# Testing Explanations for Father-Daughter Incest

Session F26

Chair: Michael Seto



The Royal's Institute of Mental Health Research affiliated with the University of Ottawa

Institut de recherche en santé mentale du Royal affilié avec l'Université d'Ottawa

#### Presentations

- **1.** <u>Kelly Babchishin</u>: Factors Associated With Incest In A Representative Sample Of 1200 Fathers From Brazil
- 2. <u>Madison McAskill</u>: Testing Explanations of Father-Daughter Incest: Data From A Market Panel in India
- 3. <u>Frederica Martijn</u>: Exploring Three Theoretical Mechanisms Of Incest



#### The Puzzle of Incest

- Incest taboos and incest avoidance
- Yet intrafamilial child sexual abuse is sadly common
- Father-daughter incest is the plurality forensically
- Current models (e.g., motivation facilitation model) don't adequately explain
- Drawing ideas from anthropological and clinical literatures:
  - early proximity
  - paternity confidence
  - family dysfunction



# Factors associated with incest in a representative sample of 1200 fathers from Brazil



# Kelly M. Babchishin, Madison McAskill, & Michael C. Seto



ATSA 2024 San Antonio, Texas

Friday, October 18, 2024

#### Background

Current sexual offending theories proposing pedophilia and antisociality as risk factors do not adequately explain incest

Biological and anthropological theories have suggested other risk factors

## Background









Westermarck

Paternity Confidence

Family Dynamics

Individual

#### Background



#### Purpose of Current Study

• To what extent to factors related to **Westermarck effect**, **paternity confidence**, **family dynamics**, and **individual characteristics** influence the propensity for incestuous behavior?

### Hypotheses



Westermarck

Paternity Confidence

Family Dynamics

Individual

#### Method



- Online survey (IPSOS)
  - Must be a father with daughters (bio or step)
  - Quota: education, region
  - Survey: Portuguese

• Average of 24 min to complete (SD = 17.9)

#### Participants

- 1,077 fathers (at least one daughter, at least 18 years of age)
  - Started with 1200, but removed those who failed > 50% of the consistency/validity check or those that did not complete the survey



■ 18-24 ■ 25-34 ■ 35-44 ■ 45-54 ■ 55-64 ■ 65-99

#### Any criminal history



Mean = 43 (SD = 13.6), range 18 to 70

#### Participants



#### Daughter Types



Average of 2.1 children (SD = 1.2), with an average of 1.4 daughters

#### **Incest Propesity**

"Márcio is alone with his 10 year old [step] daughter, Carolina, in their home on a Friday evening. They are sitting next to each other on the couch and watching a movie. Márcio has a bowl of popcorn in his lap. Carolina reaches her arm with the intention of grabbing some popcorn from the bowl. Instead she touches his genitals and starts caressing them."

> **"How arousing do you find this story?"** Responses ranged from 1 (Not at all arousing), 5 (Somewhat likely), to 10 (Extremely arousing).

"If you were in a similar situation, how likely would you be to encourage continued sexual contact with your daughter?"

Responses ranged from 1 (Not at all likely), 5 (Somewhat arousing) to 10 (Extremely likely).

#### **Propensity for Incest**

- 7.5% reported at least some likelihood of continuing this behavior if they were in a similar situation
- 8.9% reported at least some arousal to the vignette
- Variable was dichotomized
  - o represented **no** propensity
  - 1 represented **any** propensity

11.4% of fathers in Brazil
demonstrated at least some propensity
toward fatherdaughter incest

#### Data Analyses

- Non-parametric tests for individual effect
  - Effect size:
    - r or φ: .10, .30, .50
- Multivariate logistic regression
  - OR > 1.00: higher scores, <u>more</u> likely to report incest propensity
  - OR< 1.00: higher scores, <u>less</u> likely to report incest propensity
  - Effect size
    - 1.44 (small), 2.48 (med), & 4.27 (large)

#### Parental Involvement



Westermarck

 How often fathers were involved in child rearing activities during the child's first 6 years of life

- Rated from 1 (not at all) to 6 (at least once per day)
- Summed to create total score (range 7 to 48)
- Parental involvement was not related to incest propensity (z=0.80, r=.02, p=.43)



#### **Step-Daughters**



No propensity At least some propensity **No propensity** 8.7%, n = 954

At least some propensity 11.4%, n = 123

- 9% had at least one stepdaughter
  - Incest propensity was not associated with having at least 1 step-daughter,  $\chi^2(1, N=1077)=0.96$ ,  $\phi=.03$ , p=.33)





Paternity Confidence

- How closely does this child resemble you in appearance?
- Lower physical resemblance scores were significantly related to incest propensity (*z*=-3.28, *r*=-.09, *p*<.001)</li>





Paternity Confidence

• How likely do you think it is that the mother of the child ever cheated on you?

 Higher partner infidelity scores were significantly related to incest propensity (*z*=4.61, *r*=.13, *p*< .001)

#### Marital Conflict



- How much conflict did you experience during your relationship with the mother of this child?
- Marital conflict was not related to incest propensity (*z*=0.37, *r*=.01, *p*=.71)

#### Marital Satisfaction



- How satisfied were you with your relationship with the mother of this child?
- Marital satisfaction was not related to incest propensity (*z*=-1.61, *r*=-.04, *p*=.11)





- Statements describing parent-child warmth
- e.g., "I share an affectionate, warm relationship with my child."
  - Rated from 1 (*definitely does not apply*) to 5 (*definitely applies*)
- Father-daughter warmth was significantly lower among fathers with incest propensity (*z*=-3.05, *r*=-.08, *p*=.002)

## Father-Daughter Conflict



- Statements describing parent-child conflict
  - e.g., e.g., "My child and I always seem to be struggling with each other"
  - Rated from 1 (*definitely does not apply*) to 5 (*definitely applies*)
- Higher father-daughter conflict scores were significantly related to incest propensity (*z* = 6.71, *r*=.18, *p* < .001)</li>



#### Childhood Maltreatment



• Physical abuse, neglect, and or witnessed violence during childhood (excludes CSA)

 Child maltreatment was not related to incest propensity (*z*=0.54, *r*=.02, *p*=.59)



#### Childhood Sexual Abuse



• Sexual contact with an adult man or woman prior to the age of 12

 Childhood sexual abuse history was significantly more prevalent among fathers with incest propensity, χ<sup>2</sup>(1, N=1077)= 12.34, φ= .11, p < .001)</li>



## Pedohebephilia

- 40 images
- Asked to rate the attractiveness of each image from 1 (very sexually unattractive) to 7 (very sexually attractive)
- Response latencies (viewing time) recorded
- T1 to T5, randomly order within Tanners



- Difference score (Janhke et al.)
  - Higher score = more interest in children



#### Pedohebephilia- Viewing Time



- Viewing Time assessed pedohebephilia was not related to incest propensity
- *t*(154.30)= 0.64, *r*=.03, *p*=.52)



## Pedohebephilia- Attraction Ratings



- Attraction ratings were not related to incest propensity
- *t*(145.5)= -1.4, *r*=-.08, *p*=.18)



## Childhood Antisocial Behaviour



- Childhood and Adolescent Taxon Scale (CATS)
  - E.g., cruel to animals, initiating fights often

 Childhood antisociality was significantly higher among fathers with incest propensity (*z*=4.62, *r*=.13, *p*<.001)</li>

#### **Incest Propensity**



#### $\Delta$ Protective factor

#### Incest Propensity



#### $\Delta$ Protective factor

\*Correlate reached statistical significance (*p* < .05)

#### **Summary of Findings**



#### Conclusion

**Prevention programs** targeting child sexual abuse and bolstering the father-child relationship could help reduce father-daughter incest

#### CE Credit

- **True or False:** The study found that some fathers showed a tendency towards father-daughter incest.
- Answer: True
- **True or False:** The study included over 1,000 fathers from Brazil. **Answer:** True
- **True or False:** Fathers who experienced childhood sex abuse were less likely to have a propensity towards incest with their daughter.
- Answer: False (they were more likely to have propensity towards incest)



Scan for Slides:



#### Kelly M. Babchishin, Ph.D.

Carleton University Kelly.Babchishin@carleton.ca

@Kbabchishin







Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Conseil de recherches en sciences humaines du Canada


Madison McAskill, Kelly M. Babchishin, & Michael C. Seto

> ATSA 2024 San Antonio, Texas

**Testing explanations** of father-daughter incest: Data from a market panel in India





### **OBJECTIVES**

- Identify risk factors for incest among non-offending fathers in the community
  - Are there differences between incestuous and non-incestuous fathers on the proposed domains?
- Compare these domains and rates of incestuous sexual interests among nonoffending fathers across different countries
  - Are there cultural differences in the rates and explanations for incest?

## HYPOTHESES

- I. Fathers with incest propensity will score lower on paternal involvement during early childhood
- 2. Fathers with incest propensity will score lower on perceived resemblance to their daughter and higher marital conflict and suspicion that their partner cheated
- 3. Fathers with incest propensity are expected to score lower on measures of family functioning and marital satisfaction
- 4. Rates of incest propensity will not differ between India and Brazil

### METHOD

- Online survey with IPSOS India
  - Same as Brazil
- Criteria:
  - **I8+**
  - Must be a father with daughters (biological or sociolegal)
- Quotas:
  - Education
  - Location
- Survey offered in English, Hindi, and Tamil



### SAMPLE

Average age was 35.3 yrs old		Living in metro & non- metro areas		Highly educated sample		Most were married/ common-law	Minimal criminal history
Fathers ranged in age from 18 to 55 years old		50.4% (n=668) metro 49.6% (n=657) non-metro		79.0% (n=1047) had at least a college / university education		92.9% (n=1231) were married/ common- law	Only 5.4% (n=66) reported any criminal history
	A total of 1325 fathers in India participated (n=1225						

included in full analyses)

## RESULTS



### **INCEST PROPENSITY**

#### Based on fathers':

- Self-reported arousal at vignette (m=4.66, sd=3.38)
  - 67.3% (n=824) reported any arousal
- Likelihood of continuing the sexual behaviour with their own daughter (m=1.59, sd=1.29)
  - 22.6% (n=277) said they would continue the behaviour with their own daughter



### PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT (m=39.72, sd = 7.11)



- How often fathers were involved in child rearing activities during the child's first 6 years of life
- Rated from I (not at all) to 6 (at least once per day)
- Summed to create total score (16 to 48)
- No significant difference between fathers with and without incest propensity (z=-1.16, r=-.03, p=.25)
- Significantly higher among fathers in India (z= 19.83, r=.43, p<.001)</li>

### Daughter Types



### CHILD INFORMATION

- Fathers had an average of 1.35 daughters
- Most fathers in India had biological daughters
  - Incest propensity was significantly associated with having at least 1 step-daughter,  $\chi^2(1, N=1225)=7.72, \phi=.08, p$ < .01)

No significant difference in step-daughters between fathers in India and Brazil  $\chi^2(1, N=2302)=1.52, \phi=-.03, p=.22)$ 

### PHYSICAL RESEMBLANCE TO CHILD (*m*=6.07, *sd*=1.31)

- Fathers with and without incest propensity did not significantly differ in resemblance to daughters (z=.88, r=.03, p=.38)
- Significantly higher levels of physical resemblance to child among fathers in India (z=12.71, r=.27, p<.001)</li>

How closely does this child resemble you in appearance?



India Brazil

### PARTNER FIDELITY(m=2.39, sd=1.94)

Significantly higher levels of partner infidelity among fathers with incest propensity (z=6.45, r=.19, p<.001).

 No significant differences in partner fidelity between fathers in India and Brazil (z=-.44, p=.66)

#### How likely do you think it is that the mother of this child ever cheated on you?



# MARITAL CONFLICT (m=2.89, sd=1.63)

Significantly more marital conflict among fathers with incest propensity (z=3.31, r=.09, p<.001)

Significantly more marital conflict among fathers in India (z=3.58, r=.08, p<.001)

How much conflict did you experience during your relationship with the mother of this child?



### MARITAL SATISFACTION (m=5.99, sd=1.41)

- Significantly less marital satisfaction among fathers with incest propensity (z=-3.05, r=.09, p<.01)</li>
- Significantly more marital satisfaction among fathers in India (z=10.25, r=.22, p<.001)

## How satisfied were you with your relationship with the mother of this child?



India Brazil

### FATHER-DAUGHTER WARMTH (m=21.96, sd=3.45)

- Statements describing parentchild warmth
  - Rated from I (definitely does not apply) to 5 (definitely applies)
- Summed to create total score (5 to 25)
- Significantly lower among fathers with incest propensity (z=-3.69, r=.11, p<.001)</li>
- Significantly higher among fathers in India (z=8.10, r=.17, p<.001)</li>



Father-Daughter Warmth (total score)

Father-Daughter Warmth Between India and Brazil

### FATHER-DAUGHTER CONFLICT (m=13.92, sd=4.05)

- Statements describing parentchild conflict
  - Rated from I (definitely does not apply) to 5 (definitely applies)
- Summed to create total score (5 to 25)
- Significantly higher among fathers with incest propensity (z=2.63, r=.08, p<.01)</li>
- Significantly higher among fathers in India (z=16.41, r=.35, p<.001)</li>

Father-Daughter Conflict Between India and Brazil



### CHILDHOOD MALTREATMENT (m=1.04, sd=.98)

- Whether participants experienced physical abuse, neglect, and/or witnessed violence during childhood
- Summed to create total score (0 to 3)
- Significantly more child maltreatment among fathers with incest propensity (z=4.18,r=.12, p<.001)
- Significantly more maltreatment among fathers in India (z=4.00, r=.09, p<.001)



#### Childhood Maltreatment Between Fathers in India and

### CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE (CSA)

- Sexual contact with an adult man or woman prior to the age of 12
- Very few participants disclosed CSA
- Fathers with and without incest propensity did not significantly differ in rates of CSA
- Significantly more fathers in Brazil reported experiencing CSA, χ<sup>2</sup>(1, N=2296)= 177.48, φ= -.28, p < .001)



### PEDOHEBEPHILIA (SELF-REPORT SEXUAL ATTRACTION)

- Rated from I (very sexually unattractive) to 7 (very sexually attractive)
- Tanner stages I & 2 Tanner Stage 5
- m=-2.14, sd=1.84
- Fathers with incest propensity scored significantly higher on pedohebephilia for attraction ratings [t(687.62)=-3.36, r=.10, p<.001]</li>
- Fathers in Brazil had significantly higher attraction rating difference scores [t(1746.76=36.45, r=-.58, p<.001]</li>



Attraction Rating Difference Score (Pedohebephilia)

### PEDOHEBEPHILIA (VIEWING TIMES)

- Tanner stages | & 2 Tanner Stage 5
  - m=.01, sd=.44
- No significant difference in pedohebephilia between fathers with and without incest propensity as measured by VTs [t(711.90)=-1.86, r=.05, *p*=.063].
- Fathers in Brazil had significantly higher VT difference scores [t(|330.42)=23.|4, r=-.45, p<.00]]

Pedohebephilia Scores in India and Brazil (VT)



### CHILDHOOD ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOURS (m=1.65, sd=1.99)



- Whether participants engaged in certain antisocial behaviours before the age of 15
- Range 0 to 12
- Significantly higher among fathers with incest propensity (z=5.44, r=.16, p<.001)</li>
- Significantly higher in India (z=19.32, r=.40, p<.001)</li>

### SUMMARY OF BIVARIATE FINDINGS

#### Westermarck

 Parental involvement

- Paternity certainty
- Stepdaughters
- Physical resemblance to child
- Partner (in)fidelity

## Family dynamics

- Marital conflict
- Marital satisfaction
- Parent-child warmth
- Parent-child conflict

### Individual risk factors

- Childhood maltreatment
- CSA
- Pedohebephilia
   VT
- Pedohebephilia (self-report)
- Childhood antisociality



### DISCUSSION

- Risk factors:
  - Step-daughters
  - Partner fidelity
  - Antisociality
- Protective factor:
  - Parent-child warmth
- India vs. Brazil



Hypothesis I: Fathers with incest propensity will score lower on paternal involvement during early childhood

**Hypothesis 2:** Fathers with incest propensity will score lower on perceived resemblance to their daughter and higher marital conflict and suspicion that their partner cheated

**Hypothesis 3:** Fathers with incest propensity are expected to score lower on measures of family functioning and marital satisfaction

Hypothesis 4: Rates of incest propensity will not differ between India and Brazil

### LIMITATIONS

#### Language

- 90.1% completed the survey in English
- Cultural differences
  - Sexual topics:
    - CSA
    - Sexual offences
    - Arousal
  - Internet access

### CONCLUSION

#### Key take aways:

- Support for theories of paternity certainty (partner fidelity, stepdaughters) and family dynamics (parent-child warmth) as explanations
- Possible cultural differences in explanations

#### Implications and future directions:

- Compare non-offending fathers with incest propensity, fathers with incest offences, and non-fathers with offences against unrelated children
- Identify target areas for prevention and treatment
  - Family dysfunction

### CE CREDIT

**True or False**: Only biological fathers were included in the study.

False (Biological, step-, and adoptive fathers were included in the study)

**True or False**: Propensity toward father-daughter incest was assessed based on fathers' responses to reading a story about incest (vignettes).

#### True

**True or False**: A significant proportion of fathers reported a propensity toward fatherdaughter incest.

**True** (69% indicated any incest propensity)





Scan for Slides:

## Questions?

Madison.McAskill@theroyal.ca





Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Conseil de recherches en sciences humaines du Canada



### BIVARIATE ANALYSES AND REGRESSION WITHOUT AROUSAL

- Variables significantly associated with incest propensity (bivariate):
  - Step-daughters
  - Physical resemblance
  - Partner (in)fidelity
  - Marital conflict
  - Marital satisfaction
  - Parent-child warmth
  - Parent-child conflict
  - Pedohebephilia (Self-report)
  - Childhood antisociality

- Variables found to be significantly predictive of incest propensity:
  - Parent-child warmth
  - Parent-child conflict
  - Pedohebephilia (Self-report)
  - Childhood antisociality

## EXPLORING THREE THEORETICAL MECHANISMS OF FATHER-CHILD INCEST in fathers and non-fathers with sexual and non-sexual convictions

Frederica M. Martijn (NTU) Kelly M. Babchishin (Carleton University) Michael C. Seto (The Royal) Nicholas Blagden (University of Derby) Belinda Winder (NTU)

# RATIONALE AND AIMS

- Three theoretical mechanisms
  - Kinship recognition mechanisms
  - Family dysfunction
  - Individual (risk) factors
- Tested in general population
- But: how does this translate to men who have offended?

# METHOD

## Procedure

Recruitment	<ul> <li>3 Canadian medium-high secure sexual offense treatment/assessment institutions</li> <li>1 UK medium secure sexual offense prison</li> </ul>
Participants	<ul> <li>118 included men (136 total)</li> <li>Convictions: sexual and nonsexual violent</li> </ul>
Procedure	<ul> <li>Laptop questionnaire and viewing task; file review</li> </ul>
Time period	• 2016 – 2021 (most in 2019; then COVID-19)
Analyses	<ul> <li>Descriptive study; simple planned contrasts Hedge's g and Odds Ratios</li> </ul>

## Participants (n = 118)



## Participant comparison groups

Kinship recognition ( <i>n</i> = 68)	Family dysfunction and risk (n = 118)					
Biological fathers convicted of sexual offenses against biological child ( <i>n</i> = 15)	Fathers convicted of sexual offenses against their (biological or sociolegal) child ( $n = 34$ )					
Sociolegal fathers convicted of sexual offences against sociolegal child $(n = 9)$	Fathers convicted of sexual offenses against extrafamilial children ( <i>n</i> = 37)					
Biological fathers convicted of non- incestuous offenses ( $n = 39$ )	Fathers convicted of nonsexual, violent offenses against adults ( $n = 22$ )					
Sociolegal fathers convicted of non- incestuous offenses ( $n = 5$ )	Non-fathers convicted of sexual offenses against extrafamilial children ( $n = 25$ )					

# Hypotheses

Kinship recognition mechanisms

Biological fathers convicted of biological child sexual offences:

- Fewer kinship recognition cues:
  - Parental involvement < 6 years
  - Phenotypic similarity
  - Partner (in)fidelity

### **Family dysfunction**

Fathers convicted of child sexual offences against own (bio or socio) child:

• More dysfunctional families

### Individual (risk) factors

Fathers convicted of child sexual offences against own (bio or socio) child:

- Less atypical sexuality than men with extrafamilial victims, more than men with nonsexual convictions
- Fewer indicators of antisociality

# RESULTS
# <u>Kinship recognition mechanisms:</u> Parental involvement child < 6 years (Westermarck)

Referent group: Biological fathers convicted of sexual offences against their biological children



## <u>Kinship recognition mechanisms:</u> Phenotypic similarity

Referent group: Biological fathers convicted of sexual offences against their biological children



# <u>Kinship recognition mechanisms:</u> Partner (in)fidelity

Referent group: Biological fathers convicted of sexual offences against their biological children



## Family dysfunction: Partner relationship



## Family dysfunction: Parent-child relationship



# <u>Family dysfunction:</u> Childhood abuse histories (family-of-origin)



# Individual (risk) factors: Atypical sexuality



# Individual (risk) factors: Antisociality



# CONCLUSIONS

### Kinship recognition mechanisms



# Kinship recognition mechanisms not promising to explain father-child incest

No evidence for phenotypic similarity; Contra-expected results for parental involvement
Indications for partner fidelity mechanisms – but mostly compared to sociolegal fathers (why?) + timing was not around birth > Might be more indicative of family dysfunction

- Other mechanisms (e.g., maternal-infant association) to be explored

### **Family dysfunction**



### Family dysfunction seems most promising factor to explain fatherchild incest

- Mechanisms of partner relationships and parent-child relationships to be further examined
- Evidence for intergenerationality of abuse Recall bias might color these results Clinically intuitive, but (as of yet) scientifically barely substantiated (and under-researched) -

### Individual (risk) factors



### Antisociality and atypical sexuality do not explain related over unrelated victim choice, but:

- Unclear how compares to general population

- Sexuality still seems to play an important role in incest offending

# **Across studies**

### Brazil

#### Supported:

- Physical resemblance
- Partner infidelity
- Parent-child warmth
- Parent-child conflict

#### **Contra-expected:**

Less marital conflict

#### No differences:

- Parental involvement
- Stepdaughter
- Marital satisfaction
- Childhood maltreatment
- Pedohebephilia (VT and rating)

### India

#### Supported:

- Stepdaughters
- Partner fidelity
- Parent-child warmth
- Pedohebephilia (rating)
- Childhood antisociality

#### No differences:

- Father involvement
- Physical resemblance
- Marital conflict and satisfaction
- Parent-child conflict
- CSA and maltreatment
- Pedohebephiia (VT)

### **Prison**

#### **Promising**:

- Partner fidelity
- Relational dysfunction
- Parent-child dysfunction
- Incestuous abuse histories

#### To be examined:

Sexuality

#### **Unsupported:**

- Parental involvement
- Physical resemblance
- Physical abuse
- Antisociality

# CE Credit

**True or False:** The study showed uniform support for evolutionary mechanisms to explain incest

**Answer:** False

**True or False:** The study showed that family dysfunction likely plays a role in incest. **Answer:** True

**True or False:** The study showed that sexuality does not play a role in incest offending

**Answer**: False

Scan for Slides:



# **QUESTIONS?**

#### FREDERICA.MARTIJN@NTU.AC.UK