Carleton University Senate
Meeting of March 25, 2022 at 2:00 pm
Via Videoconference

AGENDA

Open Session:

1. Approval of Agenda (open)

2. Minutes (Open): February 25, 2022

3. Matters Arising

4. Chair’s Remarks

5. Question Period

6. Administration (Clerk)
   a) Senate membership ratifications for July 1, 2022
   b) Update on Committee renewal
   c) Senate Survey Call for Participation
   d) Senate Schedule for 2022/23

7. Reports:
   a) SCCASP (H. Nemiroff)
   b) SQAPC (D. Deugo)
   c) SAGC (E. Sloan)
8. Research Update

9. Student Mental Health Framework 2022-2026

10. Reports for Information:
    a) Senate Executive Minutes (February 15, 2022)

11. Other Business

12. Adjournment
1. Welcome & Approval of Agenda

The Chair welcomed Senators to the open session of the meeting. He noted one addition to the open agenda and asked Senators to add the Report of the COU Academic Colleague as item 8(b) under Reports for Information.

It was MOVED (D. Gillberg, S. Maguire) that Senate approve the open agenda for the meeting of Senate on February 25, 2022, as amended. The motion PASSED.
2. **Minutes:** January 28, 2022

   It was **MOVED** (J. Taber, M. Burns) that Senate approve the minutes of the Open Session of the Senate meeting on January 28, 2022 as presented. The motion **PASSED**.

3. **Matters Arising**

   There were none.

4. **Chair's Remarks**

   The Chair begins his remarks with an update on the pandemic and Carleton’s response to the latest developments. Carleton reopened facilities and resumed in-person instruction on February 7. On February 17, the provincial government began easing public health measures in Ontario and announced that if indicators continue to improve, the province will progressively lift the remaining measures. Carleton is not planning any significant changes to the public health measures currently in place on our campus, but has been able to ease measures for international travel, research lab capacity, event capacity and meeting protocols.

   The Chair also addressed the recent protests in downtown Ottawa, which have affected many members of the Carleton community. The downtown core has been cleared of demonstrators, but individuals needing support are encouraged to reach out to Student Services, who have been engaged in supporting students on this issue for several weeks.

   The Chair highlighted a few of the events celebrating Black History month at Carleton:

   - The School of Journalism and Communication organized a virtual event featuring CBC Washington Correspondent Makda Ghebreslassie, who discussed her career journey with Carleton’s Adrian Harewood.
   - A panel of the Sankofa: Back to Africa series entitled “Call and Response: Decolonizing Canadian Social Work” was held on February 23rd. The panel featured Simone Donaldson, who is a clinical social worker and principal consultant at Agapé Lens Consulting and Therapy, plus Simone Donaldson, who is a social worker and community health researcher.
   - On February 25, Carleton’s Afro-Caribbean Mentorship Program will host its fourth annual Black History Month event. This virtual event will be co-hosted by the founder of the Afro-Caribbean Mentorship Program, Dr. Warren Clarke and Wilfred Sam King.

   This month the Ericsson-Carleton University Partnership for Research and Leadership in 5G Wireless Networks established the Ericsson Chair in 5G Wireless...
Research, to explore real-world applications of 5G network technology. The Chair congratulated Professor Ioannis Lambadaris from Systems and Computer Engineering, who is the inaugural holder of this new position.

The Chair noted that eleven projects have been awarded funding through the first round of the 2021-22 Carleton University Experiential Learning Fund, designed to create and enhance experiential learning opportunities for Carleton students. The Chair congratulated the 12 recipients, including Senator Kathleen Moss.

For the 8th consecutive year, Carleton University has been named as one of the National Capital Region’s Top Employers. The judges highlighted a number of Carleton’s unique initiatives, including the Carleton Compass Onboarding program, and Carleton’s commitment to wellness, demonstrated by our network of over 90 Healthy Workplace Champions.

Finally, the Chair congratulated all nominees and winners of this year’s Service Excellence Awards held on February 24th. Over 600 people attended this virtual event. 323 nominations were received for excellence in three categories of Innovation, Team, and Individual service excellence. The Chair thanked both organizers and nominators for their work on this important event.

A Senator asked about the timeline of decisions regarding course delivery, and pandemic measures and restrictions (or lack thereof) at Carleton for the 2022 summer sessions. The Chair noted that no recommendations from CUSP are planned at this time for the summer and that the schedule is being developed based on student preference and pedagogical factors. However, it is likely that some measures will remain in place to ensure the safety of students, faculty and staff, even if all classes are in person, depending on the course of the pandemic.

5. Question Period
One question was submitted in advance by Senate Sean Maguire:

The ongoing occupation of Centretown is having a significant impact on the ability of Carleton students living in the area to continue their studies. The return to some on-campus courses and services may offer the opportunity for respite for these students. Different aspects of support from the University could include: mental health challenges on top of those associated with the actual pandemic; academic accommodation for those unable to study at home; and active physical support for students to travel from their home to campus and back. What actions is the University taking to support those students who happen to live in an area where the mere act of studying is challenged by the occupation?

Vice-President Students and Enrolment Suzanne Blanchard noted in response that students affected by the protest were emailed directly and asked to contact the
Office of the Vice-President Students & Enrolment. The OVPSE also monitored social media posts, and reached out to students posting about their struggles. In all, between 30 and 40 students were contacted regarding their needs and concerns. The OVPSE provided several options to support students, including health and counselling services, food baskets, emergency funds, and the use of residence rooms. Academic accommodation issues also were addressed.

A Senator asked a follow-up question regarding the police action on February 18th which prevented some students from being able to travel to campus. The Senator asked what options instructors have in this type of situation. The Provost noted that if there isn’t enough time for a consultation with the Dean’s or Provost’s Office, instructors can use their discretion either to cancel the class or provide alternatives to those unable to attend.

6. Administration

   a. **Senate Committee Renewal Process**

      The Clerk informed Senators that the Senate Office will be releasing a Call for Nominations for positions on Senate Standing Committees in early March. The term of service is 3 years for faculty members and one year for students, and will begin on July 1, 2022. Information on all of the Senate committees including their Terms of Reference can be found on the Senate website. The Clerk encouraged all Senators to deepen their understanding of academic governance and Senate business by serving on a committee.

7. Reports

   a. **Senate Committee on Curriculum, Admissions and Studies Policy (SCCASP)**

      The Chair of SCCASP, Howard Nemiroff, presented 3 items for Senate approval, one update and one item for information.

      The second and third motions for approval were combined into an omnibus motion for efficiency.

      **Alternative Admission Pathway for B. Econ Degree:**

      It was **MOVED** (H. Nemiroff, P. Wolff) that Senate approves the revisions to Regulations TBD-1457 R-ADM-Program - Bachelor of Economics effective for the 2022/23 Undergraduate Calendar as presented.

      The motion **PASSED**.

      **Omnibus Motion:** (impact of core courses on ACE evaluation)
It was **MOVED** (H. Nemiroff, D. Sprague) that Senate approves the revisions to Regulations TBD-1951 R-UG-3.2.7 Bachelor of Humanities and TBD-1955-R-UG-3.27 Bachelor of Journalism and Humanities effective for the 2022/23 Undergraduate Calendar as presented. The motion **PASSED**.

**Individual Motions:**

- THAT Senate approves the revisions to Regulation TBD-1951 R-UG-3.2.7 Bachelor of Humanities effective for the 2022/23 Undergraduate Calendar as presented.
- THAT Senate approves the revisions to Regulation TBD-1955-R-UG-3.27 Bachelor of Journalism and Humanities effective for the 2022/23 Undergraduate Calendar as presented.

**Update:**
The SCCASP Chair informed Senators that SCCASP is continuing to discuss and edit the First-Year Grading Policy, taking into consideration guidance and suggestions noted at the January Senate meeting. A draft policy will be brought to Senate in March for review and approval. In response to a question, it was noted that Faculties, departments and units have been consulted on the FYGP through a number of forums for feedback and discussion.

**Item for Information:**
Minor modifications from the month of February were circulated to Senators in advance for information.

**b. Senate Quality Assurance and Planning Committee (SQAPC)**
SQAPC Chair Dwight Deugo presented 7 major modifications, combined into one omnibus motion, for Senate approval.

It was **MOVED** (D. Deugo, H. Nemiroff) that Senate approve the major modifications as presented. The motion **PASSED**.

**Individual motions:**

**Concentration and Stream in Business Analytics**
- THAT Senate approve the introduction of the concentration and stream in Business Analytics and BUSI 4414 as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

**Stream in Entrepreneurship**
• THAT Senate approve the introduction of the stream in Entrepreneurship as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

Minor and Stream in Business (Sustainability)
• THAT Senate approve the introduction of the minor and stream in Business (Sustainability) as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

Master of Design
• THAT Senate approve the major modification to the Master of Design program as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

Master of Journalism
• THAT Senate approve the major modification to the Master of Journalism, program as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

Master of Journalism Collaborative Specialization in African Studies
• THAT Senate approve the major modification to the Master of Journalism Collaborative Specialization in African Studies program as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

MA Religion and Public Life, Collaborative Specialization in Digital Humanities
• THAT Senate approve the introduction of the collaborative specialization in Digital Humanities to the MA in Religion and Public Life as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

c. Senate Academic Governance Committee (SAGC)
The Clerk presented three motions for Senate approval including one committee membership ratification and two motions related to the review of the Senate Committee on Student Awards.

Committee membership ratification: for Anne Bowker (faculty member, FASS) to join the Senate Library Committee for a 3-year term with service beginning immediately upon approval.

It was MOVED (E. Sloan, A. Lannon) that Senate ratify the new Senate committee appointment, as presented.
The motion PASSED.

Senate Committee on Student Awards
The Terms of Reference for the Senate Committee on Student Awards were revised and updated to reflect current practice and to fit a new template. In addition, SAGC recommended revising the name of this committee to clarify that it deals exclusively with undergraduate student awards.
It was **MOVED** (E. Sloan, J. Sinclair-Palm) that Senate approve the renaming of the Senate Committee on Student Awards to the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Student Awards.
The motion **PASSED**.

It was **MOVED** (E. Sloan, K. Moss) that Senate approve the changes to the Terms of Reference for the Senate Committee on Undergraduate Student Awards, as presented.
The motion **PASSED**.

8. **Reports for Information**
   a. Senate Executive Committee Minutes (January 18, 2022)
   b. Report from COU Academic Colleague

   There was no discussion of these items.

9. **Other Business**
   No additional business was identified or discussed.

10. **Adjournment**
    The meeting was adjoumed (S. Maguire, J. Sinclair-Palm) at 3:15 pm.
Submitted by Senator Morgan Rooney:

1. Given the recent announcements from the Province of Ontario regarding the lifting of vaccine, masking, and most other COVID-19-related mandates, can Senate receive an update regarding plans for the conditions of teaching and learning on campus for the summer term? Will, for instance, Carleton maintain its policies around mandatory vaccinations, masking, and physical distancing for the summer terms? (If this is addressed by an existing agenda item, we can address the question at that time.)

2. Can administration update Senate on its plans for Hyflex moving forward, given that those shifting provincial policies will likely allow for more students in our classrooms? Specially, will Carleton be maintaining its commitment to using Hyflex for small-size classes (< 60 students) exclusively, or is there a plan to expand Hyflex for use in higher enrollment courses (>60 students)?
MOTION: That Senate ratify the following new Senate appointments, as presented, for terms beginning July 1, 2022.

Faculty Members (3 year term)
- Douglas Howe (Computer Science)
- Edward Cyr (FED)
- Lynn Marshall (FED)
- Christopher Smelser (FED)
- Christian Viau (FED)
- Lisa Moffitt (School of Architecture)
- Jeni Armstrong (FPA)
- Matthew Pearson (FPA)
- Kevin Graham (Science)
- Root Gorelick (Science)
- Pamela Wolff (Science)
- Howard Nemiroff (Sprott)

Students (Undergraduate) (1 year term)
- Sean Maguire (FASS)
- Aaron North (FASS)
- Davin Caratao (FPA)
- Jennifer Ramnarine (FPA)
- Taina Roberts (FPA)
All meetings are from 2:00 pm to 4:00 pm unless otherwise indicated.

- Friday September 23, 2022
- Friday October 21, 2022 (+Closed Session)
- Friday November 25, 2022
- Friday December 16, 2022 (tentative)
- Friday January 27, 2023
- Friday February 24, 2023 (+Closed Session)
- Friday March 31, 2023
- Friday April 21, 2023
- Friday June 2, 2023 (+Closed Session)
- Friday June 23, 2023 (tentative) at 10:00 am
MEMORANDUM

From: The Senate Committee on Curriculum, Admission and Studies Policy (SCCASP)
To: Senate
From: Howard Nemiroff, Chair of SCCASP
Date: March 25, 2022
Subject: Regulation Changes 2022-23

For Senate approval

1. English language admission requirements
   Motion: That Senate approves the revisions to Regulations R-ADM-B.A. First Year Admission to B.A. effective for the 2022/23 Undergraduate Calendar as presented.
   Attachment: R-ADM-B.A. BA First Year Admission

2. General regulations 5. Program Requirements
   Motion: That Senate approves the revisions to Regulations R-GR-General Regulations 5. Program Requirements effective for the 2022/23 Graduate Calendar as presented.
   Attachment: TBD-1795 R-GR-General Regulations 5

3. General regulations 6. Transfer of Credit
   Motion: That Senate approves the revisions to Regulations R-GR-General Regulations 6. Transfer of Credit effective for the 2022/23 Graduate Calendar as presented.
   Attachment: TBD-1796 R-GR-General Regulations 6

4. General regulations 13. Time Limits for Program Completion
   Motion: That Senate approves the revisions to Regulations R-GR-General Regulations 13. Time Limits for Program Completion effective for the 2022/23 Graduate Calendar as presented.
   Attachment: TBD-1803 R-GR-General Regulations 13

5. BA Law COOP Admissions Requirements
   Motion: That Senate approves the revisions to Regulations R-UG-COOP-B.A. Law COOP Admission and Continuation Requirements effective for the 2022/23 Undergraduate Calendar as presented.
   Attachment: TBD-1761 R-UG-COOP-B.A. Law
6. New First Year Grading Policy

Motion: That Senate approves the First-Year Grading Policy effective for the 2022/23 Undergraduate Calendar as presented.

Attachment: First Year Grading Policy

For Information

1. UG_G_2122_MinorMods_for_SCCASP_March 01, March 15
2. Glossary Definition: Topics Courses – Special Topics, Selected Topics
SCCASP is recommending to Senate the following addition to the undergraduate calendar (it will appear in Section 5.4):

For students entering their first year of studies at Carleton with no previous post-secondary studies the following grading policy shall apply during the first two terms of registration in a Carleton undergraduate degree program:

1) Any F or UNS grades earned in any course taken will be automatically converted to NR (No Record). Note: NR will not be recorded on the transcript but will be retained for internal use and accessible for other purposes as required.

2) Any passing grades earned in any course may be converted to a CR (Credit), at the request of the student, to a maximum of 2.0 credits during the first two terms. Students must request conversion prior to the last day of registration for the following term. Note: CR will be recorded on the transcript, and the earned grade will be retained for internal use and accessible for other purposes as required.

3) All non-financial WDNs will be automatically converted to WNR (Withdrawn, No Record). Note: WNR will not be recorded on the transcript but will be retained for internal use and accessible for other purposes as required.
DATE: March 11, 2022

TO: Senate

FROM: Dr. Dwight Deugo, Vice-Provost and Associate Vice-President (Academic), and Chair, Senate Quality Assurance and Planning Committee

RE: 2022-23 Calendar Curriculum Proposals

Graduate Major Modifications

**Background**

Following Faculty Board approval and, as part of academic quality assurance, major curriculum modifications are considered by the Senate Quality Assurance and Planning Committee (SQAPC) before being recommended to Senate. Major curriculum modifications are also considered by the Senate Committee on Curriculum, Admissions and Studies Policy (SCCASP).

**Documentation**

Recommended calendar language, along with supplemental documentation as appropriate, are provided for consideration and approval.

**Omnibus Motion**

In order to expedite business with the multiple changes that are subject to Senate approval at this meeting, the following omnibus motion will be moved. Senators may wish to identify any of the following 2 changes that they feel warrant individual discussion that will then not be covered by the omnibus motion. Independent motions as set out below will nonetheless be written into the Senate minutes for those major modifications that Senators agree can be covered by the omnibus motion.

![THAT] Senate approve the major modifications as presented below.

**Major Modifications**

1. **Master of Information Technology: Network Technology**
   - SCCASP approval: February 1, 2022
   - SQAPC approval: March 10, 2022

   **Senate Motion March 25, 2022**

   ![THAT] Senate approve the major modification to the to the Master of Information Technology: Network Technology program and the deletion of ITEC 5905 as presented with effect from Fall 2022.

2. **MA in History Collaborative Specialization in Climate Change**
   - SCCASP approval: March 1, 2022
   - SQAPC approval: March 10, 2022

   **Senate Motion March 25, 2022**
THAT Senate approve the introduction of the Collaborative Specialization in Climate Change to the MA in History program as presented with effect from Fall 2022.
MEMORANDUM
From: Senate Academic Governance Committee (SAGC)
To: Senate
Date: March 25, 2022
Subject: Terms of Reference update – Senate Committee on Curriculum, Admissions and Studies Policy (SCCASP)

The Senate Academic Governance Committee is continuing its review of the terms of reference of all Senate Standing Committees in order to update content where necessary and standardize the format.

The Terms of Reference for the Senate Committee on Curriculum Admissions and Studies Policy have been updated and reformatted to fit the new Senate Committee TOR template. (See attached document with track changes.) The Senate Academic Governance Committee is recommending these changes for Senate approval.

**MOTION:** That Senate approve the changes to the Terms of Reference for the Senate Committee on Curriculum, Admissions and Studies Policy, as presented.
Senate Committee on Curriculum, Admissions and Study Policy (SCCASP)

Terms of Reference

**Type of Committee:** Standing Committee

**Purpose:** To review and make recommendations to Senate, and approve as required, policies, regulations, and requirements pertaining to curriculum, admissions, and studies.

**Responsibilities:**

1. To review and make policy recommendations on admission requirements to all undergraduate degree programs (including certificates) and program elements (for example, minors, concentration).
2. To review and make policy recommendations at the undergraduate level on advanced standing and transfer of credit.
3. To review and make policy recommendations on minimum undergraduate program requirements, including the minimum number of courses, residence requirements, and the minimum level of achievement expressed as a grade point average. Where appropriate, the committee will also recommend on the minimum requirements, as defined above, for various specifications including majors, combined majors, honours and combined honours programs.
4. To review and make policy recommendations on general academic regulations that are either specific to undergraduate or graduate programs, or common to both, including but not limited to academic continuation performance evaluation, the grading system, examination administration, and challenge for credit.
5. To review and comment on all faculty, school, departmental and other regulations, at both the undergraduate and graduate levels, which may affect university requirements and/or which may be of concern to more than one Faculty.
6. To review and make recommendations on the organization and presentation of the material in the undergraduate and graduate calendars.
7. To review and advise Senate on external academic developments external to the University that impact policy at both the undergraduate and graduate levels, as well as on the possible effects of these developments, and to provide Senate with information on the implications, make recommendations on desirable actions to be taken by the university.
8. To review and make recommendations on policies and procedures at both the undergraduate and graduate levels under which students may appeal matters relating to their academic standing.
9. To approve annually the undergraduate and graduate academic schedules within the Guidelines for Determining the Academic Year as set and amended from time to time by Senate (please see Appendix A).
10. To review and approve undergraduate and graduate minor program modifications as these are defined by the Carleton University Institutional Quality Assurance Process and to submit these modifications to Senate for information.
**Membership: Composition**

1. An elected faculty member of Senate or a faculty member eligible to be elected to Senate to serve as Chair
2. Vice Provost and Associate Vice-President (Academic)
3. Vice-President (Students and Enrolment)/University Registrar (secretary)
4. Clerk of Senate (ex officio)
5. A member of the Senate Undergraduate Studies Committee (SUSC)
6. Two faculty members nominated by the Dean of the Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Affairs
7. One undergraduate student and one alternate
8. One graduate student and one alternate
9. One faculty representative from each of the University’s five line-Faculties **(normally these are faculty representatives who are responsible for policies, regulations, and requirements pertaining to curriculum, admissions, and studies)**

**Resources**

- Director of Admissions Services
- Associate Vice-President (Enrolment Management)
- Associate University Registrar (secretary)
- Director Graduate Services
- Graduate Program Officer
- Calendar Editor
- Graduate Registrar
- Manager, Office of the Vice-Provost
- Representative from the Office of Institutional Research and Planning (OIRP)
- Assistant Registrar, Academic Evaluation and Curriculum Management
- Manager, Student System Support
- And others as needed

**Quorum and Voting:**

Quorum and voting are by simple majority.

**Reporting:**

The Committee reports to Senate.

**Review:**

These terms of reference will be reviewed every 7 years or as needed.
Overview

Through the Listening Phase of the Student Mental Health Framework (SMHF), feedback was provided on several areas. This updated draft framework addresses the feedback that was received by highlighting a more holistic approach to mental health and well-being including safe substance use and harm reduction, cyberbullying and social media use, increasing access to services, increased support in navigating services, investigating best practices for supporting mental health and well-being in curriculum and the classroom, and striving to ensure that equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility are woven into this document.

When incorporating the feedback received, it was determined that the number of areas of focus could be streamlined to support the implementation of the enhanced framework. As a result, the previous areas of focus of Well-Being, Skills Building, and Resilience and Mental Health Awareness, Literacy, and Education were combined into one new section, Building Skills and Strengthening Resilience. Coordinated Crisis Management was embedded as an objective and a series of recommendations under the revised area of focus Campus Culture of Wellness.

This streamlined approach outlines four areas of focus with 12 objectives and 30 recommendations to support student mental health and well-being at Carleton. Each area of focus is interdependent of the other and together helps to support overall student mental health and well-being.

Additionally, an implementation and evaluation plan and a reporting and review process will be added to ensure that all recommendations are receiving ongoing feedback and that there is transparency and accountability with students, faculty and staff.
Student Mental Health Framework 2022-2026: A Holistic Approach to Student Mental Health and Well-Being

Student Engagement

Objectives:
- Recognize and support student engagement opportunities across our diverse university environment with a focus on student engagement, mental health and well-being, and inclusivity.
- Increase opportunities for student engagement and feedback in mental health and well-being initiatives, services, programs, and policies developed and/or actively running on campus.

Recommendations:
- Increase student involvement in the implementation of all areas of focus of this framework through developing partnerships with students, staff, and faculty.
- Continue to develop partnerships with student-led groups and support their innovative ideas and initiatives that promote a holistic approach to mental health and well-being.
- Continue to support and engage the Student Mental Health Student Engagement Committee in developing and implementing student-led initiatives.
- Leverage the results of existing student surveys and explore the implementation of additional surveys to better capture and improve upon the overall health and well-being of our students.
- Develop and implement evaluation tools for existing programs and services to ensure we are meeting students’ needs.
- Assess opportunities to engage graduate-level student expertise in research around mental health and well-being initiatives on campus.
Building Skills and Strengthening Resilience

Objectives:

- Enhance collaboration with internal and external community partners to support anti-stigma programming and campaigns and continue to work towards raising all aspects of mental health and well-being awareness.
- Encourage personal growth through opportunities to develop self-awareness and the acquisition of effective coping skills to strengthen resiliency.
- Develop specific strategies and frameworks to support holistic and proactive approaches to mental health and well-being.

Recommendations:

- Update the wellness website to provide access to comprehensive information on mental health and well-being to students, staff, faculty, and parents, including building resilience and coping skills, substance use health, and streamlining resource navigation.
- Provide regular training with an intersectional approach to enable staff and faculty to assist students in a way that is respectful of culture and identities, and which reflects the diversity of Carleton community members.
- Enhance online modules, workshops, and programming using an intersectional approach that introduces students to the concepts of overall well-being and thriving within the university environment with a particular focus on key areas like nutrition, physical health, finances, living on your own, loneliness, and isolation.
- Develop programming and initiatives to provide students with opportunities and resources that will help them to recognize personal strengths, develop coping skills, and build resilience.
- Enhance the training, events, and knowledge sharing of mental health and well-being services to contribute to overall student well-being, with a particular focus on encouraging health-seeking behaviours.
- Develop and implement training, education, and resources to reduce harms in different online environments such as social media.
- Support the development and implementation of a substance use health and harm reduction strategy that is focused on support and resources, education, and partnerships.
Student Mental Health Framework 2022-2026: A Holistic Approach to Student Mental Health and Well-Being

Coordinated Student Support and Services

Objectives:

- Increase knowledge of existing campus resources, programs, and services for mental health and well-being that are available to students and how to navigate and support students seeking help.
- Build capacity to ensure the provision of effective and interconnected campus mental health and well-being services to ensure optimal service responsiveness and which are easy to access.
- Ensure the development of effective partner relationships between Carleton mental health-related services, provincial and municipal resource networks, and community partners, and maintain effective liaison and referral protocols in partnership with external mental health resources.

Recommendations:

- Update the student support resources, which outlines student services and programs, and aligns with a streamlined “Stepped Approach” depending on need for the range of mental health and well-being services available at Carleton University.
- Update and regularly provide referral training for faculty, staff, and students in key roles, including providing template responses when referring students to additional supports.
- Integrate faith-based and spirituality resources and approaches as an integral component for supporting mental health and well-being.
- Assess and respond to student demand and need for additional counselling using an equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility lens.
- Review the structure of delivery of student mental health and well-being services at Carleton to respond to student mental health and well-being challenges in a coordinated way.
- Continue to promote the Care Report to the entire campus community and encourage its use to flag indicators of concern so our community can respond in the most appropriate way.
- Maintain and foster new collaborative partnerships with community partners that allow for better student access to community-based mental health services, including working with hospitals on coordinated discharge processes, working with the Royal Ottawa Hospital, and liaising with off-campus services for after-hours care.
Campus Culture of Wellness

Objectives:

- Continue to build awareness of signs of mental health distress, crisis, and suicidality as well as the appropriate coordinated responses and resources for referral.
- Strengthen institutional awareness of the impact of policies and practices that may create unintended stress on our students.
- Cultivate awareness of the importance of student mental health promotion and integration at all levels of the university, with a renewed commitment to continuous improvement, with a particular emphasis on equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility.
- Work collaboratively with faculty to establish a community of practice for integrating mental health and well-being into the curriculum and in the classroom.

Recommendations:

- Enhance the availability of training offered to faculty and staff to support students in crisis.
- Develop a university postvention strategy to reduce risk and promote healing after a death by suicide.
- Ensure collaboration with the employee mental health strategy, Healthy Workplace Strategic Plan, to have faculty and staff supported when supporting students.
- Sign and implement the Okanagan Charter, with its calls to action being to embed health into all aspects of campus culture and to lead health promotion action and collaboration.
- Ensure continued collaboration with Carleton’s many documents, frameworks, and strategies, including, but not limited to the Coordinated Accessibility Strategy, the Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Action Plan, Honouring Each Other, and Kinâmâgawin.
- In line with Kinâmâgawin Call to Action # 8, continue to develop the Circle of Care Protocol for Indigenous students in crisis consultation with the Centre for Indigenous Initiatives, Health and Counselling Services, and the Office of Student Affairs.
- Investigate ways to incorporate green spaces and environmental wellness into mental health and well-being programming in consultation with key stakeholders.
- Create opportunities for staff and faculty to work together and learn from each other when integrating mental health and well-being practices while looking to integrate research and evidence-based practices inside and outside of the classroom.
- Develop and distribute a mental health toolkit for faculty that includes curriculum infusion, how to recognize when a student may need support and how to support their own mental health.
Student Mental Health Framework 2022-2026: A Holistic Approach to Student Mental Health and Well-Being

- In consultation with faculty, investigate and implement best practices for supporting student mental health and well-being into the curriculum and classroom, including course design and delivery.

Implementation and Evaluation

The cross-functional Student Mental Health Advisory Committee will undertake an annual strategic planning cycle, prioritizing the recommendations, assigning ownership, developing work plans that are measurable and creating a four-year implementation plan and continued support. Additionally, there will be ways for faculty, staff, students, and external community partners to be involved in the implementation of various recommendations. The Student Mental Health Student Engagement Committee will continue to look at ways to create engagement and foster the conversation around mental health and well-being on campus, including the development of promotional campaigns and events and will provide continuous feedback on the implementation of the framework. An evaluation process will be implemented for individual recommendations to assess their impact and effectiveness. Necessary adjustments will be made where the recommendations do not achieve intended results. New research and emerging best practices will also inform the implementation and evaluation of the framework.

Reporting and Review

To support transparency, an annual report on the implementation of the framework will be shared with the campus community. This will help Carleton to highlight the innovations, contributions, and successes of the framework, while also remaining accountable to the aspirations, values, and goals. The Student Mental Health Framework will undergo a collaborative consultation and review process every four years. Although this review will occur every four years, it does not preclude students, faculty, and staff from providing ongoing feedback on the implementation of this framework. The Student Mental Health Advisory Committee encourages all members of the Carleton community to engage with the framework and provide continuous feedback to contribute to the mental health and well-being of our community.
Report on Student Mental Health Framework Feedback

Consultation Meetings, Information and Feedback Sessions, Online Feedback

Office of Student Affairs and Health and Counselling Services
February 14, 2022
Overview
The following report is a summary of the feedback received on Carleton’s Student Mental Health Framework throughout the listening phase of the consultation work plan.

Consultation Meetings
Throughout the listening phase of the consultation process, the Office of Student Affairs, Health and Counselling Services, and the Office of Quality Initiatives met with key stakeholder groups on-campus. Consultation meetings occurred with:

- Centre for Indigenous Initiatives
- Equity and Inclusive Communities
- Departmental Administrators Round Table
- Centre for Initiatives in Education
- Board of Governors

Consultation Sessions
A total of 25 consultation sessions took place for members of the Carleton community, which were a combination of open sessions for the general population, including parents, as well as closed sessions for groups who traditionally experience oppression and marginalization. In total, 300 people participated in these workshops.

A consultation session was also held with external stakeholders who have expertise in the mental health and well-being area. Representatives from the following community organizations were present:

- Community Addictions Peer Support Association (CAPSA)
- Centre for Innovation in Campus Mental Health (CICMH)
- Canadian Centre for Substance Use and Addiction (CCSA)
- Mental Health Commission of Canada
- The Royal Ottawa Hospital

To provide insight into how the feedback from the consultation sessions were conducted, we have included the consultation guide with the question list for these sessions as an appendix.

Online Feedback
During the listening phase, there were 57 anonymous form submissions and 6 emailed responses. This online feedback is presented as submitted.

Main Themes
Throughout the listening phase of the consultation process, several main themes emerged:

- Increased Counselling Support
- Pedagogy and Academic Structures
- Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion
- Holistic Approach
- Training and Delivery of Training
- Support for Faculty and Staff

- Feedback and Accountability
- Navigation and Communication
- Coordinated Structural Approach
- Impact of COVID-19
- Other Considerations

This report has been organized by these themes and has the aggregated feedback of the listening phase as well as the submitted feedback received online. This feedback has been examined and assessed in the review of the Student Mental Health Framework. Responses to the feedback received have also been included.
Increased Counselling Support
The need to hire more counsellors was emphasized throughout the listening phase consultation period. Specifically, feedback was provided on ensuring the university hires more diverse counsellors including counsellors who speak other languages, specialize in working with graduate students, Trans and Nonbinary people, and/or are part of the BIPOC community. There was also feedback on hiring counsellors with specific academic/faculty knowledge.

Additionally, feedback was provided to consider the needs of graduate students and international students who are not located in Ottawa or Canada. It was also suggested that the university identify opportunities to expand long-term support and continuity of care for students, including clarifying the types of support and scope of practice of campus supports and services and increasing community connections similar to the current partnership with The Royal Ottawa Hospital. As part of this feedback, it was also suggested to explore the possibility of case management and how this could be effectively implemented in the Carleton context.

Response
Carleton continues to assess what the needs are across campus when it comes to student mental health and well-being. Since 2019, Health and Counselling Services has hired 6 new counsellors and continues to assess the needs of the community based on available resources. Specialized counsellors now provide services for 2SGLBTQ+, racialized, Indigenous, graduate, and international students, and a new intake counsellor assists students in quickly connecting with the counselling services and resources that best fit their mental health needs. We have also hired a counsellor to provide services for Trans and Non-Binary students beginning on February 21, 2022. Additionally, we can provide same-day counselling services to students who are in crisis. Recognizing the feedback to ensure adequate counselling support, a recommendation has been added to the draft framework to ensure we continue to assess and respond to students’ demand and need for additional counselling using an equity, diversity, and inclusion lens. A recommendation has also been added to maintain and foster new collaborative partnerships with community partners that allow for better student access to community-based mental health services, including working with hospitals on coordinated discharge processes.

Pedagogy and Academic Structures
There was a significant request for consideration around the relationship between mental health and academic structures in the delivery of courses. Specifically:

- The academic causes of mental health problems
- The stigma associated with seeking support in the classroom
- Structure of course outlines and overall course design
- The heavy and high course load for programs such as engineering
- Financial structures that encourage increased course loads
- Lack of flexibility within the classroom
- Lack of adequate accommodation for those who require them
- Content warnings and/or a statement surrounding topics that may be encountered in the course
- Standardization around the request for extensions, or empowering faculty to allow for leniency
- Ensuring a mental health and well-being lens and related resources are integrated into course curricula, learning objectives, and course structures

It was recommended that more flexible pedagogy is what students need and want and that there should be mandatory training on how to provide more flexible courses while maintaining high academic value. It was also recommended that more academic structures and policies should take those with episodic mental illnesses and episodic disabilities into consideration.

There was additional feedback related to the stress caused by various academic protocols and procedures, including:
• Academic Integrity violations – notifications, investigations, and final decisions
• Midterm and exam deferral processes

Feedback was also received to increase the collaboration between the Paul Menton Centre, students, and instructors to provide adequate accommodation for students with disabilities and utilize a strengths-based approach in accommodations while also ensuring that there is academic accommodation for students who are ineligible to register for the Paul Menton Centre.

Response
To address feedback related to best practices within the classroom, an objective of working collaboratively with faculty to establish a community of practice for integrating mental health and well-being into the curriculum and in the classroom has been added under Campus Culture of Wellness. Specifically, a recommendation has been added to develop and distribute a mental health toolkit for faculty and to investigate and implement best practices for supporting student mental health and well-being into the curriculum and classroom, including course design and delivery in consultation with faculty. Additionally, recommendations have been added to enhance training for staff and faculty to support students in crisis and to create additional opportunities for staff and faculty to work together and learn from each other.

Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion
Feedback received on equity, diversity, and inclusion came up in a variety of ways, as referenced in this report. It relates primarily to ensure that we are continually honouring the voices of our racialized and marginalized communities, providing spaces and opportunities for genuine feedback, and continuing to provide professional mental health support and wellness services that are representative of our diverse community.

Response
The draft Student Mental Health Framework has woven equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI) throughout the recommendations. The final version of this framework will also include additional language that reiterates our commitment to EDI throughout the entire framework. We will also look to ensure the incorporation of an EDI lens and Indigenous ways of healing into mental health and well-being initiatives in collaboration with Equity and Inclusive Communities and the Centre for Indigenous Initiatives respectively.

Holistic Approach
Feedback was received during the listening phase to ensure a more holistic approach to mental health and well-being. Specifically, there were suggestions to ensure more events, programming, and resources are available for a broad spectrum of well-being with a particular focus on financial well-being and resiliency. Additionally, it was suggested that there should be more faith-based and spiritual approaches or avenues to support overall mental health and well-being and that the university should incorporate Indigenous ways of healing and sexual violence prevention and education into mental health and well-being initiatives.

Additional feedback was provided to ensure the development of harm reduction and substance use health strategies to be included as part of mental health and well-being. This includes anti-stigma and structural approaches, providing clean supplies, naloxone training, and the ability for trained staff and students to administer naloxone when needed.

There was a call for increased knowledge of resources that did not involve emergency services as well as increased care when supporting members of marginalized communities. There were also concerns about the way suicide was addressed on campus.
Highlighted amongst the backdrop of online learning as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, further concerns were raised around cyberbullying and the effect and potential harms of social media use. Particular concerns arose regarding cyberbullying of classmates and instructors and the pressures for instructors to be instantly available to students.

**Response**
The updated draft framework has combined the previous areas of focus of Well-Being, Skills Building, and Resilience and Mental Health Awareness, Literacy, and Education into a new combined area of focus Building Skills and Strengthening Resilience. This combined and new area of focus allows for an emphasis on providing a holistic approach to mental health and well-being, including providing events, programming, and resources that cover all areas of wellness including nutrition, physical health, finances, living on your own, loneliness, and isolation. Recommendations have also been added to include the development and implementation of a substance use health and harm reduction strategy, a plan to reduce harms in an online environment, and a postvention strategy.

Additionally, a recommendation has been included to ensure continued collaboration with Carleton’s many documents, frameworks, and strategies including, but not limited to Kinâmâgawin and Honouring Each Other under the Campus Culture of Wellness area of focus.

**Training and Delivery of Training**
Feedback was provided to suggest that mental health training should include cultural humility and be mandatory for all staff and faculty, including, but not limited to mental health literacy education, knowledge of resources available, and how to have conversations with students about mental health and well-being. It was further suggested that specific 2SLGBTQ+ training with a focus on Trans and Non-Binary topics be included. Additional training programs were suggested to help faculty and staff support their own well-being focusing on self-awareness and self-care strategies and such training should be offered more regularly. Feedback was also received to ensure appropriate support is provided to staff and faculty who receive student disclosures or engage with students who require support for their mental health. It was suggested that departments and services on campus work together and learn from each other and look at academic literature to integrate research- and evidence-based practices in developing training.

**Response**
The revised draft framework has updated its recommendations to ensure training is enhanced with an intersectional approach and expand the existing training offerings and promote and deliver them more regularly. A recommendation has also been added to ensure there are opportunities provided for staff, faculty, and students (particularly graduate students) to work together and learn from each other when integrating mental health and well-being practices when developing training.

**Support for Faculty and Staff**
Concerns were raised around employee mental health and well-being and ensuring that employees are supported so that they can better support students. Specifically, there were concerns that there was no employee-focused mental health department on campus and that employees are struggling with stress management. There were also concerns raised around the capacity of faculty and staff to take on additional work that will be required to implement the framework and that there should be a commitment to ensure adequate funding and staffing to support the implementation of the framework, and the expansion of mental health services and programming. It was also suggested that there was a need to provide training and education to better support staff and faculty who support students.

**Response**
While Healthy Workplace is responsible for supporting mental health in the workplace, we recognize and understand the importance of supporting faculty and staff as they support students. Embedded in the new framework are many recommendations focused on supporting faculty and staff including training...
opportunities, recommendations for responses to students when offering resources, and an effort to ensure continued collaboration with our community members. A recommendation has been added under the area of focus **Campus Culture of Wellness** to ensure continued collaboration with the employee mental health strategy, Healthy Workplace Strategic Plan. We remain committed to working with staff and faculty to implement the recommendations and objectives within this framework and have shaped the area of focus **Coordinated Student Support Services** around expanding knowledge of existing resources and building capacity to ensure the provision of effective and interconnected mental health and well-being services.

**Feedback and Accountability**
An area of feedback that was mentioned throughout the consultations was the duty of the framework to be accountable to the community it serves. Feedback around transparency, accountability to its community, and clear actionable items were mentioned, specifically related to supporting the Calls to Action from Kinâmâgawin. We were asked how we are going to support the Calls to Action and how we will measure our progress.

About the development of the framework, and in building trust and accountability, there were calls to increase the ability and ease to deliver feedback. We received suggestions that there should be opportunities available to provide feedback continuously, not just when updating the framework.

**Response**
To address this feedback, we have included sections on **Implementation and Evaluation** and **Reporting and Review** which clearly outline how the objectives and recommendations will be implemented, assessed, and reported on. While this framework will undergo a review every four years, an annual report on the implementation progress of this framework will be shared with the Carleton community to provide ongoing feedback. A recommendation has also been added under **Student Engagement** to actively engage and create more opportunities for students to provide ongoing feedback on the implementation of the framework.

To be accountable to our community, particularly around Calls to Action from Kinâmâgawin, we have added a recommendation under **Campus Culture of Wellness** on Call to Action #8. We will also continue to collaborate with the Centre for Indigenous Initiatives on meeting the other calls to action and with other stakeholders to ensure alignment with the various other frameworks and strategies.

**Navigation and Communication**
Feedback often received through these consultations was related to better navigation of mental health resources. Resources need to be easier to navigate, they need to be clear on who can access what services and what they can do for students. Information should be more easily available and accessible to students regardless of point of entry. There were additional concerns around the methods in which available supports are advertised – there is a need for diversity in the communication of available supports. A request for clarity around confidentiality was also raised.

**Response**
In response to this feedback, we have included a recommendation under **Building Skills and Strengthening Resilience** that supports streamlined resource navigation through an update to the wellness website. This update to the website will provide Carleton community members with the ability to more easily see what resources are available to them, provide ease of referrals, and ensure our community is up to date on our service offerings, both on campus and in the community.

In addition, this framework will have a focus on improving our training and resource referrals to communicate and promote a “Stepped Approach” of care, where the need of the student is matched to the resources referred to. Feedback related to diversifying the communication of resources has been embedded throughout the framework with revamped efforts to better communicate referral training,
templated responses for support, and increased collaboration with our community members. Further, we will review the structure and delivery of mental health and well-being services to ensure we are responding to challenges in a coordinated way.

Related to the feedback around confidentiality, Carleton has two privacy policies: Access to Information and Privacy Policy and Personal Health Information Processing Policy. The Access to Information and Privacy Policy serves as the primary privacy policy that applies to all university operations involving confidential and personal information, while the Personal Health Information Processing Policy applies strictly to the processing of personal health information for healthcare delivery purposes. Both policies are committed to the principles of access to information and the protection of privacy and can be accessed at any time on Carleton’s Privacy website. We will work to ensure that all areas of the university understand how to apply these policies when dealing with mental health concerns.

Coordinated Structural Approach
We received feedback that the Student Mental Health Framework must take a coordinated approach with the various strategies and frameworks already in place. Specifically, there was feedback around properly integrating the Strategic Integrated Plan, the Coordinated Accessibility Strategy, Kinámágawin, and Honouring Each Other. Additional feedback was received to ensure alignment with the objectives and recommendations from these other important university plans and frameworks.

Response
A new area of focus, Campus Culture of Wellness, was created by combining Coordinated Crisis Management and Institutional Structure to ensure a coordinated structural approach to student mental health and well-being. Specifically, a recommendation has been included to ensure continued collaboration with Carleton’s many documents, frameworks, and strategies. A new objective has also been developed to ensure we continue to work collaboratively with faculty to establish a community of practice for integrating mental health and well-being into the curriculum and in the classroom. Additionally, we are committing to sign the Okanagan Charter.

Impact of COVID-19
The feedback collected during this consultation was heavily influenced by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, particularly related to the toll that online learning and increased isolation takes on our community. Specific feedback was provided on how online environments are not conducive learning environments for everyone and that online learning can lead to increased isolation. It was also suggested that there is a varying degree of successful course design for online learning environments.

Through the consultation process, we received feedback that there is a perceived lack of accountability, standards and recourse for professors regarding faculty-student interactions, lack of accessibility with instructors, and poor instruction/teaching.

In the COVID-19 context, there was considerable feedback on the impact that online course design and delivery have on student mental health and well-being. Specifically, feedback received stated that there is a lack of training for faculty when it comes to developing online courses and a lack of mental health consideration. It was also suggested that students’ varying home environments may not be safe or conducive to online learning. Some recommendations that were received to address the impact online course design and delivery has on students’ mental health included having a recognition system for faculty to ensure courses are designed with accessibility, particularly mental health, in mind and also to ensure training is provided for faculty when developing online courses that have the inclusion of mental health resources and accommodation in the course outline. There were considerations and calls to continue to incorporate Carleton’s compassionate grading system beyond the COVID-19 pandemic, acknowledging that the compassionate grading options (SAT/UNS) have helped students’ mental health and well-being.
**Response**
The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on the Carleton community and the impacts of the pandemic will continue to be felt for years to come. The updated Student Mental Health Framework has taken these impacts into account to guide a more holistic approach to student mental health and well-being. While we anticipate that we will complete our full return to campus over the summer with a return to a regular in-person course schedule in the fall 2022 term (subject to any new public health restrictions) some online learning will continue. We have included recommendations in the updated framework to provide more space for students, faculty, and staff to work together and build communities of practice to enhance the online learning experience, particularly when it comes to integrating mental health and well-being into the classroom (virtual or in-person). A compassionate grading policy is currently under review by the Carleton Senate for implementation following the pandemic.

Specific feedback related directly to the online delivery of courses during the COVID-19 pandemic will be provided to the Office of the Provost and Vice-President (Academic).

**Other Considerations**
We received questions related to how the implementation of the framework was going to be funded. Through these conversations, Carleton’s role when it comes to advocating for more funding, research, and efforts to support student mental health came up.

Additionally, we received feedback regarding how we can flag students who may be struggling to offer and provide support in a timely fashion. Particularly, there were comments around developing a way to flag students who are failing or dropping out of multiple classes.

**Response**
The university continues to explore opportunities and advocate for additional funding to support student mental health and well-being initiatives. Through the implementation of various recommendations, this updated draft Framework will strengthen our community’s awareness of the signs of distress so that we aim to be able to step in and support the student before they reach a point of crisis.
Online Feedback

The following feedback was received through email and the anonymous feedback online form. Responses to address this feedback are included in this aggregate report. The University thanks the Carleton community for their feedback throughout the extensive consultation and review process of the Student Mental Health Framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Carleton does it to its own students? Not. A. Single. Word.

### 3-Nov-21 Form
As part of your website and navigation update, I hope that you improve the care report system ([https://carleton.ca/studentaffairs/care-report/](https://carleton.ca/studentaffairs/care-report/)). When I used it last year the process was extraordinarily slow and informal, and resulted in an academic counsellor inexplicably receiving the file months later and nothing coming of it at all.

### 3-Nov-21 Form
Consider a Nature RX program like Cornell: [https://health.cornell.edu/resources/health-topics/nature-rx](https://health.cornell.edu/resources/health-topics/nature-rx)

### 4-Nov-21 Form
The whole tone of these kinds of exercises assumes that students' mental ill-health problems arise from a variety of causes and it is our responsibility to do something about them. Fair enough, as far as it goes. I don't see much willingness to ask ourselves how we, as an institution, are one of the causes of the mental ill-health crisis on campus. When we conduct these initiatives it is often easy to forget that Carleton exists to educate people. Will we examine how what goes on in academic programs, courses, and classrooms might be fueling far more serious problems than just 'the normal stress of student life, we've all been there, that's just how it is, etc. and so on'?

Are we interested in a serious self-examination of how the academic processes, program structures, etc., that WE have created, and have the power if not the will to change, are themselves major generators of stress, anxiety, and depression? What about program design? What about a fee structure that incentivizes students to take on a course load that, very obviously if you teach them, a great many cannot handle? Should we think about our ongoing obsession with DFW rates in relation to how we may be setting students up not only to fail academically but also to experience failing health?

Why do we persist with the unrealistic expectation-creating myth of the four-year degree at an institution where most students work part-time or even full-time and in a province that measures graduation rates in seven-year increments? And so on. We need to at least try to take an honest look at ourselves as one of the sources of a crisis that is only getting worse.

### 8-Nov-21 Form
Hello
After reading the list of priorities, I was struck by one glaring omission: a commitment to increase funding for counseling services.

Last year, I quarantined for two weeks in residence after being exposed to COVID. This had a very big impact on my mental health. I reached out to counseling services my first week out and was told I'd have to wait a month before talking to anybody. Disparaged, I gave up on seeking help and my mental health continued to worsen until I was hospitalized a few months later. Of course, I'm not blaming counseling services for this having occurred. But it is possible that an early intervention could have made a difference. I was unable to access a service that I paid into.

COVID has had a terrible effect on people's mental health. Many people I know have been pushed to extremes. Close friends and acquaintances alike at Carleton have confided in me various struggles with their mental health.

A robust mental health program has to include a well funded counseling service. After my experience, I started seeing a therapist. I'm fortunate that my family could afford that. I often muse that I think everyone should see a therapist or a counselor. But what is certainly true is that anybody who wants to see a counselor should be able to.

Last year, I was denied proper access to mental health services. I hope that this committee takes steps to change that.

### 10-Nov-21 Form
The Student Mental Health Framework is comprehensive. The Health and Counselling Services (HCS) on-campus are well-used by students. That being said, the wait times are very long to receive these services (up to three months), unless help is urgently needed. Expanding the HCS would be beneficial for the Carleton community.

Unfortunately, Carleton Mental Health Services are not adequate in any way, shape or form.

To start, there are not enough counsellors/availability for students, 1 45 minute session every 3-4 weeks is very difficult to make any progress or to make use of time.

To counteract this, you work with EmpowerMe, but their availability is also only 1 50 minute session every 3 weeks (from my experience). Plus, we only get 6 sessions covered despite paying for this through our insurance?? I've also had negative experiences with the doctors performing mental health assessments, which is under stable since they are
just family doctors and not psychiatrists, but don’t send students for mental health assessments to doctors who shouldn’t be conducting mental health assessments!!

| 11-Nov-21 | Form | I believe Carleton is in an advantageous point in our Mental Health Framework update that it would be remiss not to sign and prioritize the Okanagan Charter: An International Charter for Health Promoting Universities and Colleges (view here: https://healthpromotingcampuses.squarespace.com/okanagan-charter).

The Charter has two main calls to action which are:
1. To embed health into all aspects of campus culture, across the administration, operations and academic mandates.
2. To lead health promotion action and collaboration locally and globally.

If our priority in this framework update is to embed mental health and well-being into all areas of campus this would be an incredibly aligned Charter to sign. As a Carleton employee with a vested interest in campus wellness and health promotion, this is the next step for our institution to take whether in progress of updating the Mental Health Framework or as an included commitment to work towards signing the Okanagan Charter.

| 12-Nov-21 | Form | Your first bullet point is Equity, diversity and inclusion, yet you don’t include persons who are unvaccinated onto school property. You cannot claim to be inclusive when you offer no accommodations for those that choose to be or cannot be vaccinated. How are you including non vaccinated students and staff? This is a publicly funded institution and your are violating peoples charter rights. This is discrimination at it’s finest.

Before you lecture us on equity and inclusion please take a look at your very own policies On another topic, a way to Increase engagement with Sexual Violence Prevention and Education would be to have courses in self defense where you can teach people how to protect themselves.

| 12-Nov-21 | Form | Please do more in person courses in the Winter semester. It is so important for the student’s mental health to be socially interacting with each other instead of sitting in front of the computer all day by themselves. It was a mistake not to have more in person courses this Fall 2021 semester. The viral rates were low, mandatory vaccination policies were rightfully in place combined with other risk mitigation measurements (masks for example, symptoms screening). My son's friends at University of Toronto have mostly in person classes. Please don't underestimate the importance of social interaction in this age group.

| 12-Nov-21 | Form | Don't schedule a tutorial just before the next lecture. This has caused a lot of stress for our son. Help is not available in time to get his assignment in. He has reached out to TAs, but feel they can't help. He feels anxiety when he can't access helpful academic support that he needs in a timely fashion.

| 12-Nov-21 | Form | My son is in a 4th year engineering programme. There's a tremendous amount of added anxiety and stress due to virtual proctor system which it takes students' focus away from their actual test or exam. Many universities are back to in-person and I don't understand why Carleton is forcing their students to put up with this rather unfair practice where any tech related issue with monitoring or electronic submission can result in penalizing students. The common comments is "how do we know your telling the truth?". Well, how do you know they're not? Since when people are considered guilty until proven innocent in this country? As parents, we try to support our sons and daughters through their academic years and that includes financial support as well. Frankly, I feel we are being cheated out of quality education these days at Carleton while still paying the same fee as before. The UNFAIR practice of virtual proctoring with no recourse to prove one's innocence must stop immediately as part of addressing students' well-being and mental health. Please do the right thing! Let's give the students the quality of education they deserve and treat them with dignity and respect.

| 12-Nov-21 | Form | Get the kids back in class!! It’s ridiculous that your classes are still on-line. We are paying for a proper education not a virtual one. Capacity limits have been opened up everywhere. You require students to be vaccinated so what is the hold-up? There are no excuses for the current policy to still be in effect.

| 12-Nov-21 | Form | This document seems to be silent on the subject of students working together to improve individual and collective mental health. As a student of architecture in Carleton in the 1980s, working in a studio environment that was open 24/7 was critical to my success. Whenever I felt like dropping out, I simply wandered around the studio and observed that there were several
other people in worse shape than me. That kept me in the game. Also, casual conversations with other classmates often produced aha! moments that helped me to break through most impasses. My daughter, who has followed in my footsteps, has far fewer social supports compared to me way back when. Today, most students work from their homes and her design professor has yet to show up for an in-person critique. There is almost no peer to peer learning now. I appreciate that Carleton University’s current obsession with safety has morphed into phantom fear. That’s really unfortunate because fear inhibits our brains from solving problems. In group settings, ignoring the effect of fear on learning and well being is discernibly diminishing the quality of instruction and learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-Nov-21</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>Promote an active participation of students in the measures, activities and the like linked to mental health improvements in their daily lives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Nov-21</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>Hello, My student is saying that she is spending a lot of time in her dorm room. Is there any sign that classes will be changed to in person learning soon? I believe that this will benefit her tremendously. Thank you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Nov-21</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>I have heard about tremendous support that Carleton provides students. As Carleton puts this entire program together, please consider that females should not feel that they have no repercussions from wrongly accusing males of sexual violence (for revenge). This action can cause significant emotional and traumatic challenges for men. Women need to be protected. They also have to feel accountability for their accusations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-Nov-21</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Hello. Classes need to be in person. Enough is enough. Students are vaccinated. They need to register their status. My daughters mental health is suffering due to her online classes. She is not able to meet people in her program. She is not able to meet new friends. She is from Vancouver. Renting an apartment and feels isolated especially since some of the classes and programs are in person. Get these kids back to school! This should not be an issue any longer. It should not be left up to individual professors or departments. It should be mandated. One of the reasons I supported my daughters decision to attend Carleton was because of the mental health program. If you are truly concerned about their mental health and well being you would bring students back in to campus to resume a full university life!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 14-Nov-21  | Form  | I applaud Carleton University for having the foresights to put in place a Mental Health program since 2016. With Covid-19 pandemic amidst our daily lives, the Carleton support community will need to put further effort in ensuring the enriching experiences offered by the university are not significantly diminished due to the removal of in-person classes. Based on the several conversations I have had with some students at large, they are very frustrated with the isolation from their peers and lecturers, and the disruption to their learning caused by the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic. To compound matters, the absence of in person learning makes it difficult for the teaching faculties to assess what the students are going through due to lack of visual cue from their body language. In some instances, students may have to put up with stress and anxiety arising from the home owing to parents inability to manage/separate home and work demands, and in some unfortunate situation, the loss of loved ones due to the inability of the health care system to cope with the high demand of their services. Here, I would like to make a plea to the shapers and implementers of this Mental Health program to make further effort in collecting data from the student population to appreciate the challenges they are facing, and put in place pragmatic and effective programs to alleviate the stress and anxiety faced by the various student segments. Some key focus areas, amongst others, for your kind consideration include:
1. Overloaded course work: What used to be standard course curriculum is no longer deemed to be "standard" owing to the disruption caused by the Covid-19 pandemic. One has to manage this issue delicately as lots of students are surviving on a shoe string budget. Extending the course duration, for instance, can create budget hardship for both the students and parents financing their studies.
2. Disruptive home internet system leading to missing assignment submission deadlines for course work, notably for students attending virtual classes from their home countries. Lecturers will need to be accommodative on this front as these are unusual times. There is also the element of trust and honesty from all stakeholders concerned. You will need to give the students the benefit of the doubt. If such late submissions of assignments persist, such insights in itself is a call for action to get into crux of the challenge faced by the students. |
3. Ensuring teaching assistance (TAs) time slots are not scheduled in such a manner that students are not able to engage them for guidance and support owing to hectic course schedule or conflicts. I would like to highlight again that we are living in unprecedented times. Students pay significant tuition fees to ensure they get quality education. Not having TAs available to support them, especially when students are learning in isolation, does not bode well for the mental health of the students. In closing, I would like to convey my appreciation to the shapers and movers of this Mental Health Program for being inclusive through extending this survey to the wider Carleton community. Diversity and inclusivity need to be further nurtured and leveraged upon, as it makes the solution space much more richer and wider. Here’s wishing Carleton University all the best in become an exemplary higher learning institution when comes to students (and I might add the support staff) mental well being through these challenging times.

15-Nov-21  Form  There needs to be focus placed on the mental well being of students during the pandemic. The plans for online verses in person are not good enough! With students being forced into online with no option for in person while being on campus. These students are left alone and the university is not doing enough to check on their mental well being!

18-Nov-21  Form  I think it is really important to address the quantity and impact of virtual learning and learning challenges and loss from the last three school years. This has had a huge impact on students and I would like to see more support for this particular topic. Too much screen time, not enough interactive work, not enough socializing with other students and teachers (often not even knowing them/who they are), not enough hands on time like lab work, isolation, most kids just don’t do well learning this way, etc. This is an issue that EVERYONE deals with. It is not a minority issue. It should be front and center. Thanks

20-Nov-21  Email  Get students BACK TO IN-PERSON LEARNING!!!! THAT WILL IMPROVE MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES EXACERBATED BY THE PANDEMIC!!!! Telling students to check on their schedules often until first day of classes Jan 2022 contributes to anxiety! Carleton is failing its customers in numerous ways! Your students are your customers.

22-Nov-21  Form  I want to protest against syllabi that include language that counts the manner in which students communicate with professors in the grade. These are presented as a "communication protocol" in programs such as BGINS or justified in reference to pedagogical language. See GINS 3020B. However including following such a protocol as a value of 3% in the total mark, effectively penalizes students who stray be deducting 3%. This can amount to a reduction of of final grade by 1 grade point! The essence of such protocols is to deduct 3% from students who contact the instructor with questions or complaints. I wish to see a digital "open door" policy so that students who need to contact someone about the course always have an office hour they can contact the instructor as the first point of contact without hesitation or second guessing themselves about whether or not they really need to talk to someone.

24-Nov-21  Email  Thanks to you and all those who took the time to run the session on Monday. I find the SMH framework is a positive step toward helping students succeed. My one issue is with the title itself as many won't step forward if they have to identify with having mental health issues. The brain is what controls our behaviours so really it’s a wellness framework... I spoke with my daughter about contacting you and she’s hesitant. She is annoyed I mentioned the professor and his reactions but I did see his comments and they were disturbing. My daughter isn’t one to complain and want special treatment. She did better on the recent exam than most but she feels the students were offered a platform to chat about why they did poorly (lack of information required to succeed) and they were shut down.

My daughter feels the only thing that will help her do better is to be able to go to inclass sessions. She has no idea if she even likes the program she’s in as she’s never attended classes. She signed up as it’s normally a hands on type program. She’s worried she’ll lose her scholarship money as her marks have gone down and worries about how she’ll pay tuition.

She dropped out of one course as the professor was recording classes from her cottage and telling the students to google things she was referring to rather than showing them herself. As a result my daughter called the Registrar's office and was given an Advisor (also a student) she didn’t even know she had access to and was advised to drop the course. This will now affect her ability to move forward and slow her down as the course she dropped is a prerequisite.

My daughter is very determined and does not like to be seen as requiring a parent to function, She's in second year and is turning 19 next month. She's young in my opinion as they all are entering university. The one professor who shut the online chat down said, to the effect, the students need to toughen up and this is reality, grow up. I'm sorry but it's a pandemic.
students are working in isolation in unprecedented times. As one parent said the SMH Framework will only succeed if there is buy-in from the profs.
Appendix A: Consultation Guide
Welcome, land acknowledgements, and introductions (~5 minutes)

Opening Presentation: brief overview of the Student Mental Health Framework 2.0, with an overview of the high-level topics emerged from pre-consultations (~10 minutes)

Breakout rooms will open or the consultation will begin (~ 40 minutes)

Welcome to the Consultation Session, facilitator introduction, note-taker introduction

As students/staff/faculty/parents/community partners, you bring a vital perspective to the Carleton community. We look forward to learning more from your experiences and hearing your views on student mental health during today’s session.

As some of you may know, the 2.0 Framework was developed in 2016 and we are in the midst of developing 3.0. The 3.0 Framework is being informed by the 6 areas of focus from 2.0 that are still relevant today. Based on preliminary feedback, the following high-level topics have emerged, keeping in mind others may be identified through continued consultations:

1. Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Action Plan
2. Leverage and incorporate Calls to Action from Kinànàgawin
3. Increase engagement with Sexual Violence Prevention and Education Committee in implementing Honouring Each Other objectives and strategies
4. Harm Reduction and Substance Use Strategy
5. Improved Mental Health and Wellness Support navigation through a website update
6. Cyberbullying education and prevention and social media use
7. Culture of Mental Health for faculty and staff through the creation of Self-Assessment and Audit tools to support campus wide SMHF 3.0 implementation
8. Community-informed and community-driven
10. Yearly audit and evaluation plan developed

As we go into the consultation, we’re going to explore several areas, and we encourage you to keep these high-level topics in mind. We have questions to help guide our conversation and will be posting the questions in the chat as we go for reference.

Please use the raise hand feature if you would like to comment on the questions and do feel free to use the chat to add your thoughts and comments. We’ll be capturing that information too.

Before we begin, are there any questions?

1. What strengths do you see Carleton building upon with regard to student mental health?

2. What gaps do you recognize that may need to be addressed?

3. Where do you see Carleton pushing boundaries related to student mental health? An example might be Carleton’s approach to harm reduction and substance use – we don’t take an abstinence approach, but a de-stigmatized, supportive, and education-based approach. Value lived experiences.

   a. Follow-up: How could these boundaries be pushed further?
4. What priorities would you like to see Carleton focus on with respect to student mental health?

5. In the pre-consultations, the following high-level topics emerged:
   - Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Action Plan
   - Leverage and incorporate Calls to Action from Kinâmâgawin
   - Increase engagement with Sexual Violence Prevention and Education Committee in implementing Honouring Each Other objectives and strategies
   - Harm Reduction and Substance Use Strategy
   - Improved Mental Health and Wellness Support navigation through a website update
   - Cyberbullying education and prevention and social media use
   - Culture of Mental Health for faculty and staff through the creation of Self-Assessment and Audit tools to support campus wide SMHF 3.0 implementation
   - Community-informed and community-driven
   - Implementation of Canada's National Standard for Mental Health and Well-Being for Post-Secondary Students
   - Yearly audit and evaluation plan developed

What are your initial reactions to those high-level topics? Are there areas you think might be missing? Can you think of practical strategies that can be implemented under these topics?

6. What would you like to share that we have not touched upon yet in our discussion?

If you have any additional thoughts or comments, you are more than welcome to submit anonymous feedback to SMHFramework@carleton.ca

Closing remarks about next steps, where to submit additional comments (~5 minutes)
1. **Welcome & Approval of the Agenda**
   The meeting was called to order at 11:02 am.

   It was **MOVED** (W. Ye, S. Sivathayalan) that the committee approve the agenda for the meeting, as presented. The motion **PASSED**.

2. **Approval of Senate Executive Minutes - January 18, 2022**
   It was **MOVED** (S. Sivathayalan, P. Wolff) that the committee approve the minutes of the Senate Executive Committee meeting on January 18, 2022, as presented. The motion **PASSED**.

3. **Senate Minutes - January 28, 2022**
   One error was noted under item 6-a, Administration – Notice of non-advertised recruitment.

   With this correction, committee members approved by consensus (E. Sloan, S. Sivathayalan) the draft minutes of the Senate meeting on January 28, 2022.
4. **Senate Agenda - February 25, 2022**
   Committee members reviewed and discussed the draft agenda for the February 25, 2022 Senate meeting. No additions or changes were suggested.

   It was **MOVED** (W. Ye, S. Maguire) that the Senate Executive Committee approve the agenda for the Senate meeting of February 25, 2022, as presented. The motion **PASSED**.

5. **Other Business**
   There was none.

8. **Adjournment**
   The meeting was adjourned (S. Maguire, P. Wolff) at 11:30 am.