science,  
Paderborn, FRG, February 16-18, 1989, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag No.  
349
- SCS-TR-149    **Smallscript: A User Programmable Framework Based on Smalltalk and Postscript**  
Kevin Haaland and Dave Thomas, November 1988
- SCS-TR-150    **A General Design Methodology for Dictionary Machines**  
Frank Dehne and Nicola Santoro, February 1989
- SCS-TR-151    **On Doubly Linked List ReOrganizing Heuristics**  
D.T.H. Ng and B. John Oommen, February 1989
- SCS-TR-152    **Implementing Data Structures on a Hypercube Multiprocessor, and Applications  
in Parallel Computational Geometry**  
Frank Dehne and Andrew Rau-Chaplin, March 1989
- SCS-TR-153    **The Use of Chi-Squared Statistics in Determining Dependence Trees**  
R.S. Valiveti and B.J. Oommen, March 1989
- SCS-TR-154    **Ideal List Organization for Stationary Environments**  
B. John Oommen and David T.H. Ng, March 1989
- SCS-TR-155    **Hot-Spot Contention in Binary Hypercube Networks**  
Sivarama P. Dandamudi and Derek L. Eager, April 89
- SCS-TR-156    **Some Issues in Hierarchical Interconnection Network Design**  
Sivarama P. Dandamudi and Derek L. Eager, April 1989
- SCS-TR-157    **Discretized Pursuit Linear Reward-Inaction Automata**  
B.J. Oommen and Joseph K. Lanctot, April 1989
- SCS-TR-158    **Parallel Fractional Cascading on a Hypercube Multiprocessor**  
Frank Dehne, Afonso Ferreira and Andrew Rau-Chaplin, May 1989
- SCS-TR-159    **Epsilon-Optimal Stubborn Learning Mechanisms**  
J.P.R. Christensen and B.J. Oommen, June 1989
- SCS-TR-160    **Disassembling Two-Dimensional Composite Parts Via Translations**  
Doron Nussbaum and Jörg-R. Sack, June 1989
- SCS-TR-161    **On the Data Analysis of Random Permutations and its Application to Source  
Recognition**  
R.S. Valiveti and B.J. Oommen, June 1989
- SCS-TR-162    **An Adaptive Learning Solution to the Keyboard Optimization Problem**  
B.J. Oommen, R.S. Valiveti and J. Zgierski, October 1989
- SCS-TR-163    **Finding a Central Link Segment of a Simple Polygon in  $O(N \log N)$  Time**  
L.G. Alexandrov, H.N. Djidjev, J.-R. Sack, October 1989
- SCS-TR-164    **A Survey of Algorithms for Handling Permutation Groups**  
M.D. Atkinson, January 1990
- SCS-TR-165    **Key Exchange Using Chebychev Polynomials**  
M.D. Atkinson and Vincenzo Acciari, January 1990