

CSEM Actuarial Scoring Exercises (IATSO 2025 Workshop)

Instructions:

1. Read the case vignettes.
2. For each case, score CPORT and RM2000/S based on the information provided. *[This is an exercise, for actual use, you will want to take the training on both these tools.]*
3. Check the appropriate boxes and calculate totals.
4. After completing your scoring, jot down some potential intervention and supervision targets

Case 1: John M.

John M., currently age 44 (in August 2025), employed as an IT support technician in Kingston, ON. Police executed a search warrant after an internet tip and found a private cache of child sexual exploitation material (CSEM) on his personal encrypted hard drive and some password-protected folders on his home computer. He admitted to downloading and viewing images/videos but denied any contact sexual activity. He was arrested March 2025 for possessing 150 adolescent/young-adult CSEM images/videos (mostly female). His criminal record shows a single shoplifting conviction 15 years ago (2010). No prior sexual offences, no history of violent offending, no bail/probation failures. He has stable housing, a long-term partner (cohabiting 8 years), no substance dependence, has engaged voluntarily in a local outpatient counselling group since arrest, attends weekly, and has been compliant with court-ordered bail conditions (no internet usage for non-work devices). He expresses remorse and limited sexual interest in adults and denies attraction to children when asked, although his collection contains a mix of adolescent and young-adult material and a slight predominance of girl-over-boy content. He does admit to viewing pornography and CSEM to cope with stress.

CPORT

<u>CPORT Risk Factors</u>	<u>Item Present:</u> <u>0 = No, 1 = Yes</u>
<u>1. Offender age at time of index investigation: 35 or younger</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>2. Any prior criminal history?</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>3. Any failure on conditional release, including charge at index?</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>4. Any contact sexual offending, including a charge at index?</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>5. Admission/diagnosis of pedophilic or hebephilic interests</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>6. More boy than girl content in the child pornography material (≥ 51%)</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>7. More boy than girl content in the nude/other child material (≥ 51%)</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>TOTAL SCORE (0 to 7)</u>	<u>1</u>

RM2000/S Scale – Risk for Sexual Recidivism

Step One: Initial Risk Category

Age	18-24 = 2 points; 25-34 = 1 point; Older = 0 points
Sexual Appearances	1 = 0 points ; 2 = 1 point; 3,4 = 2 points; 5+ = 3 points
Criminal Appearances	4 or less = 0 points ; 5 or more = 1 point

Step 1 Points	0	1-2	3-4	5-6
Category Label	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Well Above Average

Step Two: Revised Risk Category

Male victim from sex offence	Stranger victim from sex offence	Single (no 2-year cohabitation)	Non-contact sex offence
0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1

*Stranger victim: Not scored based on CSEM images. Noncontact: Only scored if there's an offline sex offence as well. Male victim: Nuanced rules regarding whether they searched for the content.

Put the risk category up one level if two or three aggravating factors apply, and up two levels if four aggravating factors apply. Circle the Revised Category.

Revised Category	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Well Above Average
-------------------------	----------------------	----------------	----------------------	---------------------------

Intervention targets ?

Case 2: David R

David R., currently age 29 (in August 2025), unemployed (laid off 6 months ago), living alone in an Ottawa apartment. Police investigation after his IP was linked to a child exploitation forum found a large, organized collection of CSEM, including chats where he arranged access to young adolescents and shared images/videos. David was arrested June 2025 for >2,000 boy-predominant CSEM images/videos and chat logs arranging meetings with a 13-year-old. He admitted he had met one 13-year-old offline and engaged in sexual activity (contact), which led to a prior charge and conviction 4 years ago (2021 conviction; sentenced and released). His criminal record includes two prior sentencing occasions for non-sexual violent offences (assault: in 2018 and 2019) and 3 recent failures on conditional release for his CSEM offences (multiple probation breaches for contact with minors and missed check-ins). His collection is heavily boy-predominant. He has a history of binge alcohol use, recent escalation of online activity, poor insight, minimization of harm, and has refused treatment when offered in custody. He has limited social supports and was single/never cohabited for the past 5 years.

CPORT

<u>CPORT Risk Factors</u>	<u>Item Present:</u> <u>0 = No, 1 = Yes</u>
<u>1. Offender age at time of index investigation: 35 or younger</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>2. Any prior criminal history?</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>3. Any failure on conditional release, including charge at index?</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>4. Any contact sexual offending, including a charge at index?</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>5. Admission/diagnosis of pedophilic or hebephilic interests</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>6. More boy than girl content in the child pornography material (≥ 51%)</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>7. More boy than girl content in the nude/other child material (≥ 51%)</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>TOTAL SCORE (0 to 7)</u>	<u>4</u>

RM2000/S Scale – Risk for Sexual Recidivism

Step One: Initial Risk Category

Age	18-24 = 2 points; 25-34 = 1 point ; Older = 0 points
Sexual Appearances	1 = 0 points; 2 = 1 point ; 3,4 = 2 points; 5+ = 3 points
Criminal Appearances	4 or less = 0 points ; 5 or more = 1 point

Step 1 Points	0	1-2	3-4	5-6
Category Label	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Well Above Average

Step Two: Revised Risk Category

Male victim from sex offence	Stranger victim from sex offence	Single (no 2-year cohabitation)	Non-contact sex offence
0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1

*Stranger victim: Not scored based on CSEM images. Noncontact: Only scored if there's an offline sex offence as well. Male victim: Nuanced rules regarding whether they searched for the content.

Put the risk category up one level if two or three aggravating factors apply, and up two levels if four aggravating factors apply. Circle the Revised Category.

Revised Category	<i>Below Average</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Above Average</i>	<i>Well Above Average</i>
-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------------

Intervention targets ?

CASE 3: Manny R.

Manny R. is now 36 years old (in August 2025). He was arrested in July 2025 for distribution of child pornography (via MP3Rocket). Police executed a search warrant at Mr. R's home, seizing his computer. Forensic examination revealed 82 pornography files, of which 26 contained child sexual exploitation material (CSEM). The majority depicted prepubescent females aged approximately 5–14, with some images involving bondage. Material consisted mainly of pictures, but several videos were also found. Deleted files and an organized folder structure indicated deliberate access and storage patterns. At the time of arrest, Manny R. resided with his wife (married for 6 years) and his 15-year-old stepson. He had a biological son (16 years old) from a previous marriage who visited every other weekend. Following his arrest, Mr. R was remanded into custody. Mr. R denied any contact sexual offences but admitted a sexual interest in prepubescent females and associated sexual fantasies. He engaged in S&M, roleplay, and swinging parties with his wife. He has a prior substance misuse diagnosis but feels he is healed now. He first accessed pornography at age 13, escalating to CSEM about 6 months before arrest, searching for such material several times per week, sometimes before work. He admitted that incest "excited him" but claimed he would never act on it. Prior to his offence, he admitted there was increased marital strain and a breakdown in the relationship and felt high stress from his job as a mechanic. His only criminal history is one prior conviction for fraud under \$500 (writing a bad cheque) following separation from his first wife (2010).

CPORT

<u>CPORT Risk Factors</u>	<u>Item Present:</u> <u>0 = No, 1 = Yes</u>
<u>1. Offender age at time of index investigation: 35 or younger</u>	<u>0 (assume he was 36 already at investigation)</u>
<u>2. Any prior criminal history?</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>3. Any failure on conditional release, including charge at index?</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>4. Any contact sexual offending, including a charge at index?</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>5. Admission/diagnosis of pedophilic or hebephilic interests</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>6. More boy than girl content in the child pornography material (≥ 51%)</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>7. More boy than girl content in the nude/other child material (≥ 51%)</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>TOTAL SCORE (0 to 7)</u>	<u>2</u>

RM2000/S Scale – Risk for Sexual Recidivism

Step One: Initial Risk Category

Age	18-24 = 2 points; 25-34 = 1 point; Older = 0 points
Sexual Appearances	1 = 0 points ; 2 = 1 point; 3,4 = 2 points; 5+ = 3 points
Criminal Appearances	4 or less = 0 points ; 5 or more = 1 point

Step 1 Points	0	1-2	3-4	5-6
Category Label	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Well Above Average

Step Two: Revised Risk Category

Male victim from sex offence	Stranger victim from sex offence	Single (no 2-year cohabitation)	Non-contact sex offence
0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1

*Stranger victim: Not scored based on CSEM images. Noncontact: Only scored if there's an offline sex offence as well. Male victim: Nuanced rules regarding whether they searched for the content.

Put the risk category up one level if two or three aggravating factors apply, and up two levels if four aggravating factors apply. Circle the Revised Category.

Revised Category	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Well Above Average
------------------	----------------------	----------------	----------------------	---------------------------

Intervention targets?

Answer Key

		RM2000/S Step 1		
Case	CPORT Total	(Points and Category)	RM2000/S Step 2	Final RM2000/S Category
John M.	1/7	0 (Below Average)	0 (no aggravators)	Below Average
David R.	4/7	2 (Average)	3 (all aggravators → +1 level)	Above Average
Manny R.	2/7	0 (Below Average)	0 (no aggravators)	Below Average