

	A	B	C	D
1	Date	Format	Content	Response
2	12-Sep-18	Form	I remember when I stayed there on residence and the RA. Came into a room where there was drinking and dancing, she got into one guy's face aggressively and he kind of brushed it off by continuing to dance until she got annoyed and left .. turned out she wrote a complaint that it was some sort of sex dance and all of a sudden he's kicked out of residence and banned and with all the rumors surrounding it was labeled some form of sexual deviant... I would hope you instil some form of protection from false claims of sexual whatever... Because that is such a travesty you should be ashamed for that.	This will be addressed in the Listening Phase.
3	13-Sep-18	Form	the appeal time limit should be extended.	This will be addressed in the Listening Phase.
4	13-Sep-18	Form	Under law, all individuals are deemed innocent until prove guilty. I hope this will be enforced by Carleton as well. If there is such an public accusation, please make sure the identity of the accused is protected until proven guilty by court.	This will be addressed in the Listening Phase.
5	13-Sep-18	Form	Please change the term survivor to only those who went through life critical situations. Such examples could be attempted murder after rape. Rape victims are victims, not survivors.	This will be addressed in the Listening Phase.
6	13-Sep-18	Form	The most serious of the many flaws in the Sexual Violence Policy is its failure to protect the charter right of the accused to the presumption of innocence. This is particularly apparent in the the explicit policy of Equity Services unconditionally to believe all accusers (the "We Believe You" poster), thus prejudicing the process against the accused. Section 11 of the Charter guarantees every person certain rights when are charged with a criminal offence. Section 11 applies to all types of offences (criminal, quasi-criminal, and regulatory offences). Note especially Section 11(d): the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty in a court of law. The Supreme Court of Canada has referred to this constitutional right as "the golden thread" that runs through the criminal law. The burden is always on the prosecution to prove a criminal case. The presumption of innocence acts as a shield to protect an accused where the evidence falls short of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Section 11(d) entrenches the long standing common law principle that no person can be convicted if there exists a reasonable doubt that he committed the offence. It is because of s. 11(d) that the court is constitutionally required to prove every element of the offence beyond a reasonable doubt and also to disprove the validity of any defence beyond a reasonable doubt. The Supreme Court has ruled that it would contravene s. 11(d) if the defence was required to disprove an element of the offence or to prove the existence of a defence. Thus, at minimum, the defence must show that the case, as presented by the prosecution, leaves a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant is guilty.	This will be addressed in the Listening Phase.
7	18-Sep-18	Email	[removed identifiable information] I've reviewed the timeline and it looks good, but how does one become more involved?	The person has been contacted.

	A	B	C	D
8	30-Sep-18	CUPE 4600 Letter	We, CUPE 4600, therefore wish to be considered a key stakeholder throughout the consultation, listening, tactical, drafting and fine tuning processes. Specifically, workers should be included as a group within the closed session consultations.	We have updated the work plan to clearly identify labour unions (which includes CUPE 4600), student governments, and student groups as key stakeholders throughout the consultation process. We have also updated the work plan to invite requests for closed sessions from any stakeholder group. For further clarity, we can accommodate a closed session for CUPE 4600 and any other labour union on campus. Requests can be made to svpolicy@carleton.ca
9	30-Sep-18	CUPE 4600 Letter	Maintain transparency throughout this process, and clarify the timeline of the process' phases. Specifically, how initial feedback obtained in the process consultation phase will be integrated into proceeding phases.	All feedback submitted will be provided on the Sexual Violence Policy Review consultation website throughout the process. Feedback from closed sessions will be provided on the website in aggregate so as to protect the identity of the participants.
10	30-Sep-18	CUPE 4600 Letter	Provide an agenda and discussion items in a reasonable timeframe before each feed back soliciting event.	An agenda for the design-thinking workshops is available on the Sexual Violence Policy Review consultation website.
11	30-Sep-18	CUPE 4600 Letter	Provide an additional two weeks to the process consultation phase, allowing for more complete feedback and sufficient time to solicit community response.	We are not able to extend the Process Consultation phase as we need to proceed to the next phase in order to allow sufficient time for policy feedback. However, we are open to continuing to receive feedback on the consultation process. Persons who are not comfortable submitting feedback digitally are welcome to meet with us in person to provide feedback.
12	30-Sep-18	CUPE 4600 Letter	Provide more precision about the nature of the "action" (feedback vs consultation vs design-thinking workshop).	We are defining the terms as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Feedback: Comments we are soliciting digitally and from individual stakeholder meetings. - Consultation: The overall work plan we have laid out for this policy review process to receive feedback. - Design-Thinking Workshop: A facilitated and supported session with the goal of soliciting policy feedback in a co-created way. This has been provided on our work plan website.
13	30-Sep-18	CUPE 4600 Letter	Clarify the decision making process through which the designation of an 'actionable tactic' will be determined, and the weight that key stakeholders hold in the process of drafting the Policy on Sexual Violence and Strategy on Sexual Violence Prevention plans.	As we go through the review process, Equity Services and the OVPSE will be making recommendations and assessments based on the feedback received and will provide these recommendations on each item. This will be readily available on our website. We welcome feedback on these recommendations as they are presented. In making recommendations we will be using the following generalized guideline. Items that are more tactical in nature in the prevention and education of sexual violence will be brought forward to the Campus Strategy on Sexual Violence Prevention which will be developed in consultation with the Sexual Violence Prevention and Education Committee and key stakeholders. Items that are addressed within the policy will be brought forward within the policy review process.